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The music to be presented in my composition recital and thesis defense spans most of my career at the University of Arkansas. “GAIA” is the second product of my personal study with Dr. Robert Mueller (beginning in Fall 2014), whose gentle nudges pushed me to explore a wider facet of composition than I had previously known. The final work of the program, “Hours,” is my most recent composition, and will be receiving its world premiere. While these pieces are but a sample of my compositional output, I believe they best represent my compositional goals and skills.

A few commonalities exist within these works: while they were all written at the University of Arkansas, they were also written exclusively for University of Arkansas performers. “Writing what you know” might be a popular cliché for authors, but it can lead to stagnation for composers; writing for whom you know, however, is more likely to create performances—the bread and butter on which composers thrive. If the performers live and work nearby, it is easier to collaborate and create personal stake in the project.

My goal as a composer is to evoke something—anything—in the listener, whether it be an emotion, thought, or memory. At the same time, I believe that music can be the most subjective art because its language is not uniformly appreciated. A classically-trained musician will have a much different listening experience than a musically-illiterate concertgoer, but neither should leave the performance without a new thought or consideration. To this extent, I aim to always provide two things in my compositions: a repeated, easily-recognized feature (melody, motive, texture, etc.) and a subtler, reoccurring musical effect (transposition, pitch set, etc.). The first can be enjoyed on the surface level by the trained and untrained, while the second is a discovery—active for the former and subconscious for the latter.
“cling” was mostly composed in Fall 2014 and finished in Spring 2015 for Sean Breast (euphonium), Jacob Hilton (tuba), and Kristy Mezines (piano). After its first premiere in April 2015, “cling” was chosen as the winner of the Conway Composer Guild’s 2016 W. Francis McBeth Composition Competition and received a performance by Dr. Paul Dickinson (piano, University of Central Arkansas), Dr. Gretchen Renshaw (tuba, Hendrix College), and Dr. Gail Robertson (euphonium, UCA) on February 7th, 2016.

The initial piano introduction of the piece stems from an exercise in quartal harmony that I composed for a theory class (Figure 1). Quartal harmonies tend to imply stasis—alluding to the definition of the title—because the building blocks (stacked intervals fourths, or fifths in inversion) do not create the traditional triads of functional that seek resolution to tonic. The melody passes through both tuba and euphonium, increasing in tension until resting on a major third sonority. The process of increased motion to a resting place, followed by a new idea, is the general form of the piece.

![Figure 1 (mm. 1-7)](image)

Stable boundaries are questioned by the brasses as the once-curved motion of the melody transforms into straight downward and upward lines. A pushy, perhaps angry
descending motive is the focal point (Figure 2), tossed between all three instrumentalists and culminating in a three measure burst of energy. What follows is a chase scene, starting softly but never lacking intensity, as the tuba and euphonium exchange motives, always answered by chords in the right hand of the piano (Figure 3).

**Figure 2** (mm. 50-52) – *final form of the descending motive*

**Figure 3** (mm. 61-64) – *euphonium and tuba “chase” and piano’s “answer”*
“cling’s” quiet middle section offers a contemplation of what has been stated. Tentative questions are offered by the piano’s highest register, to which muted brass respond with uncertain dissonance, hindered further by occasional growls.

The next section’s singing melody, reminiscent of the previous chase, starts in the tuba and is harmonized by the euphonium over a repetitive but constantly-embellished piano motor (Figure 4). The piano fades away, leaving the brass to exchange contrapuntal phrases, and “cling” climaxes with the piano’s return: a brass and piano-left-hand chorale accompanied by arpeggiated chord changes. Energy expended, the piano creeps to its lower register before repeating the piece’s opening phrases in a short coda.

![Music notation]

**Figure 4 (mm. 136-139) – piano motor and “singing” melody**

I make no claim as to what is being held close; we cling to many things, both tangible and abstract. This piece suggests several different routes that the initial music could have taken but, for better or worse, ignored.
GAIA

Though most of my music has little to no programmatic imagery outside of an abstract nature, “GAIA” is the exception that proves the rule. When I began working with the first motive on piano, a picture of thick, tall, leafy trees sprang into my mind (hence the title, which refers to the ancient Greek primordial deity of the earth). I used this image for further inspiration, creating primitive and animalistic sounds. Between the flute’s rich lower register and range of extended techniques, I seek to conjure the images and sounds of jungle aerophones, bird cries, growls, and more (Figure 5).

![Figure 5.1](m.12) – low register “Jungle aerophone”

![Figure 5.2](m.20) – “bird calls”

![Figure 5.3](m.26) – “growl” and “wait” technique
A wealth of aleatoric features add to the primitive or unrefined nature of the music. Some sections of “GAIA” require one flutist to wait on the other (Figure 5.3) for a certain range of time—seconds, rather than musical beats—before changing pitch, while others request nearly identical bars to be played uninfluenced by the other (Figure 6).

![Figure 6.1](image)

**Figure 6.1** (mm. 7-8) – *timed technique* (*flutes, treble clef*)

![Figure 6.2](image)

**Figure 6.2** (mm. 28-30) – “*disjunct*” *technique*

GAIA’s musical form is a loose theme and variations based on two melodies (the first musical material presented, separated by a brief transition). However, the melodies are not presented in completion by one voice until the very end, after they have been thoroughly modified and explored (Figure 7). The duet was composed for Hannah Craig and Donna Vickers in Fall 2014 and premiered on February 15, 2016.
Figure 7.1 (mm. 1-4) – Theme A, separated

Figure 7.2 (mm. 9-11) – Theme B, separated

Figure 7.3 (m. 40) – Themes A and B, complete in each voice
**Hours**

I. 0400

II. 1700

“Hours” was inspired by an episode of the TED Radio Hour Podcast in which poet and artist “Rives” speaks about society’s obsession with 4 a.m. Rives traced the ubiquity of “four in the morning” through books, magazines, television, music, and film, creating a virtual museum of documented occurrences at www.fourinthemorning.com and www.mofitm.tumblr.com.

I explore two main ideas throughout the piece: a nostalgic melody and the interval of a fifth. The first melody, presented by the horn, is based on a perfect $5^{th}$, while the flute plays the prime statement of the second melody at measure 25 (Figure 8). This movement represents the abstract idea and moods of 4 a.m.

![Perfect Fifth Melody](image1)

**Figure 8.1** (mm. 5-8) – perfect fifth melody in (horn, treble clef)

![Nostalgic Melody](image2)

**Figure 8.2** (mm. 24-28) – nostalgic melody (flute, treble clef)

After completing the first movement, I realized two things. First, I wanted to continue exploring the ideas of the first movement and second, that “0400” would do well with a
companion piece. If the most influential facet of the first movement is the nostalgic melody, then “1700” encapsulates the perfect 5th interval. As 5 p.m. is rush hour in most cities, the forward motion and complexity of “1700” is an ideal contrast to the solitude and depth of its predecessor.

The introduction of “1700” is a trichord taken from “0400’s” nostalgia melody, set in prolation canons between three voices and transposed up a perfect 5th or down a perfect 4th (the inverted interval) (Figure 9). The trichord is used as an interjection between melodic material, gradually embellished until the motive uses all the pitches of the nostalgia melody (Figure 10).

Figure 9 (mm. 1-5) – first appearance of trichord

Figure 10.1 (mm. 26-34) – trichord interjection
“Hours” can perhaps best be analyzed in a tripartite form, consisting of two main melodic ideas and a bridge section. While the melodic material appears as ABABCA with the final “A” section a combination of both melodic ideas, the reprise of the B material is short compared to its first iteration. Rondo form, or ABACA might be more appropriate than squeezing the melodic material into a rough sonata form, where exposition = AB, development = ABC, and recapitulation = A. The forces used, a chamber orchestra of woodwinds, brass, strings, percussion, and piano, are ideal for expressing a variety of colors without becoming too heavy or thick.
Conclusion

The compositional process is extremely personal and often difficult. I sometimes find myself repeating a single melody or motive countless times on a piano, intently listening for how it wants to develop or lead to the next idea. If luck strikes, I quickly mark my staff paper in semi-legible blurs, but such inspiration is unusual. More often, the central musical seed of a piece must live in my mind for a lengthy period, turning itself over and over before becoming useful. The secondary theme of “Hours,” for example, is based on a line that I wrote in high school, and “GAIA’s” initial theme remained a scribble in a notepad for almost a year before it grew into a flute duet.

At other times, the music is ready but inappropriate for the piece being composed: an extremely technical idea might work well on piano but suffer on string bass. I experienced this firsthand while rehearsing “Hours” as a conductor; the piece, which feels lived-in and homey to me, is even more complex and difficult to perform than I first imagined. It is essential for the composer to place his or herself in the performer’s chair before, during, and after the compositional process. But most of all, the composer must be mindful of the audience: every ink dot on the page is time and money sacrificed to hear the composer’s intent.