University of Arkansas, Fayetteville [ScholarWorks@UARK](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/) 

[Technical Reports](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr) **Arkansas Water Resources Center** Arkansas Water Resources Center

6-1-1988

# Estimating Potential Ground and Surface Water Pollution from Land Application of Poultry Litter - II

D. C. Wolf University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

J. T. Gilmour University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

P. M. Gale University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Fresh Water Studies Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/189?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Hydrology Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1054?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Soil Science Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/163?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Water Resource Management Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1057?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

#### **Citation**

Wolf, D. C.; Gilmour, J. T.; and Gale, P. M.. 1988. Estimating Potential Ground and Surface Water Pollution from Land Application of Poultry Litter - II. Arkansas Water Resources Center, Fayetteville, AR. PUB137. 39 [https://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr/80](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr/80?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fawrctr%2F80&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Arkansas Water Resources Center at ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact [scholar@uark.edu, uarepos@uark.edu](mailto:scholar@uark.edu,%20uarepos@uark.edu).



## **ESTIMATING POTENTIAL GROUND AND SURFACE WATER POLLUTION FROM LAND APPLICATION OF POULTRY LITTER-II**

# **Publication No. 137**

June 1988

Prepared by: D.C. Wolf, J.T. Gilmour, and P.M. Gale Department of Agronomy University of Arkansas Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

> Arkansas Water Resources Center 112 Ozark Hall University of Arkansas Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

Prepared for the United States Department of the Interior

#### ESTIMATING POTENTIAL GROUND AND SURFACE WATER POLLUTION

FROM LAND APPLICATION OF POULTRY LITTER-II

D.C. Wolf, J.T. Gilmour and P.M. Gale Department of Agronomy University of Arkansas Fayetteville, AR 72701

Research Project Technical Completion Report

Project G-1409-03\*

The research on which this report is based was financed in part by the United States Department of the Interior as authorized by the Water Research and Development Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-467).

> Arkansas Water Resources Research Center University of Arkansas 113 Ozark Hall Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

> > Publication No. 137

June, 1988

Contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Department of the Interior, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute their endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government.

The University of Arkansas, in compliance with federal and state laws and the regulations governing affirmative action and nondiscrimination, does not discriminate in the recruitment, admission and employment of students, faculty and staff in the operation of any of its educational programs and activities as defined by law. Accordingly, nothing in this publication should be viewed as directly or indirectly expressing any limitation, specification or discrimination as to race, religion, color or national origin; or the handicap, age, sex, or status as a disabled Vietnam-era veteran, except as provided by law. Inquiries concerning this policy may be directed to the Affirmative Action Officer.

#### ABSTRACT

#### ESTIMATING POTENTIAL GROUND AND SURFACE WATER POLLUTION FROM LAND APPLICATION OF POULTRY LITTER-II

In Arkansas, approximately 1 Tg of poultry (Gallus gallus domesticus) manure and litter is produced annually. These waste products are commonly applied to pastures as a soil amendment or fertilizer, but excessive application rates and poor management practices could result in nutrient contamination of ground and<br>surface water. The purpose of this study was to: (1) assess the The purpose of this study was to:  $(1)$  assess the nutrient concentrations in poultry manure and (2) evaluate the nitrogen loss from land-applied poultry litter and manure due to ammonia volatilization and denitrification. Analyses for total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), inorganic nitrogen (Ni), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) were compared in 12 wet and dry hen manure samples. Drying the manure reduced the TKN from 57 to 40 g N/kg on a dry weight basis in wet and dry manure, respectively. The  $N_i$  in the manure was in the ammoniacal form with values of 19 and 2 g N/kg for wet and dry manure, respectively. The P and K levels were not influenced by drying the manure and had values of 24 and 21 g/kg, respectively. The results indicate that the nitrogen content of hen manure can be significantly reduced by drying the sample prior to analysis. In a 10-day laboratory study and an 11-day field study to evaluate ammonia volatilization from surface-applied hen manure, results indicated that 37% of the total nitrogen content of the manure was lost. The results indicated that a substantial amount of nitrogen in surface-applied poultry waste can be lost due to ammonia Laboratory studies to evaluate denitrification in a Captina silt loam amended with 9 Mg/ha of poultry litter were conducted. When the soil was aerobically incubated for 168 h and then flooded for 66 h, the nitrate-nitrogen level decreased a net of 17 mg N/kg. The results indicated that, if the ammoniacal nitrogen in the litter is oxidized to nitrate under aerobic conditions and then the soil is flooded and available carbon is present, denitrification can occur rapidly. Results from these studies indicate that soil and environmental conditions playa critical role in determining the potential for nitrate pollution of ground and surface water when poultry manure and litter are surface-applied to pastures.

D.C. Wolf, J.T. Gilmour, and P.M. Gale

Completion Report to the U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Reston, VA, December, 1988.

Keywords -- Nitrogen/Manure Disposal/Water Quality

### TABLE OF CONTENTS



### LIST OF TABLES



 $\bar{\lambda}$ 

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of Dr. J.M. Phillips and Dr. M.L. May in collecting the hen manure samples and in conducting the field ammonia volatilization studies. The laboratory assistance of Ramon Jackson and William Johnson is also greatly appreciated.

#### INTRODUCTION

Arkansas is a major producer of poultry and poultry products. In 1986, Arkansas produced 788,000,000 broiler chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus) and housed approximately 18,000,000 egg-laying hens and a similar number of turkeys (Meleagris gallopavo) (Von Steen and Hicks, 1987). These important agricultural industries produce substantial quantities of poultry manure with estimates of approximately 1 Tg of waste on a dry weight basis. To recycle the nutrients and take advantage of the beneficial properties of the waste material, much of the poultry manure is used as a soil amendment or fertilizer on pastures. The manure has been shown to be a valuable source of plant nutrients, but over application or poor management can result in environmental problems and ground and surface water pollution (Liebhardt et al., 1979).

A typical nitrogen content of poultry manure is approximately 4.5%, and if all of the manure was applied to pastures, this would amount to a total nitrogen application of 45 Gg during 1986. Excessive rates of waste application could result in increased nitrate levels in ground and surface water and potential health problems if the drinking water level exceeds the 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N/L limit established by the U.S. Public Health Service.

However, not all of the nitrogen in the poultry litter and

manure is subject to conversion to nitrate and transport into ground and surface water. In addition to plant uptake, the nitrogen may remain in the soil in stable organic forms, may be lost in the form of ammonia when the waste is applied, and may be denitrified and returned to the atmosphere in the form of  $N_2$ , which is a harmless gas. Before we can fully take advantage of the benefits of land application of poultry wastes, we must be able to better understand and manage the transformation reactions which take place in the waste-amended soil. Once such information is available, it will be possible to make better use of the nutrients in the waste and more fully protect our surface and ground water supplies.

#### A. Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this study was to quantify two major aspects of the nitrogen cycle which influence the ground and surface water pollution potential of poultry manure and litter in a landapplication program and provide better information on the forms of nutrients in the waste materials. The ultimate goal of the research efforts is to provide information for use by producers which will enable them to use poultry litter and manure to optimize forage production while protecting our water supplies from contamination. The specific objectives of the research were to: (1) determine the amount of ammonia volatilization from surface-applied poultry manure and (2) quantitate the proportion

of nitrogen denitrified or lost to the atmosphere in the form of dinitrogen gas.

#### B. Related Research and Activities

Agricultural producers have long recognized the benefits of recycling poultry manure and litter as a fertilizer on pastures. Poultry litter was compared to commercial fertilizer nitrogen sources in studies reported by Hileman (1973), and he found that application of 4.48 and 8.96 Mg/ha of broiler litter resulted in high forage yields and increased soil levels of available phosphorus and potassium. Recently, Huneycutt et al. (1988) reported results from yield trials for three forages. Irrigated and non-irrigated bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers.), tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) and tall fescue-clover (Trifolium spp.) were fertilized with six rates of commercial fertilizer and litter rates of 4.48,8.96, and 13.44 Mg/ha. Six years of dry matter yield data showed that bermudagrass exhibited the greatest yield response to litter addition and the tall fescue-clover mixture was the least responsive. Bermudagrass and fescue exhibited a linear yield response to litter addition over the range of 0 to 13.44 Mg/ha.

One of the major limitations to efficient utilization of poultry waste as a soil amendment is inconsistency in nutrient content of the waste. Over 20 years ago, Hileman (1967) indicated that variability in the nitrogen, phosphorus, and

potassium content of broiler litter could be substantial. His research showed that broiler litter contained an average of 4.11% nitrogen, 1.45% phosphorus, and 2.18% potassium on a dry weight basis (Hileman, 1971) Before maximum utilization of nutrients in the poultry litter can be attained, more information is needed on the nutrient content of the waste materials (Chescheir et al., 1985).

Generally, the most critical nutrient element supplied by the poultry manure to the forage system is nitrogen. If waste application rate is to be determined by nitrogen supplied to the crop, the waste application rate for a given soil should be determined by the quantity of nitrogen which becomes available or is mineralized (Gilmour and Gale, 1986; Mathers and Goss, 1979; and Pratt et al., 1973;). Poultry manure and litter contain various nitrogen forms which are mineralized at different rates (Fig. 1) . The nitrogen mineralization rate is also controlled by such factors as soil temperature, moisture, and carbon mineralization (Gale and Gilmour, 1986; Sims, 1986). researchers reported that the mineralization process consists of rapid, intermediate, and slow phases. Research from several laboratories has shown that the amount of nitrogen mineralized in the rapid phase of poultry litter decomposition is generally 20 40% (Castellanos and Pratt, 1981; Gale and Gilmour, 1986; Hadas et al., 1983; and Pratt and Castellanos, 1981). In field and



Forms of nitrogen in poultry manure and litter and relative mineralization rates. Fig 1

laboratory studies to determine nitrogen availability in poultry manure incorporated into the soil, Bitzer and Sims (1988) reported that 66% of the organic nitrogen from 20 manure samples was mineralized and resulted in large amounts of available nitrogen in the soil within 2 weeks.

An important avenue of nitrogen loss from waste-amended soils is ammonia volatilization when the poultry manure or litter is surface applied. Giddens and Rao (1975) showed that air drying of fresh poultry manure resulted in an approximate decrease of 50% in the total nitrogen content of the waste which was due to ammonia volatilization. Surface-applied dairy manure was shown to have total losses of from 61 to 99% of the ammoniacal nitrogen content of the manure in a field study (Lauer et al., 1976) Laboratory studies with poultry manure have shown significant reductions in the inorganic nitrogen content during the first 14 days of incubation, and the losses were attributed to ammonia volatilization and denitrification (Chescheir et al., 1986). In the equation used by Bitzer and Sims (1988) to predict available nitrogen, they assumed a 20% loss of the inorganic nitrogen in poultry manure due to ammonia volatilization.

A second avenue of nitrogen loss from soil amended with poultry manure or litter is denitrification. Before denitrification can occur, the soil must be anaerobic and there must be a supply of nitrate and available carbon (Alexander, 1977).

Reddy et <sup>a</sup> (1980) showed that the application of beef, swine and poultry manure increased the denitrification potential Norfolk sandy loam. The denitrification potential was greatest when the manures had undergone a 3D-day decomposition. At poultry manure rates of 0 to 184 Mg/ha, Cooper et al. (1984) reported increased denitrification losses with increased manure application. At the highest manure rate, 60% of the applied nitrogen was not recovered either in the soil profile or in the harvested crop, and this incomplete recovery led the researchers to postulate that the major avenue of loss was denitrification

#### METHODS AND PROCEDURES

#### A. Nutrient Variability

During August, fresh hen manure samples were collected from 12 separate truck loads from an 850,000 hen, caged-layer operation Each load was sampled by collecting ten subsamples, each weighing approximately 200 g. Each subsample was also divided into two separate samples and all samples were frozen in polyethylene bags and transported to the laboratory. A total of 24 samples were collected, 12 to be analyzed in the moist condition and 12 to be analyzed after the samples had been dried

When the samples arrived at the laboratory, they were thawed, mixed for 10 min with a commercial mixer, and split into two equal portions One portion of the sample was dried constant weight at 65°C in a forced-draft oven, ground in a Wiley m; 11 to pass a O.85-mm screen, and stored in a desiccator. The other portion was analyzed in the existing moist state

Percentage solids were determined on four replications of each of the 12 samples by drying subsamples to a constant weight at 65°C in a forced-draft oven. Samples had a mean solids content of 340 g/kg. The pH values were determined potentiometrically using 1:10 ratio of manure to distilled water. An induction furnace combustion procedure was used to determine percentage C on dried samples (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). A l-g manure sample was extracted with 20 mL of 2M KC1, and the ammonium and nitrite plus nitrate nitrogen were determined for two replicates of both wet and dry samples following the method described by Keeney and Nelson (1982). The total nitrogen content of the wet and dry samples was determined by semimicro-Kjeldahl digestion and steam distillation procedures (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982) using four replications per sample. Additionally, the total nitrogen content of the dried samples was determined from a H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> digestion procedure

The phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and sulfur levels were also determined on two replicates of both wet and dry manure samples following  $H_2$ SO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> digestion The analyses were conducted with an inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer. Analysis of variance procedures were used

to determine significant differences  $(P<0.05)$  in the parameters measured in a randomized complete block design.

#### B. Ammonia Volatilization

A Bowie fine sandy loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Fragic Paleudult) and a Captina silt loam (fine-silty, mixed mesic Typic Fragiudult) were utilized in the ammonia volatilization studies (Table 1).

For the laboratory studies, cylindrica volatilization chambers, 13 cm in diameter and 6 cm high, were used to contain the soil and manure (Fig. 2) Air was input through ports equally spaced around the cylinder and output through a central port on the top of the cylinder. Soil was placed on a porous ceramic plate attached to a water reservoir that allowed a continuous supply of water at a constant potential of -34 kPa which enabled evaporation to occur without altering the moisture content of the soil. Fresh hen manure at rates of 0 and 6.8 Mg/ha (dry weight) was surface-applied or incorporated into the soil Table 2)

Immediately after itter application, the chambers were closed and passage of air across the soil surface was initiated. Air flow was regulated at two chamber volume exchanges per minute and temperature maintained at  $23 + 2^0C$ . Air entering the system was initially prescrubbed to remove  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and maintained at approximately  $30%$  relative humidity by scrubbing through 8.1 M







Fig. 2. Flow-through chamber used in the laboratory study to assess ammonia volatilization from poultry manure.

Table 2. Properties of the hen manure used in the ammonia volatilization studie:

Study	Solids ℅		NH <sub>A</sub>		Total	
				Irv	manure	
Laboratory	- 35	8.1				
Field						

 $H_2SO_4$ . After flowing through the chambers, the air passed through a test tube which contained 20 ml of 1.0 M  $H_2$ SO<sub>4</sub> The tubes on the trap were changed at approximately 12-h intervals, and an aliquot was removed for  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ determination by steam distillation (Keeney and Nelson, 1982). The incubation was conducted for 10 days

After incubation, the soils were removed from the chamber, thoroughly mixed, and portions extracted with 2 M Inorganic-N was determined from these extracts following the methods described by Keeney and Nelson 1982). Two replications of each treatment were made

Static chambers were used in the field study (Fig. 3) and have been described in detail by Reynolds and Wolf (1988). In the study, hen manure application rates were 0,3.4, and 6.8 Mg/ha on a dry weight basis. The study was conducted for 11 days at 32  $+10^{0}$ C. The soil moisture potential was  $-15$  kPa

c Denitrification

The soi used was a Captina silt loam, and samples were obtained at a depth of 0 to 15 cm from a bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon L. pasture. The soil was passed through a 2-mm sieve and was stored at field moisture levels at 2°C in sealed polyethylene bags until used Twenty-gram samples of soil were placed in 50-ml Erlenmeyer flasks and amended with poultry litter at rates of 0 or 9 Mg/ha Analysis of the litter has been



Fig. 3. Static chamber used in the field study to assess<br>ammonia volatilization from poultry manure.

previously reported by Gale and Gilmour 1986) and is given in Table 3. Distilled water was added to adjust the moisture content of the soil to a moisture potential of -30 kPa which corresponded to 18% by weight (Thiesse, 1984). The flasks wer incubated under aerobic conditions for 0,72, 120, and 168 h. After each aerobic incubation interval, 5 ml of distilled water was added to achieve flooded or anaerobic soil conditions and the flasks were incubated for an additional 48 or 66 h. All incubations were conducted at 21°C in the dark. After the appropriate incubation time, flasks were analyzed for pH, total soluble organic carbon TOC),  $NH_4-N$ , and  $NO_2+NO_3-N$ . The pH was measured in a 1:1 soil to solution ratio. The TOC was measured with a PHOTOchem Organic Carbon Analyzer. Samples were prepared by adding water to obtain a 1:1 ratio, shaking for 1 h, centrifuging the extract for 10 min at 4,000 RPM, and filtering the extract through a O.22-um membrane filter. Exchangeable  $NH_4-N$  and  $NO_3-N$  were determined by extraction of the soil with 2N KCl and steam distillation using MgO and Oevarda's alloy (Keeney and Nelson, 1982).

#### PRINCIPAL FINDINGS AND SIGNIFICANCE

#### A. Nutrient Variability

The percentage solids of the 12 samples had a mean value of  $340$  g/kg with a standard deviation of  $+2.5%$  which agrees with the 35.8% reported by Chescheir et al. 1986) for caged layers. The

Table 3. Chemical characterization of t pourly little asset in the denial Gilmour 19S6).



pH values of the wet and dry manure were 7.6 and respectively. The total  $C$  of the dried manure was 321 g/kg.

As determined by the sample analytical procedure, the total N content of the wet manure significantly decreased when the samples were dried prior to analysis with values of 57 and 40 g N/kg, respectively (Fig. 4). On the dried manure samples using the  $H_2$ SO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> digestion procedure, the total N content was 36 g/kg which was not significantly different from the 40 g N/kg value determined by the procedure of Bremner and Mulvaney (1982). The  $H_2$ SO<sub>4</sub>- $H_2$ O<sub>2</sub> digestion procedure increased the variability of the total  $N|$  determinations in the dry manure Fig. 5)

The inorganic N in the manure was in the ammoniacal form with the  $NO<sub>2</sub>+NO<sub>3</sub>-N$  levels in all samples < 1 g N/kg. The NH<sub>4</sub>-N content in the wet manure varied from 8 to 30 g N/kg with a mean of 19 g N/kg (Fig. 6). When the manure was dried, the NH<sub>4</sub>-N level decreased to a mean value of 2 g N/kg which indicated a substantial N loss due to ammonia volatilization. Giddens and Rao (1975) reported that fresh poultry manure lost 47.6% of the total N content when the manure was air dried for 10 days and  $23.6%$  when dried in a boiling water bath.

Chescheir et al (198 $\phi$ ) reported that NH<sub>4</sub>-N was 23% of the total  $N$  in fresh poultry manure and the value increased to 36% after 3.5 days. Bitzer and Sims 1988) recently pointed out the



Fig. 4. Influence of method of digestion on the total N content of manure



Fig. 5. Influence of method of digestion on the total N analysis of 12 wet and dry manure samples.



Fig. 6. Variation in the  $NH_4$ -N content of 12 wet and dry manure samples.

difficulties in accurately estimating the levels of inorganic N in poultry manure Substantial N losses have been reported for other animal wastes Dairy manure has been shown to lose from 40 to 60% of the total N content at 25<sup>o</sup>C largely through ammonia volatilization (Adriano et al., 1974; Muck and Richards, 1983).

The mean P contents of the manures were 23.8 and 24.5 g/kg for the wet and dry samples, respectively, and were not significantly different (Fig. 7). The variation in the <sup>p</sup> level was significantly less in the dry compared to the wet samples. The levels are similar to data reported by Bitzer and Sims 1988) who analyzed 20 poultry manure samples which contained litter and reported a mean P content of 20.9 g/kg for oven-dried samples

The K evels of the wet and dry manure were 19.4 and 21.7 g/kg, respectively, and were not significantly different (Fig 7 The variation in K content was significantly ess for the dry compared to the wet samples.

The concentrations of Ca were not significantly different between the wet and dry samples at 92.6 and 85.7 g/kg, respectively, and had very similar variances (Fig. 7). The Mg levels for wet and dry manure samples were 5.4 and 5.7 g/kg, respectively, and were not significantly different. The wet manures had a mean s content of 5.1 g/kg, which was not significantly different from the 5.2 g/kg found in the dry samples, and both samples had similar variances (Fia 7)



The Ca, K, P, Mg, and S concentrations of wet and dry manure samples. Fig. 7

#### B. Ammonia Volatilization

In the laboratory study, the percentage of N applied which was volatilized as  $NH_3$  from the surface-applied manure was maximal from day 3 to 8 of the incubation (Fig. 8). Total N loss as

accounted for 37% of the N applied. When manure was incorporated, the N loss as  $NH_3$  was 8%. Following the study, analysis of the surface-applied manure indicated that the pH decreased from 8.1 to 7.7, solids increased from 35 to 55%, and total N decreased from 64 to 27 9 N/kg dry manure as compared to initial values (Table 2).

Soil analysis after the 10-day laboratory inqubation showed

4% of the applied N was recovered as  $NO<sub>3</sub> - N$  and that NH<sub>4</sub>-N accumulation was greater in the incorporated treatment Table 4). The pH values at the soil surface were 5.9,6.6, and

for the control, surface-applied, and incorporated treatments, respectively.

The total net N balance indicated a high recovery of the applied N when the manure was surface applied (Table 4) When

manure was incorporated, 40% of the applied N was recovered and denitrification was hypothesized as the mechanism of N loss.

When the manure was surface-applied in the field study at rates of 3.4 and 6.8 Mg/ha, 37% of the total N applied was lost by volatilization at either rate. The loss was most rapid in the 2 days following application (Fig. 9). At both manure addition



Fig. 8. Percentage of the total N applied which was volatilized as ammonia during a 10-day laboratory study. The manure was surface applied or incorporated at a rate of 6.8 Mg/ha on a dry weight basis.

Table 4. The forms and net nitrogen balance of the manure-amended soil in a laborato study.

	N Recovered (%)								
Treat-	Soil			Manure	Volat. Total				
ment	NH <sub>a</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>		Total Total	NH <sub>2</sub>	Recov.			
Surface- applied Incor-	11 $b^*$		16 <sub>b</sub>	42	37 a	95 a			
porated	20 a		32 a						

 $\star$  Means within a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at the 5% leve according to Duncan's multiple range test.



Fig. 9. Percentage of the total N applied which was volatilized as ammonia during an 11-day field study. The manure was surface applied or incorporated at rates of 3.4 and  $6.8$  Mg/ha.

rates, only 1% of the applied N was lost as  $NH_3$  when the manure was incorporated to a depth of 11 cm. The surface-applied manure dried during the incubation and had a final pH of 7.7

The soil with the incorporated manure contained more than twice as much  $NH_4-N$  as the soil with the manure surface-applied Table 5). The total N recovered in the soi ranged from 35 to 52%, and the pH values at the soil surface were 6.0, 6.8, and 6.3 for the control, surface-applied, and incorporated treatments, respectively.

Compared to that of the laboratory study, the tota net N balance of the field study indicated that more N was recovered in the soil and slightly less N in the manure (Table 5). The tota N recovered was also slightly higher in the field study. When the manure was incorporated, the total N recovered was approximately 50%. This result was in general agreement with that of the laboratory study

C. Denitrification

Results from the denitrification study showed litter addition increased the TOC level substantially throughout the incubation (Table 6) After 168 h of aerobic incubation, litter addition resulted in a net increase of 23 mg  $NO<sub>3</sub> - N/kg$  soil compared to the control. <sup>A</sup> subsequent 66 h of flooding or anaerobic conditions resulted in a decrease from 45 to 6 mg/kg in  $NO<sub>3</sub> - N$ The data show that when litter is added to soil, the  $NH_4-N$  must

Table 5. The forms and net nitrogen balance of the manureamended Bowie fine sandy loam in the field study.

		(% Recovered N						
Treat-	Rate	Soil			Manure	Volat.	Total	
ment	Mg/ha	NH <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	Total	Total	NH <sub>2</sub>	Recov.	
Surface-	3.4	$\sim$ 5.	13	41	37	37 а	115a	
applied	6.8	10 -b	9 с	35	34	37 a	106 a	
Incor-	3.4	13 <sub>b</sub>	19 - a	52	--	b	53 b	
porated	6.8	28 - a	16 ab	48			49	

 $*$  Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's multiple range test.



 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  ,

 $\sim$   $\alpha$ 



 $\sim 5-1$ 

be oxidized to  $NO<sub>3</sub> - N$  under aerobic conditions, and this oxidation must be followed by anaerobic conditions or oxygen depleted microsites and microbially available C must be present if denitrification is to proceed. If the required conditions are present, denitrification can be a rapid process

#### CONCLUSIONS

Drying fresh hen manure at  $65^{\circ}$ C resulted in loss of NH<sub>A</sub>-N which lowered the TKN an average of 29% compared to that of wet manure. Drying did not affect analyses of P, K, Ca, Mg, and S contents. Variability of nutrient content was much greater in wet compared to dry manure Due to the variable nature of hen manure, representative samples must be thoroughly homogenized and analyzed in the wet state for estimation of N content for efficient land application. In studies with manure, conditions of manure handling and analysis must be carefully evaluated and reported.

In a field study, 37% of the total N in the surface-applied manure was lost as  $NH_3$  after 11 days. In a 10-day laboratory incubation, 37% of the total N was also lost as  $NH_2-N$ . When the manure was incorporated,  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  losses were 1 and 8% in the field and laboratory studies, respectively. The results indicated that substantial amounts of N in surface-applied hen manure can be lost by  $NH_3$  volatilization, and better management procedures are needed to more efficiently use the manure as a

#### nitrogen fertilizer

When nitrate is formed in itter-amended soils, introduction of anaerobic conditions can result in denitrification activity if C is present in forms available to the soi microbial population

conditions do exist and indicate that denitrification may reduce the potential for nitrate to enter ground and surface waters

Understanding the nutrient variability of poultry waste and rate and magnitude of ammonia volatilization and denitrification are important in determining poultry waste application rates which enhance forage production and protect the quality of ground and surface water.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Adriano, 0 .C., A.C. loss from manure a Environ. Qu al. 3:258 and R. S rpless. 1974. Nitroge by moi and temperature. J.

Alexander, M. 1977. Introduction to soil microbiology. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

Bitzer, C.C., and J.T. Sims. 1988. Estimating the availability of nitrogen in poultry manure through laboratory and field studies. J. Environ. Qual. 17:47-54.

Bremner, J.M., and C.S. Mulvaney. 1982. Nitrogen-total. <u>I</u>r A.L. Page (ed.) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. 2nded Agronomy 9:595-624. Am. Soc .Agron., Madison, WI

Castellanos, J.Z., and P.F. Pratt. 1981. manure nitrogen-correlation laboratory indexes. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:354-357. Mineralization of

Chescheir, G.M., III, P.W. Westerman, and L.M. Safley, Jr. 1985 Rapid methods for determining nutrients in livestock manures TRANS. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. 28:1817-1824.

Chescheir, G.M., III, P.W. Westerman, and L.M. Safley, Jr. 1986. Laboratory methods for estimating available nitrogen in manures and sludges. Agric. Wastes 18:175-195.

Cooper, J.R., R.B. Reneau, Jr., W. Kroontje, and G.D. Jones. 1984. Distribution of nitrogenous compounds in a Rhodic Paleudult following heavy manure application. J. Environ. Qual. 13:189-193

Gale, P.M., and J.T mineralization kinetics for poultry litter. J. Environ. Qual. 15:423-426. 1986. Carbon and nitrogen

Giddens, contac manure. J. Environ Qual. 4:275-27 J., and with soi A.M. on Rao. 1975. Effect of incubation and and nitrogen changes in poultr

Gilmour, J.T., and P.M. Gale. 1986. Estimating plant available nitrogen in poultry litter. Arkansas Farm Res. 35:5.

Hadas, A., B Bar-Yosef, S Davidov, and M. Sofer. 19 . Eff of pelleting, temperature, and soil type on miner snitrogen release from poultry and dairy-manures. Soil-Sci. c.Am.J

Hileman, L.H. 1967. The fertilizer value of broiler-litt Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn. Report Ser. 158.

Hileman, L.H. 1971. Effect of rate of poultry manure application on selected soil chemical properties. Livestock Waste Management and Pollution Abatement. Proc. Intl. Symp. on Livestock Wastes. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. St. Joseph, MI. pp. 247-248.

Hileman, L.H. 1973. Response of orchardgrass to|broiler litt and commercial fertilizer. Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn. Report Ser. 207.

Huneycutt, H.J., C.P. West, and J.M. Phillips. 1988. Responses of bermudagrass, tall fescue and tall fescue-clover to broiler litter and commercial fertilizer. Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn. Bulletin 913.

Keeney, D.R., and D.W. Nelson. 1982. Nitrogen-inorganic forms. In- A.L. Page {ed. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. 2nd. ed. Agronomy 9:643-698. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI.

Lauer, D. A., D.R. Bouldin, and S.D. Klausner. 1976. Ammonia volatilization from dairy manure spread on the soil surface. J. Environ. Qual.

Tupin. 1979. Nitrate and water resulting from poultr 8:211-215. manure applications. J. Environ. Qual Liebhardt, W.C., C. Golt, and J. ammonium concentrations of ground

Mathers, A.C., and D.W. Goss. 1979. Estimating animal-wast applications to supply crop nitrogen requirements. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:364-366.

Muck, R.E., and B.K. Richards. 1983. nitrogen in free-stall barns. Agric. Wastes 7:65-79. Losses of manuria

Nelson, D.W., and L.E. Sommers. 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. In A.L. Page (ed.) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. 2nd ed. Agronomy 9:539-579. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI

Pratt, P.F., F.E. Broadbent, and J.P. Martin. 1973. Usin organic wastes as nitrogen fertilizers. California Agric  $27:10-13$ .

Pratt, P.F., and J.Z. Castellanos. 1981. Available\_nitroge from animal manures. California Agric. 35:24.

Reddy, K.R., R. Khaleel, and M.R. Overcash. 1980. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon transformations in a coastal plain soil treated with animal manures. Agric. Wastes 2:225-238.

Reynolds, C.M., and D.C. Wolf. 1988. Effects of field methods and soil cover on estimating ammonia loss from nitrogen-15-urea. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 52:706-712.

Nitrogen transformations in a poultry manure amended soil: Temperature and moisture-effects. J.Enviror Sims, J.T. 1986. Qual. 15:59-63.

Thiesse, B.R. 1984. Variability of the physica properties of Captina soils. M.S. Thesis. Universi University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.

D.H., and G.D. Hicks. 1987. 1986 Arkansas Agr cultural Statistics. Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn. Report Ser Van 300 Steen,