2014

Low Speed Current Bearing Anti-force Waves

M. Hemmati

Arkansas Tech University, mhemmati@atu.edu

W. P. Childs

Arkansas Tech University

H. Shojaei

Arkansas Tech University

H. Morris

Arkansas Tech University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas>

Part of the [Organic Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hemmati, M.; Childs, W. P.; Shojaei, H.; and Morris, H. (2014) "Low Speed Current Bearing Anti-force Waves," *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*: Vol. 68 , Article 13.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54119/jaas.2014.6808>

Available at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol68/iss1/13>

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu.

Low Speed Current Bearing Anti-force Waves

M. Hemmati^{1*}, W.P. Childs¹, H. Shojaei¹ and H. Morris¹

¹*Department of Physical Sciences, Arkansas Tech University, Russellville, Arkansas 72801, USA*

*Correspondence: mhemmati@atu.edu

Running Title: Low Speed Current Bearing Anti-force Waves

Abstract

For theoretical investigation of electrical breakdown of a gas, we apply a one-dimensional, steady profile, constant velocity, three-component (electrons, ions and neutral particles) fluid model. Our fluid model consists of the equations of conservation of mass, momentum and energy, coupled with the Poisson's equation. The set of equations is referred to as the electron fluid dynamical equations (EFD). This investigation involves breakdown waves with a substantial current behind the wave front, and waves for which the electric field force on electrons is in the opposite direction of the wave propagation (anti-force waves – lightning return stroke). Therefore, the set of electron fluid dynamical equations need to be modified. For a low wave speed, we intend to find current values, and also the maximum current, for which solutions for our set of electron fluid dynamical equations become possible.

Introduction and Model

For anti-force waves the electric field force on electrons is in the opposite direction of the wave propagation; however, the electron gas pressure is considered to be large enough to provide the driving force. The leading edge of the wave is treated as a shock front followed by a thin dynamical transition region, referred to as the sheath region of the wave. Following the sheath region of the wave is a relatively thicker region, in which the electron gas cools down through further ionization of the heavy particles. This region is referred to as the quasi-neutral-region of the wave. In the sheath region, the electric field starting with its maximum value at the shock front reduces to zero at the trailing edge of the wave; and the electrons, starting with an initial speed at the wave front, slow down to speeds comparable to those of ions and neutral particles.

To analyze breakdown waves, we use the set of equations which were developed by Fowler et al.

(1984). This set of equations describes pro-force waves and has proven to be successful (1984). The set of equations consists of the equations of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy plus the Poisson's equation, and they respectively are

$$\frac{d(nv)}{dx} = n\beta, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[mnv(v-V) + nkT_e] = -enE - Kmn(v-V), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[mnv(v-V)^2 + nkT_e(5v-2V) + 2env\Phi - \frac{5nkT_e}{mK} \frac{dT_e}{dx}] = -3\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)nkKT_e - \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)Kmn(v-V)^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} n\left(\frac{v}{V} - 1\right). \quad (4)$$

where n , v , T_e , e and m represent the electron number density, velocity, temperature, charge, and mass, respectively, and M , E , E_0 , V , k , K , x , β and ϕ represent the neutral particle mass, electric field within the sheath region, electric field at the wave front, wave velocity, Boltzmann's constant, elastic collision frequency, position within the sheath region, ionization frequency and ionization potential of the gas.

To reduce the set of electron fluid dynamical equations to a non-dimensional form, Fowler et al. (1984), introduced the following set of dimensionless variables:

$$\eta = \frac{E}{E_0}, \nu = \left(\frac{2e\phi}{\epsilon_0 E_0^2}\right)n, \psi = \frac{v}{V}, \theta = \frac{T_e k}{2e\phi}, \xi = \frac{eE_0 x}{mV^2},$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2e\phi}{mV^2}, \kappa = \frac{mV}{eE_0} K, \mu = \frac{\beta}{K}, \omega = \frac{2m}{M},$$

in which η , ν , ψ , θ , μ and ξ represent the dimensionless net electric field of the applied field plus the space charge field, electron number density, electron velocity, electron gas temperature, ionization rate, and position within the sheath region, while α and κ

represent wave parameters. Substituting these dimensionless variables in equations 1-4 yields

$$\frac{d(v\psi)}{d\xi} = \kappa\mu\nu, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}[v\psi(\psi-1) + \alpha v\theta] = -v\eta - \kappa v(\psi-1), \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}[v\psi(\psi-1)^2 + \alpha v\theta(5\psi-2) + \alpha v\psi + \alpha\eta^2 - \frac{5\alpha^2 v\theta}{\kappa} \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}] = -\omega\kappa[3\alpha\theta + (\psi-1)^2], \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \frac{v}{\alpha}(\psi-1). \quad (8)$$

To solve for anti-force problems, we will use the set of non-dimensional variables developed by Hemmati (1999), in which all quantities including κ are positive and ξ is positive backward. The set of non-dimensional variables for anti-force waves are

$$\eta = \frac{E}{E_0}, \nu = \left(\frac{2e\phi}{\varepsilon_0 E_0}\right)n, \psi = \frac{v}{V}, \theta = \frac{T_e k}{2e\phi}, \xi = -\frac{eE_0 x}{mV^2},$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2e\phi}{mV^2}, \kappa = -\frac{mV}{eE_0}K, \mu = \frac{\beta}{K}, \omega = \frac{2m}{M}.$$

For breakdown waves with a significant current behind the shock front, in addition to the Poisson's equation and equation of conservation of energy, the boundary condition on electron temperature at the shock front needs to be modified as well. For theoretical investigation of anti-force waves with a significant current behind the shock front, we will use Hemmati et al.'s (2011) modified set of electron fluid dynamical equations.

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}[v\psi] = \kappa\mu\nu, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}[v\psi(\psi-1) + \alpha v\theta] = v\eta - \kappa v(\psi-1), \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}[v\psi(\psi-1)^2 + \alpha v\theta(5\psi-2) + \alpha v\psi - \frac{5\alpha^2 v\theta}{\kappa} \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + \alpha\eta^2] = 2\eta\kappa\alpha - \omega\kappa[3\alpha\theta + (\psi-1)^2], \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \kappa\iota - \frac{v}{\alpha}(\psi-1). \quad (12)$$

Where, with I_1 representing the current behind the shock front,

$$\iota = \frac{I_1}{\varepsilon_0 K E_0}, \quad (13)$$

is the dimensionless current behind the wave front.

Results and Discussion

Uman and McLain (1970) derived an expression to calculate the current for stepped leader (pro-force waves) in lightning. Their calculated values for current were in the range of 800 to 5000 amperes. With optical observations and measuring currents at the lightning channel base, Rakov et al. (1998) reported a stepped leader current value of 5 kA and return stroke (anti-force) peak current value of 10 kA. In their study of lightning attachment processes in rocket-triggered lightning strokes, for return-strokes, Wang et al. (1999) reported a current peak value of about $12 \approx 21 \text{ kA}$. Determining K from experimental curves (McDaniel 1964), at a temperature of 10^5 K , K will be 2.4×10^9 for helium and 9×10^9 for nitrogen. In our formulas E_0 , K , and β are scaled with electron pressure, P , and the applied fields are of the order of 10^5 V/m . For $I_1 = 10 \text{ kA}$, using the values of I_1 , ε_0 , E_0 , and K , one can estimate the value of the dimensionless current, ι , which is on the order of one. Using helium-filled discharge tubes with different diameters, Asinovsky et al. (1994) measured breakdown wave speeds ranging from 10^7 m/s to $6 \times 10^7 \text{ m/s}$.

We use a trial and error method to integrate equations (9-12). For a given wave speed, α , at the wave front a set of values of wave constant, κ , electron velocity, ψ_1 , and electron number density, v_1 , were selected and equations (9-12) were integrated with that set. The values of κ , ψ_1 , and v_1 were changed repeatedly in integrating equations (9-12), until the process leads to a conclusion in agreement with the expected conditions at the trailing edge of the wave.

Using Hemmati et al.'s (2011) modified electron temperature at the shock front,

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\psi_1(1-\psi_1)}{\alpha} - \frac{\kappa\iota}{v_1}, \quad (14)$$

Low Speed Current Bearing Anti-force Waves

for several current values and for a relatively low wave speed, we have been able to integrate equations (9-12) through the sheath region of the wave. Our solutions meet the expected physical conditions at the trailing edge of the wave. However, for low wave speeds, integration of the set of equations became possible for lower current values only. For wave speed value of 5.93×10^6 m/s ($\alpha = 0.25$), successful solutions required the following boundary values

$$l = 0.0, \kappa = 0.3883, \psi_1 = 0.96, v_1 = 0.985$$

$$l = 0.25, \kappa = 0.36115, \psi_1 = 0.9205, v_1 = 0.91405$$

$$l = 0.7, \kappa = 0.332, \psi_1 = 0.87, v_1 = 0.8342$$

$$l = 1.5, \kappa = 0.308, \psi_1 = 0.7805, v_1 = 0.723$$

Figure 1 is a graph of the electric field as a function of electron velocity. As the graph shows, dimensionless current value of 0.7 seems to be the maximum value for which solutions for the set of electron fluid dynamical equations come to a successful conclusion ($\eta_2 \rightarrow 0, \psi_2 \rightarrow 1$).

Figure 1. Electric field, η , as a function of electron velocity, ψ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 2 is a graph of the electric field as a function of position within the sheath region of the wave. As the graphs show, for larger current values the sheath thickness becomes larger as well.

Figure 2. Electric field, η , as a function of position, ξ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 3. Electron velocity, Ψ , as a function of position, ξ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 3 is a graph of the dimensionless electron velocity as a function of dimensionless position within the sheath region of the wave. Figure 4 is a graph of the dimensionless electron number density as a function of dimensionless position within the sheath region of the wave. For current values for which solutions to the set of EFD equations become possible, 0.8 seems to be the average dimensionless electron number density within the sheath region of the wave. Dimensionless electron number density of 0.8 is equivalent to 8.85×10^{15} elc / m^3 . In his fluid model simulations of a 13.56-MHz rf discharge, David Graves (1987) reports electron number density values between $5 \times 10^{15} / m^3$ and $2 \times 10^{16} / m^3$.

Figure 4. Electron number density, v , as a function of position, ξ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values, 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 5. Electron temperature, θ , as a function of position, ξ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 5 shows a graph of the dimensionless electron temperature as a function of dimensionless position within the sheath region of the wave. Our average dimensionless electron temperature value of 2 is equivalent to an approximate temperature of 1.44×10^6 K. For ionizing waves propagating counter to strong electric fields (anti-force waves), Sanmann and Fowler (1975) reported that the electron temperature increases rapidly away from the wave front until it reaches a peak value of around 3.17×10^7 K.

Figure 6. Ionization rate, μ , as a function of position, ξ , within the sheath region of current bearing anti-force waves for a wave speed value of $\alpha=0.25$ and for current values 0, 0.25, 0.7 and 1.5.

Figure 6 is a graph of the dimensionless ionization rate as a function of dimensionless position with the sheath region of the wave. Earlier studies considered the ionization rate to be a function of temperature only; however, in our numerical integration of the set of electron fluid dynamical equations, the ionization rate was calculated considering both random and directed motion of the electrons (Fowler 1983). The graphs indicate that the ionization rate remains almost constant at the beginning of the sheath; however, it varies slightly as we traverse through the sheath.

Conclusions

For low wave speeds, solutions for the set of electron fluid dynamical equations became possible for smaller current values only. For low wave speeds, integration of the set of electron fluid dynamical equations becomes very time consuming and difficult. However, for larger current values, the sheath thickness becomes larger and makes the integration of the set of equations even harder. However, for wave speed value of 5.93×10^6 m/s ($\alpha = 0.25$), dimensionless current value of 0.7 ($I_1 \leq 10$ kA) seems to be the cut-off point for current. For fast moving waves, $v = 10^8$ m/s, we have been able to find solutions for dimensionless current values as high as 10. Our results are in good agreement with the results reported by other investigators; this is another confirmation of the validity of our set of electron fluid dynamical equations and boundary conditions.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express gratitude for the financial support provided by the Arkansas Space Grant Consortium.

Literature Cited

- Asinovsky EI, AN Lagarkov, VV Markovets and IM Rutkevich.** 1994. On the similarities of electric breakdown waves propagating in shielded discharge tubes. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, 3:556-563.
- Fowler RG.** 1983. A trajectory theory of ionization in strong electric fields. *Journal of Physics. B.* 16:4495
- Fowler RG, M Hemmati, RP Scott and S Parsenajadh.** 1984. Electric breakdown waves: Exact numerical solutions. Part I. The Physics of Fluids 27(6):1521-1526.
- Graves DB.** 1987. Fluid model simulations of a 13.56-MHz rf discharge: Time and space dependence of rates of electron impact excitation. *Journal of Applied Physics* 62(1): 8894.
- Hemmati M.** 1999. Electron shock waves: speed range for anti-force waves. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Symposium on Shock Waves; 1999 July 18-23; Imperial College, London, UK.* Pp. 2:995-1000.
- Hemmati M, WP Childs, H Shojaei and DC Waters.** 2011. Antiforce current bearing waves. *Proceedings of the 28th International Symposium on Shock Waves (ISSW28), July 2011, England.*
- McDaniel EW.** 1964. *Collision phenomena in ionized gases.* Wiley, New York
- Rakov VA, MA Uman, KI Rambo, MI Fernandez, RJ Fisher, GH Schnetzer, R Thottappillil, et al.** 1998. New insights into lightning processes gained from triggered-lightning experiments in Florida and Alabama. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103(D12):14117-14130.
- Sanmann E and RG Fowler.** 1975. Structure of Electron Fluid Dynamical Plane Waves: Anti-force Waves. *The Physics of Fluids* 18(11):1433-8.
- Umam MA and DK McLain.** 1970. Radiation fields and current of the lightning stepped leader. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 75:1058-1066.
- Wang D, VA Rakov, MA Uman, N Takagi, T Watanabe, DE Crawford, KJ Rambo, et al.** 1999. Attachment process in the rocket triggered lightning strokes. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 104(D2):2143-2150.