[Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas)

[Volume 61](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol61) Article 14

2007

Characterization of Bacteriophages of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato

Sara E. Prior University of Arkansas at Monticello

Amanda J. Andrews University of Arkansas at Monticello

Russell O. Nordeen University of Arkansas at Monticello, nordeen@uamont.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fjaas%2Fvol61%2Fiss1%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Plant Biology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/106?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fjaas%2Fvol61%2Fiss1%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Plant Pathology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/107?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fjaas%2Fvol61%2Fiss1%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Prior, Sara E.; Andrews, Amanda J.; and Nordeen, Russell O. (2007) "Characterization of Bacteriophages of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato," Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science: Vol. 61, Article 14. Available at: [https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol61/iss1/14](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol61/iss1/14?utm_source=scholarworks.uark.edu%2Fjaas%2Fvol61%2Fiss1%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact [scholar@uark.edu, uarepos@uark.edu.](mailto:scholar@uark.edu,%20uarepos@uark.edu)

Characterization ofBacteriophages of*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato*

Sara E. Priorⁱ, Amanda J. Andrewsⁱ, and Russell O. Nordeen^{1,2}

'School ofMathematical and Natural Sciences, University ofArkansas at Monticel1o, 397 *University Drive, Monticel1o, AR 71656*

2Correspondence: nordeen@uamont.edu

Abstract.-Bacteriophages from supernatants ofthe plant pathogenic bacteria *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (P. *tomato)* were isolated, enriched, and purified by density block centrifugation in cesium chloride (CsCl) step gradients. The DNA from purified phage was isolated and digested with the restriction endonucleases *EcoRl* or *HindIII.* Three different DNA fingerprint patterns were determined indicating 3 unique phage isolates. Genome sizes of the phage ranged from 40 to 52 kilobases (kB). Buoyant densities of phage particles in CsCl varied from 1.36 to 1.51 g/ml. Electron microscopy revealed a single morphological type with an elongated polyhedral head and a long tail indicating the family Siphoviridae.

Key words:- Bacteriophages, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato,* DNA, endonucleases, *EeoR*1, *HindlII,* Siphoviridae.

Introduction

Bacterial speck, first described and identified in Taiwan and the United States in 1933, caused a major outbreak in 1978, and the disease has become a major problem for tomato growers in certain regions of North America. Characterized by small brown to black lesions on various tomato tissues, this disease is caused by the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas tomato* (Jones et al. 1991). Bacterial speck is not significantly harmful to the plant itself, but severe spotting on the fruit can reduce marketable yield.

The taxonomic status of *P. tomato* is unclear. It is difficult to distinguish morphologically and physiologically from other pathovars of the species, particularly *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *s}Tingae (P. syringae).* Pathovars of*P. syringae* cause disease on different plant hosts, but *P. syringae* has also been characterized from necrotic lesions on infected tomato fruit (Jones et al. 1981).

Pseudomonas tomato is also of interest as it has been used as a model pathogen in studies with *Arabidopsis thaliana*, a common lawn weed of early spring that has proven amenable to molecular genetic manipulations. Researchers are studying *P. tomato* infections of *A. thaliana* to gain a better understanding of the molecular basis of bacterial disease and bacterial-disease resistance (Whalen and Staskawicz 1990). The genomes of A . *thaliana* and *P. tomato* strain DC3000 have been sequenced; however, there is limited knowledge of P. *tomato* temperate phage (Cuppels 1983, Minor et al. 1996).

Temperate phages capable of lysogeny probably account for most transduction-mediated gene flow among bacteria in nature and provide genetic systems that are most easily studied (Gerhardt et al. 1984, Kidambi et al. 1993). Genomic sequencing of a number of plant pathogenic bacteria has revealed that a substantial portion of these genomes contain mobile genetic elements, including up to 7% prophage sequences (Buell et al. 2003, Simpson et aI. 2000). The role of phage in the disease process caused by these bacterial pathogens is unclear at present. Studies have recently been performed to investigate

the suitability of phage in controlling bacterial plant diseases (Flaherty et al. 2000, Flaherty et aJ. 2001, Obradovic et al. 2004). Further genetic knowledge of phage used in these studies would be beneficial and might lead to a more efficient use of this disease-control strategy.

an WI 11 ac \mathbf{s} to

> O. ir N

> > CI e: o a F v

> > > g Ĩ

e $\frac{2}{\pi}$

The objectives of this study were to enrich for, then characterize bacteriophages of P. tomato by electron microscopy and restriction endonuclease digestion. This approach should reveal unique phage isolates for further transduction and possible DNA sequencing studies. The information from these studies should clarify the role these phage play in host-pathogen relationships between P. *tomato* strains and appropriate host plants.

Materials and Methods

*Bacterial Strains and Media.-*Three P. *tomato* strains, B19, Pst6, and PDDCC3647, which had proven to be suitable hosts for phage propagation and enrichment (Minor et al. 1996), were used for the isolation and characterization of phages in this study. NBY media was used for all bacterial strains (Vidaver 1967). NBY soft agar $(0.7g/100 \text{ ml})$ was used to create overlays for phage enrichment and titer(Adams 1959). Media components as well as all reagents for phage enrichment and DNA isolation were obtained from Fisher (St. Louis, MO).

Propagation and Enrichment of Bacteriophages.-NBY thick agar plates containing 35 to 40 ml of freshly prepared NBY agar were overlaid with 3 ml of molten NBY soft agar at 50° C containing approximately 5×10^7 cells per ml of the appropriate propagating strain. These strains were grown to stationary phase in NBY broth at 28° C and diluted in phage buffer to an optical density of 0.15 at 600 nm. The phage buffer consisted of 10 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, and 10 mM MgSO $_{4}$. Following overnight incubation at 28° C (I5 to 18 hours), 5 ml of phage buffer was added to the surface of the overlay, and the plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes to 2 hours or 4° C overnight. The phage buffer was then drawn off with a sterile Pasteur pipet

and placed into 30-ml Oak Ridge centrifuge tubes. The tubes were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm at 4° C for at least 10 minutes. The supernatants were filtered through a 0.2-mm cellulose acetate filter into sterile 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes. These phage solutions were stored at 4° C until they were used in experiments to determine phage titer.

56

were ified were :s of ated

lSe5 al. lies this

len lpy tJ1d Uld :se :en)st

IS, Ie i), is

is ts n

er

'/. (

 $\frac{1}{2}$ ì

Phage enrichments for DNA isolation were prepared using *0.35%* (w/v) of the synthetic gelling agent gelrite to avoid inhibition of restriction endonucleases (Bouche 198I). The NBY thick-plate underlay contained 0.74% (w/v) gelrite. The enrichment plates were incubated overnight (15-18 hours) at 28° C and overlaid with 5 ml of phage buffer for 30 min at room temperature. The enriched bacteriophage preparations were centrifuged and filtered as previously described. Titers of the enriched bacteriophage isolates were determined by the plateoverlay method (Adams 1959). Following overnight incubation at 28° C, the plates were checked and the phage titer determined. High-titer phage isolates, $>10^9$ pfu (plaque forming units) per ml, were further concentrated to $>10^{10}$ pfu per ml by polyethylene glycol 6000 precipitation (Yamamoto et al. 1970). High-titer phage stocks were purified by CsCI block density gradients (Davis et al. 1980).

Electron Microscopy.-Phages purified from CsC! gradients were dialyzed in two changes of phage buffer overnight at 4° C to remove CsCI. The phage titers were determined and samples with a titer of $>10^{10}$ pfu per ml were spread onto grids with carbon-coated Formvar support films, negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate, and examined with a Joel 1200EX electron microscope.

Phage DNA Extraction and Digestion with Restriction Endonucleases.-EDTA was added to purified phage at a 20-mM final concentration. The phage were treated with proteinase K at 20 mg/ml and 0.5% Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (5DS) at 56° C for 1 hr to disrupt phage heads and digest phage proteins. An equal volume of phenol was added to extract proteins followed by an equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1 v/v) to remove remaining proteins and the phenol. The supernatant containing phage DNA was precipitated by adding a one-tenth volume of 3-M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 2 volumes of absolute alcohol followed by overnight incubation at -20" C. DNA was collected by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm in a microcentrifuge for 10 minutes and washed in 70% ethanol and centrifuged again at 14,000 rpm for 2 minutes.

After pellets had dried at room temperature, they were resuspended in 50 microliters of TE buffer (10 mM Tris 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0). Ten-microliter samples were run on 0.7% agarose gels to determine purity and estimate the volume needed for restriction endonuclease digestion. Approximately one microgram of phage DNA was digested in appropriate buffers containing RNase at a final concentration of 10 mg/ml to eliminate RNA, which was abundant in most samples.

Restriction endonuclease digests were incubated with 2 to 6 units of *EcoRI* or *HindIII* per microgram of DNA at 37° C for 3 hours. DNA digests were electrophoresed in 0.7% agarose gels in TAE buffer at a constant voltage of 5 to 6 volts per cm. *EcoRI* and HindlII endonucleases were purchased from Promega (Milwaukee, WI). Size standards for gel electrophoresis consisted of bacteriophage lambda DNA digested with HindIII. Following staining of gels with ethidium bromide (4 mg/mI), gels were destained in distilled water and documented with Polaroid film or an Alpha Innotech imaging system. Sizes of DNA fragments were determined by plotting the log of the molecular weight of DNA fragments observed against the distance traveled in mm.

Results

Three strains of *P. tomato* (819, Pst6, and PDDCC3647) were used to enrich bacteriophages. These strains were selected on the basis of a preliminary survey for bacteriophage production by 44 P. tomato strains (Minor et al. 1996). Plate enrichments using these 3 hosts produced titers of $>10^{10}$ pfu (plaque forming units) per ml after concentration with PEG6000. (Table 1).

Initial attempts to purify phage using CsCI block gradients containing three 2.4-ml layers of 1.7 g/ml , 1.5 g/ml , and 1.45 g/ml caused the phage to band in the upper third of the 12-ml tubes used. Centrifugations were performed in a SW41 rotor at 23,000 rpm for 2 hours at room tempemture. Subsequent purifications used five 2-ml layers of 1.7 g/ml , 1.5 g/ml , 1.45 g/ml , 1.3 g/ml , and 1.2 g/ml and 1.0 ml of enriched phage in phage buffer. Using this protocol, phage banded near the middle of the centrifugation tubes; although both upper diffuse and

Table 1. Source of P. tomato bacteriophages.

aMinor et al. 1996

Fig. I. Electron micrographs ofnegatively-stained *Pseudomonas tomato* phages A, *Pta*I6, B, *Pto21,C, Pto33.* Bar in A and B is 100 nm. Bar in C is 200nm.

lower intense bands were noted for most phage. Multiple bands, especially of lower density, may contain phage ghosts devoid of DNA. Phage titers of lower-density bands had 2-10 times fewer pfu/ml than higher-density bands (data not shown). Phage were removed from the sides of the centrifuge tubes using a sterile 18-gauge needle. They were dialyzed twice overnight against 1.0 liter of phage buffer at 4° C in dialysis tubing with an 8,000 dalton molecular weight cutoff.

Phage densities were determined using a refractometer. Phage densities ranged from 1.36 to 1.51 g/ml as shown in Table 2. Purified phage with titers of $>10^{10}$ pfu/ml were used for EM analysis and DNA extraction. Only one morphological group was observed in EM studies of the enriched and purified phage (Fig. I). This morphology has been previously reported for phage from *P. tomato* strains (Cuppels 1983). The phage examined by EM in this study each had a long flexible tail and a polyhedral head. Dimensions of phage heads and tails are given in Table 2.

*EeoR*I and *HindUI* restriction endonuclease patterns indicated 3 unique phage isolates as shown in Fig. 2. Phage from group I has a genome size of 52 kB, phage from group II has a genome size of 40 kB, and phage from group III has a genome size of48 kB (Table 2). All phage propagated on *P. tomato* strain 3647 (group I) gave similar restriction fragment patterns with *EeoRI* and *HindIII* (data not sho\\n). All phage except *Pto21* (group II) propagated on *P. tomato* strain B19 and strain Pst6 also gave similar *EeoRI* and *HindIU* DNA fingerprints (group Ill) with the exception of Pto18. Pto18 has an extra DNA fragment of approximately 5 kB for a total genome size of 53 kB. We suspect that this additional DNA fragment may be an insertion

Fig. 2. Electrophoretic patterns of *EcoR1* digests of bacteriophage DNA(O.7% gel). A. bacteriophage lambda digested \\ith *HindlU.* Size of lambda standards are indicated to the left in kB.

sequence since these are known to be common in the *P. tomato* genome (Buell et al. 2003). *Pto21* appears to be unique based on restriction fragment patterns with *EeoRI* and *HindIlI.*

Discussion

Our results confirm earlier investigations of *P. tomato* phage that indicated that approximately 50% of*P. tomato* strains contain what appear to be temperate phage (Cuppels 1983). Induction studies using UV and mitomycin C failed to yield phage from the propagating strains (data not shown). Group I phage failed to plaque on *P. tomato* strains PST6 and B19. Group

Table 2. Phage characteristics.

* $C =$ clear, $H =$ halo, $T =$ turbid N/D=not determined

e

II and TIl phage failed to plaque on *P. tomato* strain 3647. This may indicate a host range difference of these phage or possibly immunity due to prophage in the propagating strains that can not be mduced. Phage *Pto2I* appears to produce plaques with clear edges indicating that this phage may be capable of rapid lysis. The phage in this study appear similar in morphology to those isolated by Cuppels (1983) and Nordeen (Nordeen et al. 1983); although the heads of group I and II phage were elongated and not isometric as those isolated by Cuppels.

The long, flexible, tail and polyhedral heads place these Phage in the family Siphoviridae (Ackennan 1973). The phage propagated on *P. tomato* strain 3647 appear to be identical based on DNA fingerprinting with *EeoRI* and *HindIII.* This result is not surprising since the *P. tomato* strains from which these phage were isolated all came from California initially (including strain PT23.2) except *Pto16*, which was isolated from the Canadian strain DC84-1. The history of these strains is unknown, but given their limited geographic origin, it was not unexpected that the phage isolated from them might be similar ^{or identical.} Surprisingly, the type III phage isolates came from bacterial host strains with a diverse geographical origin. The

host strains that produced these phage were isolated in North America, Europe, and even Australia (strain 30555), and yet DNA fingerprinting indicates the phage isolates are identical. Phage *Pto*21 from a South African strain appears to be distinct from the other phage based on DNA fingerprinting. Although this is not surprising given the geographical origin of this strain, the significance of this finding is not clear at present. All of the phage isolated in this study appear to be suitable for transduction analyses based on their genome size. These studies would be useful in determining lateral transmission of virulence genes on the phylloplane surface (Kidambi et al. 1993). Sequencing of these phage should elucidate the role they play in disease development and may reveal additional novel genes of interest as demonstrated in the recent sequencing of 18 *P. aeruginosa* phage (Kwan et aI. 2006) in which over 50% of open reading frames were novel.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.-This study could not have been completed without the assistance of a number of people, some of whom deserve special mention. Thanks to Drs. Ed Bacon and Marvin Fawley of UAM for use of equipment and supplies

R7

87

Sara E. Prior, Amanda J. Andrews, and Russell O. Nordeen

located in their labs. Thanks to Dr. John Iandolo of the University of Oklahoma Medical School Department of Microbiology and Immunology for the use of his lab and department equipment for most of this study. I also am indebted to Dr. landolo for his expert advice and encouragement of this research. Thanks to Ben Fowler of the Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation for the excellent EM of phage in this study. Finally I thank the UAM Academic Research granting agency for funds used in this investigation.

Literature Cited

- Ackerman HW 1973. Tailed bacteriophages: Listing by morphological group. *In:* A.I. Laskin and H. A. Lechevalier, editors. CRC handbook of Microbiology. Vol. 1. Cleveland, OH : Chemical Rubber Company Press. p 579-607.
- Adams M K 1959. Bacteriophages. New York: Interscience Publications Inc. 592 p.
- Buell CR, VJordan, M Lindeberg,J Selengut, IT Paulsen, M L Gwinn, RJ Dodson, RT Deboy, AAS Durkin,JF Kolonay, R Madupu, S Daugherty, L Brinkac, MJ Beanan, DH Haft, WC Nelson, T Davidsen, N Zafar, L Zbou, J Liu, Q Yuan, H Khouri, N Fedorova, B Tran, D Russell, K Berry, T Utterback, SE Van Aken, T V Feldblyum, M D'Ascenzo, W-L Deng, A R Ramos, JR Alfano, S Cartinhour, AK Chatterjee, T P Delaney, SG Lazarowitz, GB Martin, DJ Schneider, X Tang, C L Bender, O White, C M Fraser and A Collmer 2003. The complete genome sequence of the Arabidopsis and tomato pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 100:10181-10186.
- Boucbe JP 1981. The Effect of Spermidine on Endonuclease Inhibition by Agarose Contaminants. Analytical Biochemistry 115:42-45.
- Cuppels D A 1983. Isolation and Characterization of Phages Useful for Identifying *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato.* Phytopathology 73:1376-1381.
- Davis R W, D Botstein, and JR Rotb. 1980. A manual for genetic engineering. advanced bacterial genetics. Cold Spring Harbor. NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. 251 p.
- FIabert}· JE, JB Jones, BK Harbaugb, GC Somodi, and LE Jackson. 2000. Control of bacterial spot on tomato in the greenhouse and field and h-mutant bacteriophages. HortScience 35:882-884.
- Flaberty JE, BK Harbaugb, JB Jones, and GC Somodi. 2001. H-Mutant Bacteriophages as a Potential Biocontrol of Bacterial Blight of Geranium. HortScience 36:98-100.
- Gerhardt, et al. Manual of Methods for General Bacteriology. 1984. American Society for Microbiology p. 222-265.9
- Jones J B, JP Jones, R G Stall, and T A Zitter. 1991. Compendium of Tomato Diseases. American Phytopathological Society Press. p.26-30.

JonesJ B, S M McCarter, and R Gitaitis. 1981. Association of

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *syringae* with a leaf spot disease of tomato transplants in southern Georgia. Phytopathology 71:1281-1285.

-

- Kidambi S, P S Ripp, and RV Miller. 1993. Evidence for Phage- Y Mediated Gene Transfer among *Pseudomonas aernginosa* Strains on the Phylloplane. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 60:496-500.
- Kwan T, J Liu, M DuBow, P Gros and J Pelletier. 2006. Comparative Genomic Analysis of 18 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Bacteriophages. Journal of Bacteriology 188:1184-1187.
- Minor SM, RO Nordeen and C E Paschall. 1996. Patrial Characterization of Bacteriophages of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato. Proceedings of the Arkansas Academy of Science 50:137-140.
- Nordeen R, K Morgan, and T Currier. 1983. Isolation and Partial Characterization of Bacteriophages of the Phytopathogen *Pseudomonas syringae.* Applied and Enviromental Microbiology. 45:1890-1898.
- ObradovicA,JBJones, MT Momol, B Balogh, and SM Olson. 2004. Management of Tomato Bacterial Spot in the Field by Foliar Application of Bacteriophages and SAR Inducers. Plant Disease 88:736-740.
- SimpsonAJG, FC Reinach, P Arruda, FAAbreu, M Acencio, R Alvarenga, LMC Alves,JE Araya, GS Bala, CS Baptista, MH Barros, ED Bonaccorsi, S Bordin, JM Bove, MRS Briones, MRP Bueno, AA Camargo, LEA Camargo, DM Carraro, H Carrer, NB Colaute, C Colombo, FF Costa, MCR Costa, CM Costa-Neto, LLCoutinbo, M Cristofani, EDias-Neto, C Docena, H EI-Dorry, AP Facincani, AJS Ferreira, VCA Ferreira,JA Ferro,JS Fraga, SC Franca, MC Franco, M Frobme, LR Furfan, M Garnier, GH Goldman, MHS Goldman, SL Gomes, A Gruber, PL Ho, JD Hobeisel, ML Junqueira, EL Kemper, JP Kitajima, JE Krieger, EE Kuramae, F Laigret, MR Lambais, LCC Madeira, C L Marino, MV Marques, EAL Martins, EMF Martins, AY Matsukuma, CFM Menck, EC Miracca, CY Miyaki, CB Monteiro-Vitorello, D H Moon, MA Nagai, ALTO Nascimento, LES Netto, A Nhani Jr, FG Nobrega, LR Nunes, MA Oliveira, MC de Oliveira, RC de Oliveira, DA Palmieri, A Paris, BR Peixoto, GAG Pereira, HA Pereira Jr, JB Pesquero, RB Quaggio, PG Roberto, V Rodrigues, AJ de M Rosa, VE de Rosa Jr, RG de Sa, RV Santelli, HE Sawasaki, ACR da Silva, AM da Silva, FR da Silva, WA Silva Fr,JF da Silveira, MLZ Silvestri,WJ Siqueira, AP de Souza, MF Terenzi, DTruffi, SM Tsai, MH Tsuhako, H Vallada, MA Van Sluys, S Verjovski-Almeida, AL Vettore, MA Zago, M Zatz, J Meidanis and JC. Setubal. 2000. The genome sequence of the plant *pathogenXylellafastidiosa.* Nature 406:151-157.
- Vidaver A K. 1967. Synthetic and complex media for the rapid detection of fluorescence of phytogenic pseudomonads: Effect of the carbon source. Applied Microbiology 15:1523-1524.

Published by Arkansas Academy of Science, 2007
88

sease Whalen MC and BJ Staskawicz. 1990. Molecular Basis of blogy Host Range and Specificity in Two Bacterial pathogens of

£

Tomato. Wiley-Liss, Inc. p. 285-290.

Large-Scale Virus Purification. Viriology 40:734-744.

hage- Yamamoto KR, BMAlberts, R Benzinger, L Lawhorne, and *;nosa* G Treiber. 1970. Rapid Bacteriophage Sedimentation in ental the Presence of Polyethylene Glycol and Its Application to

:006. *mas* logy

trial *ngae* ence

tion 'the and

son. dby :rs.

[),R sta,

[RS ${\bf DM}$ sta, ~ni, I.JS Ica, $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ Ero, na, cc ~IF cY ~ai, ga, ra, fA .V tV OR *VJ* ai, d· Id nt id

5:

ÿ

https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol61/is**s16irnal of the Arkansas Academy of Science, Vol. 61, 2007**

89