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DISTRIBUTIONAL SURVEY OF THE BIRD-VOICED TREEFROG, Hyla avivoca (Anura: Hylidae), IN ARKANSAS

STANLEY E. TRAUTH
Department of Biological Sciences
Arkansas State University
State University, AR 72467

ABSTRACT

A field study of the bird-voiced treefrog, Hyla avivoca, was conducted in Arkansas during the summer of 1991. A total of 75 separate sites in 23 counties was visited. Males with their distinctive whistle-like calls were listened for at night at each site. Breeding colonies of Hyla avivoca were found in four of the six major river basins; the study established three new county records. Currently, this species has been documented at 14 sites in 10 counties; in very few situations were the treefrogs locally abundant (voucher specimens deposited in the Arkansas State University Museum of Zoology). Habitat perturbation and reduction by man within the available wetland habitats have undoubtedly contributed to the extirpation of this species from many potentially-favorable aquatic ecosystems.

INTRODUCTION

The bird-voiced treefrog, Hyla avivoca, has a sporadic and poorly-documented distribution in the three states (Arkansas, Louisiana, and Oklahoma) from which the species is known west of the Mississippi River (Smith, 1966; Krupa et al., 1985; Dundee and Rossman, 1989; Conant and Collins, 1991). Recent studies by Trauth and Robinette (1990a, b) on the distribution of Arkansas populations of H. avivoca indicate a more extensive range than the one mapped by Conant and Collins (1991). The species generally inhabits large rivers, headwater swamps, and swampy floodplains and lakes in the southern half of the state. The breeding season normally begins in April and ends in August (Mount, 1975; Dundee and Rossman, 1989).

The first specimens of Hyla avivoca collected in Arkansas were taken from Pope County (Turnipseed, 1976), although audio recordings were available from a site just south of Little Rock (Saline County) as early as 1973 (Davis and Hollenback, 1978). Other than the distributional data summarized by Trauth and Robinette (1990a), no studies have documented (with the use of voucher specimens) additional populations in Arkansas. The objective of the present study was to survey optimal habitats for H. avivoca within the major river basins of Arkansas. This type of survey provides additional baseline data on biological diversity of aquatic ecosystems in the state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 75 separate sites in 23 counties in Arkansas was visited at night from 19 May through 5 July, 1991 (Fig. 1). Breeding males with their distinctive whistle-like calls were listened for at each site. Fifty-six male frogs were collected to serve not only as voucher specimens but were also utilized in the analysis of food habits and parasite load. Although each site was searched for females, none was observed during the study. All specimens were deposited in the herpetological collection of the Arkansas State University Museum of Zoology (ASUMZ).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By combining the locality sites for Hyla avivoca recorded in Trauth and Robinette (1990a) with those of the present study, bird-voiced treefrogs are now known to occur in four of the six major river basins (see Smith et al., 1984) occurring in Arkansas. In addition, the present study

Figure 1. Localities (closed circles) in Arkansas which were searched for bird-voiced treefrogs from 19 May through 5 July, 1991. County abbreviations are as follows: AR—Arkansas; AS—Ashley; CA—Calhoun; CH—Chico; CL—Cleveland; CO—Conway; CR—Crittenden; DA—Dallas; DR—Drew; FA—Faulkner; GR—Grant; JE—Jefferson; LA—Lafayette; LI—Lincoln; MO—Monroe; OU—Ouachita; PE—Perry; PH—Phillips; PO—Pope; SA—Saline; UN—Union; WH—White; WO—Woodruff. New county records (*) and historic sites are numerically labeled as follows: 1) Goose Pond, 2) Goose Pond, 3) Lorance Creek, 4) Ferguson Lake, 5) Cox Creek Lake, 6) White Oak Lake, 7) Ouachita River at Camden, 8) Calion Lake, 9) Louisiana Purchase Historic State Park, 10) tributary of West Fork Point Remove Creek, 12) spring Lake, 13) *Flag Pond, and 14) *Lake Erling.

established three new county records; these localities as well as historic sites for H. avivoca are shown in Fig. 1 (see Appendix 1 for data on the township, range, and section for all sites visited). The new county records and their map designations are as follows: Faulkner County (13), Lafayette County (14), and Monroe County (10).
Mississippi River Basin.—Several potential sites in three counties along the Mississippi River were visited. The most promising locality, Wapanocca National Wildlife Refuge in Crittenden County, contained habitats typical for \( H. \) avivoca in other parts of the state. Common anuran species, such as \( Acris \) crepitans, \( Hyla \) chrysoscelis, \( H. \) cinerea, \( Rana \) catesbeiana, and \( R. \) clamans were all breeding there on 20 May. At another site, a swampy floodplain in the St. Francis National Forest (Phillips County), was also ideally suited for bird-voiced treefrogs. \( Hyla \) chrysoscelis, \( R. \) clamans, and \( G. \) carolinensis were calling there on 23 May. Potential habitat along Lake Chicot (Chicot County) was visited on 1 June and had numerous breeding populations of \( R. \) catesbeiana.

White River Basin.—Although numerous aquatic areas were visited within this drainage, only two contiguous sites in Phillips and Monroe counties yielded \( H. \) avivoca. Both were associated with a headwater swamp located in the vicinity of the Louisiana Purchase Historic State Park (sites 9 and 10). Surprisingly, few males were heard calling at these sites considering the protected status of the park. Site 9, representing the historic site for \( H. \) avivoca within the park (documented by the Arkansas Heritage Commission), and site 10 (1.0 km west of this site) were visited frequently at night during time spent within this basin in order to confirm that environmental conditions were conducive for calling by males throughout the basin. The populations at sites 9 and 10 represent the easternmost ones presently known for the species in Arkansas.

Arkansas River Basin.—\( Hyla \) avivoca have been collected from seven sites in this basin. I failed to find frogs in the swampy habitats along the lower stretches of the Arkansas River drainage in Arkansas and Jefferson counties, although these areas appeared to provide ideal habitat situations; yet, sites 3, 4, and 12 just south of Little Rock (Saline County) and sites farther north along the Arkansas River in Faulkner (13), Conway (2 and 11), and Pope (1) counties supported the large populations of the frog. The largest aggregate of breeding males found during the present study was within a floodplain along the West Fork of Point Remove Creek (site 11) in Conway County. On each of three visits (25 May, 9 June, and 4 July) to this site, calling males were so numerous that samples of specimens were taken within minutes of arrival. Estimates of population size (including unobserved females) were roughly from 100 to 200 individuals. Historic sites 1 and 2, just to the north of site 11, were remote and not visited. Flag Pond (site 13), a new record for \( H. \) avivoca, is one of many swampy floodplain habitats sporadically distributed along the Arkansas River and represents an “enclave for \( H. \) avivoca surrounded by cultivated fields.” Males were calling here on 16 June. Sites 3 and 4 in Saline County are historic sites for the species; males were calling at site 12 (near Spring Lake in Saline County), just southwest of the two above, on 3 June.

Ouachita River Basin.—Four historic sites for \( H. \) avivoca (5, 6, 7, and 8) occur in this basin which includes most of southern Arkansas. The Coss Creek Lake site (5) in Grant County was visited to verify the existence of calling males within the upper limits of this region; however, no new sites were discovered within ideal habitats along the Saline River. Aquatic habitats in the vicinity of the lower access points to Seven Devils Swamp (Drew County), likewise, yielded no bird-voiced treefrogs. Also, many other promising swampy areas associated with Bayou Bartholomew in Ashley County (e.g., Lake Grampius, Parkin’s Slough, Walker’s Slough, and Sawyer Slough) were checked on 2 June without success. The historic sites (6, 7, and 8) were not visited during the present study.

Red River Basin.—The southwesternmost locality (site 14) for \( H. \) avivoca in Arkansas was discovered on 5 July on Lake EriI (Lafayette County). Male choruses were heard on either side of the lake along St. Highway 360. An additional site in northwestern Lafayette County near the Red River (Tom White Lake) was noted by the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission in 1981, although no voucher or other specimen was taken. The only other site within this basin in known from the Little River in Oklahoma (Krupa et al., 1985; Krupa, 1986).

Summary and Recommendations.—The bird-voiced treefrog is currently known from 14 sites and 10 counties in Arkansas. Populations are distributed discontinuously within four major river basins. In most instances, the species exists in isolated enclaves (e.g., in permanently aquatic floodplains, small lakes, swamps, or sloughs) along major river systems. Population size and structure are variable in the localized demes; the largest aggregate of frogs observed occurred in a floodplain, whereas the smallest was in a headwater swamp. Habitat perturbation and reduction by man within aquatic ecosystems along major aquatic transportation or thoroughfare routes have undoubtedly contributed to the extirpation of this species in many stretches of potentially-favorable riparian habitats. In addition, industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste discharge practices that require or indirectly lead to an aquatic disposal of pollutants will continue to reduce and degrade the availability of the optimal habitat conditions. The present status of the known populations of \( Hyla \) avivoca in Arkansas is uncertain, but the survival requirements of this species are directly related to its habitat preference; i.e., they currently exist in a limited number of favorable aquatic environmental settings. Further alteration of these and similar habitats may result in population declines and total extirpation in some areas. Additional studies into the life history and ecology of this species in Arkansas (i.e., Jamieson et al., in press) may help determine the direction of any future management efforts that may be needed to retain or protect thriving colonies of frogs. Given the interest in the global reduction of anuran populations and amphibians as a whole, long-term research efforts are a matter of necessity and are in tune with the future of sound conservation policies.
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APPENDIX I

The following general localities and their township, range, and section (in parentheses) were visited in an attempt to find bird-voiced treefrogs from 19 May through 5 July, 1991. The localities have been grouped according to their respective river basin and county. An asterisk denotes a collection site and includes ASUMZ voucher numbers.

Mississippi River Basin.—CHICOT: Lake Chicot (no T.R.S); CRITTENDEN: Wapapooza National Wildlife Refuge (T9N, R3E, S3); PHILLIPS: St. Francis National Forest (T1S, R5E, S29), Humphrey Slough and St. Hwy 20 (T4S, R2E, S22), Long Lake Bayou and St. Hwy 20 (T3S, R3E, S35), Long Lake Bayou and St. Hwy 20 (T3S, R4E, S23), Long Lake Bayou and St. Hwy 20 (T2S, R3E, S30).

White River Basin.—ARKANSAS: Prairie Bayou at Weber (T6S, R1W, S30), MONROE: White River National Wildlife Refuge and St. Hwy 1 (T4S, R1W, S4; T3S, R1W, S34, 26), Indian Bayou (T3S, R1W, S26), Prairie Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 1 (T3S, R1E, S18), Big Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 1 (T3S, R1E, S5), Little Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 1 (T3S, R1W, S36; T1N, R1W, S36; ASUMZ 17766), Little Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 1 (T1N, R1W, S27, 21), Cypress Creek and U.S. Hwy 49 (T2N, R2W, S14); PHILLIPS: Little Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 39 (T2S, R1E, S9), Little Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 49 (T1S, R1E, S21), Big Cypress and St. Hwy 318 (T5S, R1E, S24), Big Creek and U.S. Hwy 49 (T2S, R2E, S36), Little Cypress Creek and U.S. Hwy 49 (T1S, R1E, S21), Little Cypress Creek and St. Hwy 49 (T5N, R4W, S15), Bear Slough and St. Hwy 262 (T6N, R4W, S34), Cache River and St. Hwy 38 (T4N, R3W, S5), Little Cypress Creek and U.S. Hwy 17 (T4N, R2W, S29, 30).
