

1988

Notes on the Biology of *Thyanta claceala* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on *Tephrosia virginiana* (Leguminosae), a New Host Plant

Phoebe A. Harp
Arkansas State University

Harvey E. Barton
Arkansas State University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas>

 Part of the [Botany Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Harp, Phoebe A. and Barton, Harvey E. (1988) "Notes on the Biology of *Thyanta claceala* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on *Tephrosia virginiana* (Leguminosae), a New Host Plant," *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*: Vol. 42 , Article 34.
Available at: <http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol42/iss1/34>

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This General Note is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, ccmiddle@uark.edu.

Arkansas Academy of Science

it is understood that the arguments of derivatives of the C_i 's are (t, t_0, p, q) and the arguments for the derivatives of the $X(u_j)$'s are $(t, C(t, t_0, p, q), q)$. The variational equations for the system on the manifold are

$$(VM1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t_0} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial X(u_j)}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t_0}, \quad \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t_0} (t_0, t_0, p, q) = X(u_j)(t_0, p, q);$$

$$(VM2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial u_k} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial X(u_j)}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial u_k}, \quad \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial u_k} (t_0, t_0, p, q) = \delta_{ik}$$

$$(VM3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial v_k} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial X(u_j)}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial v_k} + \frac{\partial X(u_j)}{\partial v_k}, \quad \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial v_k} (t_0, t_0, p, q) = 0.$$

J. B. BENNETT, Department of Computer Science, Mathematics and Physics, Arkansas State University, State University, AR 72467.

NOTES ON THE BIOLOGY OF *THYANTA CALCEATA* (HEMIPTERA: PENTATOMIDAE)
ON *TEPHROSIA VIRGINIANA* (LEGUMINOSAE), A NEW HOST PLANT

Thyanta calceata (Say) is distributed over the eastern United States from New England to Florida and west to Michigan, Illinois and Missouri (McPherson, The Pentatomoidea [Hemiptera] of northeastern North America with emphasis on the fauna of Illinois. S. Ill. Univ. Pr., Carbondale, 1982). The nymphal instars of this pentatomid have been previously described (Paskewitz and McPherson, Great Lakes Entomol. 15(4):231-255, 1982). *Tephrosia virginiana* (L.) ranges from Massachusetts south to Georgia, and west to Minnesota, Texas and Oklahoma (Steyermark, Flora of Missouri, Iowa St. Univ. Pr., Ames, 1975). This study presents additional information on the biology of this insect as it relates to *T. virginiana*, a previously unreported host plant.

McPherson (1982) reported *T. calceata* having been collected from soybean, red clover, blue-grass, cheat, wheat, timothy, winter cress, milkweed, horse-weed, buckbrush, *Lespedeza*, bean, pea, tomato, allegheny blackberry, common bromegrass, mullein, wild raspberry, goldenrod, and evening primrose. We have observed *T. calceata* commonly associated with *T. virginiana* in northeastern Arkansas on Crowley's Ridge and in northern Arkansas and southern Missouri on the Ozark Plateau.

Table 1. Occurrence of *Thyanta calceata* on *Tephrosia virginiana*, 28 April - 7 August 1987.

| | PREBLOOM | | | FLOWERING | | | | POSTBLOOM | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------|---|-----|-----------|------|----|------|-----------|---|--------|----|----|----|---|
| | April | | May | | June | | July | | | August | | | | |
| | 28 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 28 | 19 | 26 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 31 | 7 |
| Adult (N=23) | | | 1 | 3 | | 6 | | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | | 2 |
| 1st Instar (N=0) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2nd Instar (N=7) | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | 2 |
| 3rd Instar | | | | | | | | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 4th Instar (N=7) | | | | | 1 | | | 2 | 1 | | | 2 | | 1 |
| 5th Instar (N=1) | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |

General Notes

From 8 April to 7 August 1987, the study area was visited a total of 14 times for one hour each trip between 1040 - 1645 hrs. Collections were made by sweeping the plants with a sweep net. Specimens were transferred to a chloroform kill jar, then pinned, pointed, or preserved in 70% EtOH. Voucher specimens are located in the Arkansas State University Insect Collection, State University, AR 72467.

During this study, *T. calceata* was collected from and observed on a population of *T. virginiana* from 8 May - 7 August 1987 on Crowley's Ridge, in northeastern Arkansas. Adult and second through fifth nymphal instars were collected from this host plant throughout the study (Table 1). The absence of first nymphal instars in our collections was probably due to their small size and short stadia length.

No specimens of *T. calceata* were collected from this host plant during the prebloom stage (Table 1). Adults and second through fifth nymphal instars were collected throughout the flowering and postbloom stages of the host plant.

We thank Dr. E. Leon Richards, Arkansas State University for help in identification of *T. virginiana*.

PHOEBE A. HARP and HARVEY E. BARTON, Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, State University, AR 72467.

REPRODUCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF SOUTH FLORIDA *STERNOTHERUS ODORATUS* AND
KINOSTERNON BAURII (TESTUDINES: KINOSTERNIDAE)

The reproductive characteristics of south Florida kinosternids are poorly known; however, populations of the stinkpot, *Sternotherus odoratus*, in central (Gross, 1982) and north Florida (Iverson, 1977), and the striped mud turtle, *Kinosternon baurii*, in central (Einam, 1956; Lardie, 1975) and in north Florida (Iverson, 1977, 1979) have been studied. A comparative study of northern and southern United States populations of *S. odoratus* by Tinkle (1961) concluded that clutch size increases with increasing body size of the female. In addition, southern populations should produce multiple clutches and exhibit both early maturity and sexual dimorphism in size. These findings were later supported by Gibbons (1970) in central Florida. The objectives of this study are to provide information on the reproductive characteristics of *S. odoratus* and *Kinosternon baurii* from south Florida and to test Tinkle's (1961) summary of southern populations of *S. odoratus* from a region further south than his north Florida sample.

Fifty-four turtles were collected along 1 km of Snapper Creek canal between SW 87 Ave and US Hwy 1, and along 0.3 km of C-100A canal near 104th Str. and SW 82 Ave in Miami, Dade County, Florida, from June through August 1982. Specimens were captured by dipnet or wire mesh funnel traps (1.8 x 0.8 m and 0.8 x 0.5 m) baited with bread, fish and beef scraps. Carapace length (CL) and plastron length (PL) were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm. Females were considered mature if they possessed ovarian follicles exceeding 7 mm diameter or if oviductal eggs or corpora lutea were present (Iverson, 1979). Clutch size was estimated by counts of oviductal eggs, corpora lutea, or enlarged follicles over 11 mm for *S. odoratus* (Iverson, 1977) and 10 mm for *K. baurii* (Iverson, 1979). The presence of sperm in testes was used to determine maturity in males. All turtles were deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC. Means are followed by \pm one standard deviation. All measurements are in mm.

Sternotherus odoratus — Average carapace lengths for eighteen sexually mature females (77 ± 5.69 ; range = 52-80) and ten sexually mature males (68 ± 8.79 ; range = 62-86) support Tinkle's (1961) findings of sexual dimorphism in southern populations. This, however, was not observed by Tinkle (1961) in northern specimens. The small size at maturity in both males and females from south Florida is similar to those findings reported by Tinkle (1961) and Gibbons (1970) of 54, 61 and 52, 65, respectively. These data reveal an almost continuous north to south decrease in minimum size at maturity in Florida stinkpots. Mean clutch size was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) whether by counts of enlarged follicles (2.22 ± 0.441 N = 9), oviductal eggs (2.38 ± 0.51 ; N = 8), or corpora lutea (2.34 ± 0.76 ; N = 18). Based on oviductal eggs, clutch size was significantly larger ($t = 2.13$; $p < 0.05$) than the mean (1.74) reported by Gross (1982) in central Florida and significantly smaller ($t = 2.87$; $p < 0.05$) than the mean (3.2) in north Florida (Iverson, 1977). Clutch size, based on either corpora lutea ($r = 0.198$) or oviductal eggs ($r = 0.178$) showed no significant positive correlation with female plastron size at 0.05 level. Multiple sets of corpora lutea (largest first) followed by oviductal eggs found in nine female *S. odoratus* were 2,2 and 2 eggs (71 mmPL), 2,2,2 and 2 eggs (76 mmPL), 2,2,2 and 2 eggs (75 mmPL), 2,2 and 2 eggs (80 mmPL), 3,1 and 0 eggs (76 mmPL), 3,2 and 0 eggs (71 mmPL), 3,2 and 0 eggs (80 mmPL), 2,3 and 2 eggs (81 mmPL), and 2,3 and 2 eggs (85 mmPL). Pre-ovulatory follicles greater than 10 mm were found in all but the last three turtles. Three clutches are clearly produced by some turtles, however, production of four clutches by one female indicates that at least nine eggs are produced annually by south Florida stinkpots. Mean egg size for 19 oviductal eggs from eight females examined was 22.59 ± 2.10 (longest diameter; range = 20.0-29.0) by 13.63 ± 0.8 (shortest diameter; range = 12.2-15.0) and was not significantly correlated with female plastron size ($r = 0.142$; $p > 0.05$). It is unclear whether the absence of any significant positive correlation between clutch size and female plastron size of south Florida stinkpots reflects an artifact of a small sample, a geographic trend, or even local habitat differences. Although this sample was collected from a region further south than Tinkle's (1961), the presence of sexual dimorphism, early maturity, and multiple clutches from south Florida specimens corroborates Tinkle's (1961) summary of southern populations.

Kinosternon baurii — Average carapace lengths of fourteen sexually mature females (105 ± 10.0 ; range = 85-125) and twelve sexually mature males (91 ± 4.97 ; range = 90-98) indicates sexual dimorphism in size. Difference in mean clutch size for this sample was not significant whether estimated by counts of enlarged follicles (2.91 ± 1.75 ; N = 12), oviductal eggs (3.14 ± 1.67 ; N = 7), or corpora lutea (2.70 ± 1.43 ; N = 13). No significant difference in clutch size was found when compared to the 2.6 average in north Florida (Iverson, 1979). Multiple sets of corpora lutea (largest first) and oviductal eggs found in three turtles were 5,3 and 5 eggs (102 mmPL), 3,5 and 3 eggs (104 mmPL), and 3,2 and 3 eggs (95 mmPL). Pre-ovulatory follicles greater than 10 mm were found in all three specimens. Egg retention is suspected in one turtle (109 mmPL) that contained two corpora lutea (5.7 and 5.3 mm) and one oviductal egg. Clutch size, based either on corpora lutea ($r = 0.253$; $p > 0.05$) or oviductal eggs ($r = -0.321$; $p > 0.05$) was not significantly correlated with plastron size. These data suggest that at least nine eggs are produced from at least three clutches. Mean egg size for 22 oviductal eggs from seven females examined was 27.57 ± 1.63 (longest diameter; range = 25.0-31.0) by 15.30 ± 1.40 (shortest diameter; range = 11.7-17.3) and egg length was significantly correlated with female plastron size ($r = 0.816$; $p < 0.05$). No such correlation was found in north Florida (Iverson, 1979) using a larger sample.

The influence of tropical conditions is known to play a role in multiple clutching and aseasonal reproduction in turtles (Moll and Legler, 1971); however, the scarcity of available information concerning south Florida populations as well as the diversity of suitable habitats stresses the need of further studies before possible trends in the reproductive biology of south Florida kinosternids can be established.

Roy McDiarmid and J. Steve Godley supported this research both in supplies and in their encouragement. I especially thank G. E. Woolfenden for the use of his lab and to S. E. Trauth for his help in histological examination and in reviewing this manuscript.