

1983

Aquatic Macroinvertebrates of the Hiatt Prairie Region, Franklin County, Arkansas

Julie A. Huggins
Arkansas State University

George L. Harp
Arkansas State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas>



Part of the [Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons](#), and the [Zoology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Huggins, Julie A. and Harp, George L. (1983) "Aquatic Macroinvertebrates of the Hiatt Prairie Region, Franklin County, Arkansas," *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*: Vol. 37, Article 34.

Available at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol37/iss1/34>

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This General Note is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science* by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, uarepos@uark.edu.

Arkansas Academy of Science

in eliminating tedious least-square manipulations), and ease of making judgments about reliability of data. Student reaction has been enthusiastic, but some effort must be expended by instructional personnel to prevent rote manipulation of "black boxes" and permit understanding of the logic involved.

The spectrophotometer used is the B & L Spectronic 70, although the ubiquitous Spectronic 20 is interchangeable. Any of a number of similar digital or non-digital instruments could be adapted with the proper interfacing arrangement. The interface used is one of several built during an annual workshop on microcomputer interfacing (Wisman, Chemistry Department, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701. Circuit used with permission.) The program requires 6K on the 4032-N PET microcomputer. The program runs on both new (4.0) and older (2.0) RAM in PET BASIC. The 301 lines (58 comments) in the program are capable of being greatly reduced, but are presented so as to permit modification and ease of understanding. Transfer to other brands of microcomputer would require modification of the graphics portion of the program, as well as some changes in the interface adapter. The program is written for the small-screen PET, but minimal changes would accommodate the new 12" (80 column) screen. The modified Day/Underwood experiment, a schematic of the interface, a program listing, and a sample execution are available from the author.

A. M. HOYT, JR., Chemistry Department, University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR 72032.

AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES OF THE HIATT PRAIRIE REGION, FRANKLIN COUNTY, ARKANSAS

At one time significant disjunct expanses of natural grassland or prairie occurred in all quarters of Arkansas. These were predominantly tall grass prairies with scattered areas of wetlands or marsh. Most have been destroyed by agricultural practices (Ark. Dept. Planning, 1974). Only two prairie tracts remain in Arkansas that are associated with permanent water. One of these is Hiatt Prairie. Little work has been done on the aquatic macroinvertebrates of prairie-associated streams in Arkansas. It was the primary intent of this study to establish a species list of aquatic macroinvertebrates for the Hiatt Prairie region.

Hiatt Prairie is located 2 km N of Charleston in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ S25, R29W, T8N, Franklin County, Arkansas. Hiatt Creek, formerly called Prairie Creek, is a first order stream that meanders to the west across the Prairie, approximately 1 km. Recently beaver have invaded the area and caused a drastic change in stream flow. Six large beaver dams cross the stream channel at approximately 140 m intervals, and smaller dams are occasionally interspersed between them. As a result, the typically narrow, shallow stream has become deeper and more sluggish at the beaver pools established behind each dam.

The main channel width varies from 0.9 to 2.4 m and the depth varies from 20 to 91 cm, dependent on the beaver dams. The substrate of the channel is typified by silt several cm deep in areas of little current, whereas broken slate and rock predominate where the current is more rapid. Compacted clay is typically found at each bend in the stream. Substrate in the beaver pools is characterized by a thick silt, augmented by detrital material from the surrounding watershed.

An oval-shaped stock pond is located approximately 0.1 km NE of the St Hwy 217 bridge over Hiatt Creek. The pond was constructed in the early 1900's and has maintained a supply of water since that time (Hiatt, pers. comm.). During periods of excessive rainfall, the pond overflows its east bank creating excellent habitat for aquatic macroinvertebrates in the surrounding grasses and low shrubs. Several kinds of aquatic vascular plants abound in this low flooded area where the effect of siltation is minimal. The substrate within the normal boundaries of the pond consists of a very deep layer of silt, with the complete absence of vegetation.

Thirty-four collections were made during 15 trips from 24 May 1980 to 21 February 1982. Seventeen collections were made from the stream channel, 10 from the beaver pools and seven from the stock pond. Collections were made monthly from spring through fall and bi-monthly during the winter. Temperature, pH and turbidity were measured on each sampling date. Dissolved oxygen, carbon dioxide and alkalinity were measured only on the final trip. Chemical determinations were made by standard limnological methods. Aquatic macroinvertebrates were collected with an aquatic dip net. The stream channel was sampled at approximately 20 pace intervals. Each microhabitat was sampled proportionately in the beaver pools. The circumference and overflow area of the stock pond were sampled randomly, although dense silt accumulations were avoided. On each trip an ultraviolet light was used for one hour after dusk to collect emerging adults. Dip net and ultraviolet light specimens were preserved in 70% ethanol. Adult Odonata were collected by aerial net, placed in paper triangles, and immersed in acetone for 18-24 hours. All specimens are housed in the Arkansas State University Museum of Zoology (ASUMZ) Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Collection.

Physicochemical parameters of both the stream and stock pond were within the known limits of tolerance for freshwater organisms and caused no visible detrimental effects. The aquatic macroinvertebrate fauna of the Hiatt Prairie region was quite diverse, with 138 taxa representing 18 orders, 55 families and 115 genera (Table). Of these, 126 taxa were collected in Hiatt Creek; 104 and 95 taxa in the beaver pools and channel, respectively. Seventy-one taxa were collected from the stock pond. The three major zones had 42 taxa in common, while 31 taxa were shared by the channel and beaver pools only, 15 taxa by the beaver pools and stock pond only, and five taxa by the channel and stock pond only. Seventeen taxa were found in the channel only, 16 in the beaver pools only, and nine in the stock pond only. Coleoptera was the most diverse order with representatives from eight families and 51 species. The most frequently collected orders were Isopoda, Coleoptera, Decapoda, Hemiptera, Amphipoda, and Ephemeroptera, respectively. Most of the taxa are adapted to a variety of habitats and environmental conditions (Pennak, 1978).

The beaver activity has increased the diversity of aquatic macroinvertebrates in Hiatt Creek by increasing the diversity of microhabitats, introducing instability, or a combination of the two. The beaver pools provide a greater range of water depth, current speed (absent to moderate), and substrate types (particulate organic matter to decomposing leaf litter). The beaver pools also have gradually sloping bottoms which are conducive to the establishment of a greater variety and density of aquatic vegetation and associated fauna. During the study period the beaver pools were in the process of being established, and thus were areas of transition. Such transitions are marked by temporary instability. Increased species diversity can result, as some new species will be developing, others will be at population peaks, and yet others will be declining (Reed, 1978).

The aquatic macroinvertebrate fauna of the channel was qualitatively similar to that of the beaver pools, with 95 and 104 taxa, respectively. Of these, 73 taxa were collected from both zones. Fewer taxa were collected from the channel than the beaver pools despite more intensive collection in this zone (17 samples vs 10 from the beaver pools). In many areas of the channel the substrate was compacted clay, and the stream banks were of vertical, eroded clay, providing little suitable habitat. Most of the taxa found in the channel only (e.g. *Hagenius*, *Pycnopsyche*, *Stenelmis crenata*) are characteristically stream inhabitants (Needham and Westfall, 1955; Brown, 1976; Wiggins, 1977).

Aquatic macroinvertebrate diversity was least in the stock pond. This primarily resulted from the homogeneity of its silt substrate (Harrell, 1969). The fewest samples were taken from this zone, and this also reduced the number of taxa collected. Most taxa were obtained in the overflow

General Notes

Table. Aquatic macroinvertebrates collected from the channel (Ch), beaver pools (BP), and stock pond (SP), Hiatt Prairie region, 24 May 1980 - 21 February 1982.

Taxa	Ch	BP	SP	Taxa	Ch	BP	SP
CORDILOIDEA				Hemiptera			
<i>Psephenoides</i>	X			<i>Chauliodes pectinicornis</i> (Linnaeus)	X	X	
OLIGOCLAETA	X			<i>Stalis</i>	X	X	
KLIDIDINEA				Trichoptera			
<i>Erpobdella</i>	X		X	<i>Chenatopogyche</i>	X	X	
<i>Flascobdella</i>	X		X	<i>Pymopogyche</i>	X	X	
GASTROPODA				<i>Chamaea</i>	X	X	
<i>Ferussacia rivularis</i> (Say)	X			Coleoptera			
<i>Lymnaea culumella</i> Say	X	X		<i>Hydrobia</i>	X		
<i>Lymnaea ohmurae</i> Say		X		<i>Litronotus</i>		X	
<i>Planorbis dilatatus</i> (Gould)	X	X	X	<i>Phytobius</i>		X	X
<i>Physa gyrina</i> Say	X	X	X	<i>Acilius fraternus</i> Harris		X	
PLECOPTERA				<i>Agabus distinctus</i> (Crotch)		X	
<i>Mesumatulus scouleri</i> (Prime)	X	X	X	<i>Hidassanotus</i>	X	X	X
CRUSTACEA				<i>Celina angustata</i> Aube			X
<i>Daphnia</i>	X	X	X	<i>Copelatus chevroleti</i> renovatus Guignot	X	X	
<i>Siroisus garmani</i> Hubricht and Mackin	X	X	X	<i>Coptotomus venustus</i> (Say)	X	X	
<i>Ceriodaphnia gracilis</i> Smith	X	X	X	<i>Cybatier fibrillatus</i> (Say)	X	X	
<i>Onconesus palmeri</i> Longinus (Faxon)	X	X	X	<i>Hydroporus</i>	X	X	X
<i>Proconharbus acutus acutus</i> (Girard)	X	X	X	<i>Hydroporus rufilabris</i> Sharp		X	X
<i>Proconharbus liberorum</i> Fitzpatrick	X	X		<i>Hydrovatus pustulatus</i> Melsheimer	X	X	X
INSECTA				<i>Lybius</i>		X	X
Collembola				<i>Laetophilus fasciatus rufus</i> Melsheimer		X	X
<i>Lectocoma palustris</i> (Müller)		X	X	<i>Laetophilus pictus insignis</i> Sharp		X	X
<i>Stethurides aquaticus</i> (Bour.)	X	X		<i>Laetophilus proximus proximus</i> Say	X	X	X
Hemiptera				<i>Neobidessus</i>	X	X	
<i>Belostomatia fuscescens</i> (Dufour)	X	X	X	<i>Thermonectus basillaris</i> (Harris)	X	X	X
<i>*Lethocerus griseus</i> (Say)	X	X		<i>Uthorus</i>		X	X
<i>Isopropodius nitida</i> (Fieber)	X	X		<i>Dubirapha vittata</i> (Melsheimer)	X		
<i>Palinopsius humeri</i> Abbott	X	X		<i>Stenelmis arenata</i> (Say)	X		
<i>Trichocorixa humi</i> Sailer	X	X	X	<i>Dinatus canalicis</i> (Kirby)	X		
<i>Gelastocorus oculatus oculatus</i> (Fabricius)	X	X		<i>Dinatus carolinensis</i> LeConte	X		
<i>Limnoporus canaliculatus</i> (Say)	X	X		<i>Dinatus ocellatus</i> (Forberg)	X	X	
<i>Neogerris hesione</i> (Kirksaly)	X	X		<i>Dinatus unguinatus</i> (Say)	X	X	
<i>Phenacotbitus palmeri</i> Blatchley	X	X		<i>Gyrinus psuchocemus</i> Fall	X	X	
<i>Dryobates subnitidus</i> Esaki	X	X	X	<i>Halipius</i>	X	X	X
<i>Helrae concolidus</i> Uhler	X			<i>Feltodytes durantii</i> Young	X	X	
<i>Hydrometra martini</i> Kirkaldy	X	X	X	<i>Feltodytes muticus</i> (LeConte)	X	X	
<i>Menocera mulsanti</i> White	X	X	X	<i>Feltodytes samanaulatus</i> Roberts	X	X	X
<i>Menocera buenoi</i> Hungerford	X	X		<i>Cyphon</i>	X	X	
<i>Menocera margaritacea</i> Bueno	X	X		<i>Microcora</i>	X		X
<i>Menocera indica</i> Linnaeus	X	X		<i>Boreus</i>	X		X
<i>Neoclea notata</i> Drake and Chapman	X	X	X	<i>Cymbiodyta</i>	X		X
<i>Microvelia hinei</i> Drake	X	X	X	<i>Dibolaelus castus</i> (Geminger and Harold)	X		X
Plecoptera				<i>Eucorus ochraceus</i> (Melsheimer)	X	X	X
<i>Damocanus</i>	X			<i>Helophorus</i>	X	X	X
Ephemeroptera				<i>Hydrophorus obtusatus</i> Say	X		X
<i>Callibaetis fluctans</i> (Malsh)	X	X		<i>Hydrophorus</i>	X	X	X
<i>Clania</i>	X	X	X	<i>Hydrophilus</i>	X	X	
<i>Stenagenia lineata</i> (Serville)	X	X		<i>*Neohydrophilus castus</i> (Say)	X	X	X
Odonata				<i>Luracampus subopressus</i> (Say)	X	X	X
<i>Calopteryx maculata</i> (Beauvois)	X	X		<i>Phanocentrus exstriatus</i> (Say)	X	X	X
<i>Anomalagrion hastatum</i> (Say)	X	X	X	<i>Tropisternus blatchleyi blatchleyi</i> D'Orch	X	X	X
<i>Argia fempennis violacea</i> (Hagen)	X	X		<i>Tropisternus ellipticus</i> (LeConte)	X	X	
<i>Argia tibialis</i> (Rambur)	X	X		<i>Tropisternus lateralis nimbus</i> Say	X	X	X
<i>Enallagma basidens</i> Calvert	X	X	X	<i>Tropisternus meizianus meizianus</i> LaPorte	X	X	
<i>Enallagma cyathigerum</i> Selys	X	X	X	<i>Tropisternus notator</i> D'Orch	X	X	
<i>Enallagma signatum</i> Hagen	X	X	X	<i>Hydrocanthus tricolor</i> Say	X	X	X
<i>Ischnura posita</i> (Hagen)	X	X	X	<i>Siphiaellus</i>			
<i>Oreogomphus apollatus</i> Hagen	X	X	X	Diptera			
<i>Gomphus externus</i> Hagen	X	X		<i>Alluaudomyia</i>	X		
<i>Hagenia brevistylus</i> Selys	X	X		<i>Pisomyia</i>	X	X	X
<i>Anax junius</i> Drury	X	X		<i>Probaetia</i>	X	X	X
<i>Hoyeria viridula</i> Say	X	X		Chironomidae	X	X	X
<i>Epithema primoepi</i> (Hagen)	X	X	X	<i>Aedes summa</i> (Meigen)	X	X	
<i>Cerithemia alina</i> Hagen	X	X	X	<i>Anopheles quadrimaculatus</i> Say	X	X	X
<i>Synthemis simplicicollis</i> Say	X	X	X	<i>Culex serripennis</i> Walker	X	X	
<i>Ladona delapiana</i> Rambur	X	X	X	<i>Chironomus normatus</i> Williston	X	X	
<i>Libellula cyanea</i> Fabricius	X	X	X	<i>Procladius columbianus</i> Dyer and Knab	X	X	
<i>Libellula incesta</i> Hagen	X	X	X	<i>Stratiomya</i>	X	X	
<i>Libellula pulchella</i> Drury	X	X	X	<i>Chrysopa</i>	X	X	X
<i>Libellula semifacitata</i> Burmeister	X	X	X	<i>Tahona</i>	X	X	
<i>Pachydiplax longipennis</i> Burmeister	X	X	X	<i>Tipula</i>	X	X	
<i>Perithemis tenax</i> Say	X	X	X	<i>Stictanomorpha clavipes</i> (Fabricius)			
<i>Plathemia lydia</i> Drury	X						
<i>Symptetrus ambiguus</i> Rambur	X	X	X				
<i>Symptetrus vicinus</i> Hagen	X	X					
<i>Tramea lacerata</i> Hagen	X	X	X				

*Collected by black light only

area, where the substrate was a fine particulate organic material, with a dense accumulation of aquatic vegetation and interspersed algae. The faunal composition was more similar to that of the beaver pools than that of the channel, having 57 and 47 taxa in common, respectively.

Jewell (1927) observed that the streams of Iowa, northern Missouri, and eastern Kansas and Nebraska, are not prairie streams, because their valleys are wooded. Such streams are shaded in the summer and receive much organic allochthonous material from the watershed. In contrast, prairie streams receive little organic allochthonous material and have a substrate composition of gravel, sand and clay. The streams of eastern Kansas are transitional in that their banks are wooded, but swift currents and periodic flooding remove organic deposits (Jewell, 1927). Basically, Hiatt Creek is such a transitional stream, particularly in the channel zone.

Arkansas Academy of Science

This research was supported in part by a grant from the Arkansas Water Resources Research Center. We thank Thomas Bowman, Mark Gordon and Horton Hobbs, Jr., for identification of Isopoda, Mollusca and Decapoda, respectively. Bill Hiatt kindly granted permission to collect on his property, and John Huggins was of great assistance in collecting field data.

LITERATURE CITED

- ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. 1974. Arkansas Natural Area Plan. Little Rock. 248 pp.
- BROWN, H. P. 1976. Aquatic dryopoid beetles (Coleoptera) of the United States. Water Poll. Cont. Res. Ser. 18050 ELD04/72, U.S. Env. Prot. Agency. 82 pp.
- HARREL, R. C. 1969. Benthic macroinvertebrates of the Otter Creek drainage basin, North Central Oklahoma. Southw. Nat., 14(2):231-248.
- JEWELL, M. E. 1927. Aquatic biology of the prairie. Ecology, 8(3):289-298.
- NEEDHAM, J. G., and M. J. WESTFALL, JR. 1955. A manual of the dragonflies of North America (Anisoptera). Univ. California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles. 615 pp.
- PENNAK, R. W. 1978. Fresh-water invertebrates of the United States. John Wiley & Sons. New York. 803 pp.
- REED, C. 1978. Species diversity in aquatic microecosystems. Ecology, 59:481-488.
- WIGGINS, G. B. 1977. Larvae of the North American caddisfly genera (Trichoptera). Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto. 401 pp.

JULIE A. HUGGINS and GEORGE L. HARP, Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, State University, AR 72467.

Neviusia alabamensis: A PHYTOGEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Neviusia alabamensis is an extremely rare plant endemic to only a few southeastern states. Arkansas has representative populations in three counties: Conway, Pope, and Newton (Fig.). Other identified populations are located in Alabama, where the species was first recognized, and in Missouri, Tennessee, and Mississippi. In three states, Alabama, Arkansas, and Missouri, the genus is listed as an endangered species (Ayensu and Defilips, 1978) and in the two remaining states it has just recently been discovered. The purpose of this paper are to describe the distribution of *Neviusia alabamensis* in Arkansas and to examine the physical environments in which the Arkansas species are found.

To develop a plant description of *Neviusia alabamensis*, 16 herbarium specimens were measured and published information was examined (Chapman, 1897; Dean et al., 1973; Dean, 1961; Greene and Blomquist, 1953; Small, 1903; Lounsbury, 1901; Moldenke, 1949; Small, 1933; Steyermark, 1975). The physical environment was described in terms of soil, slope direction, slope percentage, solar exposure, and dominant vegetation. Soil samples were taken from each of the ecosystems in Arkansas in which *Neviusia alabamensis* is found and soil nutrient, soil texture, and pH tests were conducted. The soil was gathered from depths of 4 to 10cm at three different areas within each population and mixed before testing.

Neviusia alabamensis is a perennial shrub with numerous slender primary stems and short lateral branches. The bright green leaves (approximately 3cm X 4cm) are simple and alternating. The flowers are odorless and lack petals, however, the stamens are numerous (usually over 100) and showy. Flowering may occur between March and May.

Neviusia alabamensis seems to be able to exist on relatively dry sites. Two of the populations, Conway and Newton Counties, are located on southeast facing slopes, whereas the population in Pope County is located on a northwest facing slope (Table). The percentage of the slope varied a great deal among the populations. The slope in Conway County was the greatest, 80%, and the slope in Newton County was the least, 35%. It would seem that the Conway County population would be much drier as a result of the steeper slope. However, the soil at Newton County was very sandy. These two populations may be approximately equal in what seems to be the most critical factor, soil moisture.

Neviusia alabamensis Distribution




Figure. The three Arkansas counties in which *Neviusia alabamensis* is found.

Table. Comparison of the physical environments in which *Neviusia alabamensis* exists. The soil nutrients are given in kilograms per hectare.

	Conway County	Pope County	Newton County
Slope Direction	155° SE	325° NW	120-135° SE
Slope Percentage	80%	65%	35%
Size of Population	80m X 10m	15m X 40m	270m X 15m
Nitrogen	0	0	0
Phosphorus	34-45	34-45	5
Potassium	135	135	90-100
pH	6.0	6.2	6.8
Texture	Loamy sand	Loamy sand Sandy loam	Sandy grit