Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science

Volume 20 Article 14

1966

Cursory Examination of the Chironomidae of Arkansas (Diptera: Insecta)

Anthony J. Iovino University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas



Part of the Entomology Commons

Recommended Citation

lovino, Anthony J. (1966) "Cursory Examination of the Chironomidae of Arkansas (Diptera: Insecta)," Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science: Vol. 20, Article 14.

Available at: https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol20/iss1/14

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, uarepos@uark.edu.

THE PARTY AND THE PARTY HANDERS

A CURSORY EXAMINATION OF THE CHIRONOMIDAE OF ARKANSAS (DIPTERA: INSECTA)

Anthony J. lovino¹ University of Arkansas

PREFACE

It has been the policy of the Department of Entomology of the University of Arkansas to encourage work of a systematic nature, in order that the insect fauna of Arkansas be better known. In keeping with this policy, the species list herein is presented. It should, however, not be regarded as complete, but a list to which additional species will later be added. Moreover, as the Nearctic fauna of Chironomidae has received little study, the need for work of a regional nature is greatly commanded.

INTRODUCTION

That the North American fauna of Chironomidae has received little attention is unfortunate, for members of the taxon are everywhere to be found. Terrestrial environments play host to larval midges (Thienemann and Kruger, 1939a, b) as do littoral marine habitats. A number of chironomid larvae thrive in hot springs at temperatures up to 120°F (Brues, 1927, 1928) and some dwell in glacial melt water. Certain cricotopine species, in a supposed obligate association, have been reared from colonies of **Nostoc parmeloides** (Wirth, 1957) and Berg (1949, 1950) has called attention to a number of leaf miners and leaf burrowers feeding on the mesophyll and epidermis of **Potomogeton**.

The majority of immature Chironomidae are to be found in freshwater situations, both lentic and lotic, in extremes from mountain torrents to the oxygenless waters of deep eutrophic lakes. Most of the forms are tubiculous, while others, such as the majority of the Tanypodinae, are free-living predators. The size of larval midges coupled with their relatively rapid life cycle and large numbers lends to the family an essential role in energy conversion within aquatic situations. Their importance as fish food organisms has long been realized and there is increasing recognition of their utility as indicator species in water quality surveys.

At sunset, large aerial swarms of adult midges are a frequent sight, the wing beat of the individuals being compounded to create a humming which may be heard for great distances. These large numbers in many areas have initiated retaliation in the form of abatement programs. Fortunately, the adults of the majority of species are innocuous, bearing non-functional mouthparts.

Adult Chironomidae superficially resemble mosquitoes and ceratopogonids, but may be distinguished by lacking a forked medial vein, ¹Graduate Student, Department of Entomology, University of Arkansas. lacking squamiform setae on the wings, bearing a groove on a prominent postscutellum, and possessing lengthened prothoracic legs which are often raised from the substrate when at rest. The larvae bear a complete head capsule which has apposable mandibles. Anterior and posterior prolegs are present and developed to varying degrees, or are completely lacking.

METHODS OF COLLECTION, PRESERVATION & STUDY

As adult midges come readily to light, the major collection device utilized was a modified light trap of the New Jersey type. The majority of the determinations herein were based on imaginal instars. During the day, sweeping marginal vegetation along banks proved productive. Immature forms were collected by various techniques including dredging, use of Surber samplers, and washing of aquatic vegetation into a receptacle. Whenever possible, attempts were made to rear larvae to adulthood.

Methods of preserving adults leave much to be desired, as no truly satisfactory method has been devised. Both pinned specimens and specimens in alcohol were utilized. Pinned specimens are satisfactory in that they can be manipulated for study. Specimens collected into alcohol are collected easily and easily stored, but their colors tend to fade, setae and appendages fall off, wing veins become transparent, and they are manipulated with difficulty.

Depending upon the method of collection, two main methods of preparation for study were used. Specimens collected into spirits were mounted on microscope slides. The wings were carefully amputated and flattened under a coverslip which was adhered to the slide by placing four small spots of clear fingernail polish on the corners of the coverslip, after the alcohol had evaporated. This resulted in a dry wing mount. Genital segments of the male were clipped from the abdomen, placed in a 10% solution of caustic potash overnight, washed in warm water, dehydrated and cleared, and mounted on the slide in a resinous medium. Pale terminalia were stained in eosin y or acid fuchsin.

For features used in identification, the reader is referred to Freeman (1955), Johannsen and Townes (1952) and Fittkau (1962). Immature forms are treated by Roback (1957).

NOMENCLATURE

In this paper, the terminology of Freeman (1955-1958) is essentially employed. Within the Tanypodinae, the tribal classification of Fittkau (1962) is employed.

Presently, much confusion exists in chironomid taxonomy. The controversy concerned with the Meigen names of 1800 and 1803 is well known. Within the Orthocladiinae the terminology is in a particularly confused state. Edwards (1929) set rather wide generic limits defining

https://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol20/jss1/14

Arkansas Academy of Science Proceedings

numerous subtaxa within the group and many later authors have not agreed with this "large genus concept." Within the group exists a high degree of morphologic intergrade and a revision is greatly needed. Fittkau's work on the Tanypodinae demands a reexamination of the Nearctic types.

Complex synonomies have been produced by the renaming of earlier described European species, a difficulty imposed by a ubiquitous faunal element. The lack of association between larval and imaginal instars, moreover, has produced confusion. Further confusion is generated by the schism which exists between European and American workers in setting generic limits, for most European workers rely heavily upon immature characteristics while British and American workers have placed emphasis upon adult characters in their study.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The material examined is from three sources which include personal collections, museum collections, and collections from contributors. Collections were made from the following Arkansas counties: Benton (1)**, Carroll (2), Washington (3), Crawford (4), Pulaski (5), Lonoke (6), Searcy (7), Desha (8) and Arkansas (9). Only the described species of Chironomidae are listed, the apparently new species being reserved for further study. A study made by Sublette (1956), has yielded several species of midges. Species given by him and not collected by the author will appear with an asterisk.

Arkansas Chironomidae

Chironomidae (Tendipedidae)

Subfamily: Tanypodinae (Pelopiinae)

Tribe: Coelotanypodini

Genus: Coelotanypus Kieffer

Species: concinnus (Coquillett) 1, 2, 7 scapularis (Loew) 1, 2, 3

tricolor (Loew) 5, 6

Tribe: Pentaneurini

Genus: Pentaneura Philippi

Subgenus: Pentaneura Philippi

Species: flavifrons (Johannsen)* 1, 2, 3 planensis Johannsen 4, 3

pilosella (Loew) 1, 4, 3

Genus: Ablabesmyia Johannsen

Species: aequifasciata (Dendy & Sublette) 8, 9

rhamphe Sublette 1, 3, 4, 8

Tribe: Macropelopiini

Genus: Procladius Skuse

^{**}Counties are designated by number in the list.

Subgenus: Procladius Skuse

Species: culiciformis (Linnaeus) 1, 3

Subgenus: Psilotanypus Kieffer

Species: bellus (Loew) 1, 2, 3, 5, 6

Tribe: Tanypodini

Genus: Tanypus Meigen

Species: punctipennis Meigen 3

Subfamily: Diamesinae

Genus: Diamesa Waltl

Species: fulva Johannsen 3

Subfamily: Orthocladiinae

Tribe: Orthocladiini

Genus: Brillia Kieffer

Species: flavifrons (Johannsen) 1, 5, 6, 8, 9

Genus: Nanocladius Kieffer

Species: alternantherae Dendy & Sublette 8

Genus: Cricotopus Wulp

Species: absurdus (Johannsen) 2, 3, 7

bicinctus (Meigen) 1, 3

remus Sublette 1, 9

Genus: Cardiocladius Kieffer

Species: sp. near obscurus (Johannsen)* 3

Genus: Orthocladius Wulp

Species: spp.* 3

Tribe: Metriocnemini

Genus: Metriocnemus Wulp

Species: sp. near lundbeckii* Johannsen 3

Genus: Smittia Holmgren

Species: aterrima (Meigen) 1, 3, 7, 8, 9

Tribe: Corynoneurini

Genus: Corynoneura

Subgenus: Thienemanniella Kieffer

Species: sp.* 3

Subfamily: Chironominae

Tribe: Chironomini

Genus: Chironomus Meigen

Subgenus: Chironomus Meigen

Species: attenuatus Walker 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9

chelonia (Townes) 1, 3

crassicaudatus Malloch 5, 6

fulvipilus Rempel 3

plumosus (Linnaeus) 5, 6

stigmaterus Say 1, 4, 7

Arkansas Academy of Science Proceedings

Subgenus: Cryptochironomus Kieffer

Species: carinatus (Townes) 8

emorsus (Townes) 8

fulvus Johannsen 1, 2, 3, 4, 7

galeator (Townes) 4, 6

monochromus Wulp 1, 2, 3, 8 nigrovittatus Malloch 1, 3, 8, 9

Subgenus: Dicrotendipes Kieffer

Species: fumidus Johannsen 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9

neomodestus Malloch 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

Subgenus: Endochironomus Kieffer

Species: nigricans Johannsen 1, 2, 3, 5, 7

subtendens (Townes) 3

Subgenus: Kiefferulus Goetghebuer

Species: dux Johannsen 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9

Subgenus: Tribelos Townes

Species: jucundus Walker 1, 2, 3, 4

Subgenus: Xenochironomus Kieffer Species: xenolabis Kieffer 1, 3, 6

Genus: Microtendipes Kieffer

Species: pedellus (DeGeer) 1, 3, 7, 8

Genus: Glyptotendipes Kieffer

Subgenus: Phytotendipes Goetghebuer

Species: lobiferus (Say) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 meridionalis Dendy & Sublette 1, 3

paripes (Edwards) ? 5

Genus: Paratendipes Kieffer

Species: albimanus (Meigen) 1, 2, 3

Genus: Polypedilum Kieffer

Subgenus: Polypedilum Kieffer

Species: aviceps Townes 1, 2, 3, 8, 9 digitifer Townes 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9 fallax (Johannsen) 1, 2, 3 halterale (Coquillett) 1, 2, 3

illinoense (Malloch) 1, 2, 3 nigritum Townes 1, 3 obtusum Townes 1, 2, 3 ontario (Walley) 1, 2, 3, 6, 7

trigonus Townes ? 3

Genus: Pseudochironomus Malloch

Species: aix Townes 1, 2, 3 chen Townes 1

fulviventris (Johannsen) 1, 8

pseudoviridis (Malloch) 1, 2, 3, 7

rex Hauber 2, 3

richardsoni Malloch 1, 3

Genus: Stenochironomus Kieffer Species: macateei (Malloch) 1, 3

Tribe: Tanytarsini

Genus: Micropsectra Kieffer

Species: nigripila (Johannsen) 1, 2, 3

Genus: Tanytarsus Wulp

Subgenus: Tanytarsus Wulp

Species: buckleyi Sublette 8, 9 confusus Malloch ? 9

neoflavellus Malloch 6, 8 xanthus Sublette ? 9

Subgenus: Cladotanytarsus Kieffer

Species: viridiventris Malloch 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9

LITERATURE CITED

- Berg, C. O. 1949. Limnological relations of insects to plants of the genus Potomogeton. Trans. Amer. Micr. Soc. 68: 279-291.
- Berg, C. O. 1950. Biology of certain Chironomidae reared from **Potomogeton.** Ecol. Mono. 20: 83-101.
- Brues, C. T. 1927. Animal life in hot springs. Quart. Rev. Biol. 2: 181-203.
- Brues, C. T. 1928. Studies on the fauna of hot springs in the western United States and the biology of thermophilous animals. Proc. Amer. Acad. of Arts and Sci. 63: 139-228.
- Edwards, F. W. 1929. British non-biting midges. Trans. Roy. Ent. Soc. London 77: 279-430.
- Fittkau, E. J. 1962. Die Tanypodinae. Akad-Verlag. Berlin.
- Freeman, P. 1955. A study of African Chironomidae Part I. Bul. British Mus. Nat. Hist. Ent. 4: 1-67.
- Freeman, P. 1956. A study of African Chironomidae Part II. Bul. British Mus. Nat. Hist. Ent. 4: 287-368.
- Freeman, P. 1957. A study of Chironomidae of Africa south of the Sahara Part III. Bul. British Mus. Nat. Hist. Ent. 5: 324-426.
- Freeman, P. 1958. A study of the Chironomidae of Africa south of the Sahara Part IV. Bul. British Mus. Nat. Hist. Ent. 6: 264-363.
- Johannsen, O. A., and H. K. Townes, Jr. 1952. Tendipedidae in guide to the insects of Connecticut, Part IV, Fifth Fascicle. Conn. State Geol. Nat. Hist. Sur. Bul. 80: 1-103.
- Roback, S. S. 1957. The immature tendipeds of the Philadelphia area. Monographs Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 9: 1-152.

Arkansas Academy of Science Proceedings

- Sublette, J. E. 1956. Seasonal changes in bottom fauna of an Ozark headwater stream (Clear Creek, Washington County, Arkansas). Southwestern Naturalist I (4): 148-156.
- Thienemann, A. and Kruger, Fr. 1939a. Terrestrische Chironomiden I. Zool. Anz. 126, 154-159.
- Thienemann, A. and Kruger, Fr. 1939b. Terrestrische Chironomiden II. Zool. Anz. 127, 246-258.
- Wirth, W. W. 1957. The species of Cricotopus midges living in the bluegreen alga **Nostoc** in California. The Pan-Pacific Entomologist 33: 121-126.