

8-2016

## On Compactness and Closed-Rangeness of Composition Operators

Arnab Dutta  
*University of Arkansas, Fayetteville*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd>



Part of the [Other Applied Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Citation

Dutta, A. (2016). On Compactness and Closed-Rangeness of Composition Operators. *Graduate Theses and Dissertations* Retrieved from <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd/1683>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact [scholar@uark.edu](mailto:scholar@uark.edu).

On Compactness and Closed-Rangeness of Composition Operators

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics

by

Arnab Dutta  
Institute of Engineering & Management  
Bachelor of Technology in Information Technology, 2005  
University of Texas at Tyler  
Master of Science in Mathematics, 2012

August 2016  
University of Arkansas

This dissertation is approved for recommendation to the Graduate Council.

---

Dr. John R. Akeroyd  
Dissertation Director

---

Dr. Daniel Luecking  
Committee Member

---

Dr. Maria Tjani  
Committee Member

## Abstract

Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . The composition operator  $C_\phi$  defined by  $C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi$  is a bounded linear operator on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . It is well-known that if  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But the converse doesn't necessarily hold. We discuss the decay rate of  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  in the case when  $\phi$  maps the unit disk to a domain whose boundary touches the unit circle exactly at one point. We also investigate inheritance of closed-rangeness property of  $C_\phi$  from a Banach space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  to a weighted subspace.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor, Professor John Akeroyd, for his excellent guidance, patience and continuous support. His assistance in preparation of this dissertation was invaluable. I would also like to thank my committee members, Professor Daniel Luecking and Professor Maria Tjani, for serving in my committee and for their helpful comments and suggestions on my dissertation.

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>History on the Compactness of Composition Operators</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Estimates for the Decay Rate of <math>\ \phi^n\ _{H^2(\mathbb{D})}</math></b>	<b>31</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Closed-Range Composition Operators</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Inheritance of Closed-Rangeness Property</b>	<b>51</b>

## 1 Introduction

### THE HARDY SPACE $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

Let us denote the unit disk by  $\mathbb{D} := \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$  be the unit circle. Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of all analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  consists of functions in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  whose power series coefficients are square-summable, i.e.

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) := \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The norm of  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined to be  $\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This definition of norm gives a vector space isomorphism between  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $l^2$ , the Hilbert space of square summable complex sequences.  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  can also be related to the space  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , another Hilbert space of functions. Under this correspondence,

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta) < \infty \right\}$$

where  $m$  denotes normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The norm of any  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined to be

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Also the inner product between two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined as:

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{it}) \overline{g(re^{it})} dt.$$

and the connection between  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  was shown very clearly in the following Fatou's Radial Limit Theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Fatou's Radial Limit Theorem[44]). Suppose  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  belongs to  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $f^*$  is a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  with Fourier series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{int}$ . Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{it}) = f^*(e^{it})$$

for almost every  $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \|f^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2$ .

A detailed discussion and proof of this theorem is available in [44, 42].

## COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Define the composition operator  $C_\phi$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  by

$$C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi$$

for  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . The following Littlewood's Subordination Principle shows that  $C_\phi$  maps  $H^2$  into  $H^2$  and does so boundedly, i.e.  $C_\phi$  takes bounded subset of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  to bounded subset of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 2** (Littlewood's Subordination Principle [44]). Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then for each  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $C_\phi(f) \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\|C_\phi(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ .

Though Littlewood's subordination principle only proves the case when  $\phi$  fixes the origin, the general case, where  $\phi$  can be any analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , can be proven by showing the composition operator  $C_{\sigma_a}$  induced by the conformal automorphism  $\sigma_a := \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ , for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , is bounded on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 3** (Littlewood's Theorem [44]). Let  $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an analytic function. Then  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and

$$\|C_\phi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\phi(0)|}{1 - |\phi(0)|}}.$$

A proof of this theorem can also be found in [44]. Please see [53, 15, 34, 55, 35, 48] to learn more about boundedness of composition operators in other Banach spaces of analytic functions.



## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we provide some background concepts related to the study of composition operators.

### ANGULAR DERIVATIVE

For  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , the region

$$\Gamma(\zeta, \alpha) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - \zeta| < \alpha(1 - |z|)\}$$

is called *non-tangential approach region* at  $\zeta$ . This cone shaped region is asymptotic to a sector with vertex at  $\zeta$  and angle less than  $\pi$  and is symmetric about the radius at  $\zeta$ . A function  $f$  is said to have a *non-tangential limit*  $L$  at  $\zeta$  if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = L$  in each non-tangential approach region  $\Gamma(\zeta, \alpha)$ , denoted as  $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = L$ . An analytic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  has an *angular derivative* at  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  if for some  $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$ , the following limit

$$\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\eta - \phi(z)}{\zeta - z}$$

exists (finitely). We denote the angular derivative of  $\phi$  at  $\zeta$  as  $\phi'(\zeta)$  whenever the above limit exists [44, 15].

One very important result concerning the existence of angular derivative is the Julia-Carathéodory theorem.

**Theorem 4** (Julia-Carathéodory Theorem [44]). *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an analytic function and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \delta < \infty$ ,
2.  $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\eta - \phi(z)}{\zeta - z}$  exists for some  $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

3.  $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \phi'(z)$  exists, and  $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \phi(z) = \eta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Moreover:

- $\delta > 0$  in (1),
- the boundary points  $\eta$  in (2) and (3) are the same, and
- the limit of the difference quotient in (2) coincides with that of the derivative in (3), with both equal to  $\bar{\zeta}\eta\delta$ .

For a beautiful proof of this classical theorem please refer to [44]. Before stating another major theorem on the existence of angular derivative we need to introduce the concept of angular derivative in the upper half-plane  $\Im z > 0$  setting. This discussion is taken from [50]. Let  $\Delta$  be a simply connected domain on the  $w = \xi + i\eta$  plane, bounded by a Jordan curve  $C$ , which passes through  $w = 0$  and touches the real axis at  $w = 0$  and its inner normal at  $w = 0$  coincides with the positive imaginary axis. We map  $\Delta$  conformally on the upper half plane  $\Im z > 0$  of  $z = x + iy$  plane by  $w = w(z)$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ .

If  $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{w(z)}{z} = \angle \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} w'(z) = \gamma$  exists, then  $\gamma$  is called the angular derivative of  $w(z)$  at  $z = 0$ . Here is a niceness condition on the behavior of  $C$ .

**Theorem 5** (Warschawski's Theorem [50]). *Let  $\Delta$  be a simply connected domain on the  $w = \xi + i\eta$ -plane, bounded by a Jordan curve  $C$ , which passes through  $w = 0$  and touches the real axis at  $w = 0$  and its inner normal at  $w = 0$  coincides with the positive imaginary axis.*

*We assume that in a neighborhood of  $w = 0$ ,  $C$  lies between two curves  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$ , each of which lies symmetric to the imaginary axis and whose part on the right of the imaginary axis as follows:*

$H : \eta = h(\xi)$ ,  $\tilde{H} : \eta = -h(\xi)$  ( $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ ) and  $h(0) = 0$ , where  $h(t) \geq 0$  is a continuous increasing function of  $t$ .

If we map  $\Delta$  conformally on the upper half-plane  $\Im z > 0$  of the  $z$ -plane by  $w = w(z)$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{w(z)}{z} = \gamma$$

exists uniformly, if  $z \rightarrow 0$  from the inside of any fixed nontangential approach region whose vertex is at  $z = 0$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$$

is finite.

Warschawski's theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the angular derivative. For a proof of necessity and sufficiency of the above condition please refer to Theorem IX.10 in [50].

J.H.Shapiro [44] restated Warschawski's theorem for the case when the map  $\phi$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  to the simply connected domain  $\Delta$  is univalent and touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one point.

**Corollary 6** ([44]). *Suppose  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{D}$  whose boundary curve in a neighborhood of 1 is a curve of the form*

$$1 - r = h(|t|)$$

where  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous, increasing, function with  $h(0) = 0$ . Let  $\phi$  be a univalent map of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Delta$ , with  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Then  $\phi$  has an angular derivative at 1 if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$$

is finite.

## COMPACT OPERATOR AND APPROXIMATION NUMBERS

Before we explore compactness of composition operators, let us first refresh our memory with the definition of a compact operator: a linear operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $S$  is said to be compact if it maps every bounded set into a relatively compact one (one whose closure in  $S$  is compact). It is a known fact that on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, if a bounded operator has finite dimensional range then it is also compact. It can also be argued that on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space compact operators can be approximated in operator norm by such finite rank operators and every compact operator arise in this way. The following theorem restates this as a property of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, whose proof can be found in [44].

**Theorem 7** (Finite Rank Approximation Property). *Suppose  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $S$ . Then  $T$  is compact if and only if there is a sequence  $\{R_n\}$  of finite rank bounded operators such that  $\|T - R_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Let us denote the distance in operator norm between  $T$  and the set of bounded operators on  $S$  with rank  $\leq n$  as  $a_n(T)$ . From the above theorem it is clear that  $T$  is compact if and only if  $a_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We call these  $a_n(T)$ 's *approximation numbers*. Later we will discuss some recent results relating the decay rate of these approximation numbers and compact composition operators.

## SCHATTEN CLASS OPERATORS

This section is taken largely from K. Zhu's book [53]. Let  $H$  be any Hilbert space and  $T$  be any continuous linear operator on  $H$ . As a consequence of the Riesz representation theorem there exists an unique continuous linear operator  $T^*$  such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in S$$

and  $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ . Now we say that a continuous linear operator  $T$  on  $H$  is self-adjoint if  $T^* = T$ . It can easily be seen that  $T$  is self-adjoint when and only when the inner product  $\langle Tx, x \rangle$  is real for all  $x \in S$ . If  $\langle Tx, x \rangle$  is non-negative then we call  $T$  a positive operator. For example, for any operator  $T$  on  $H$ ,  $T^*T$  is positive and hence self-adjoint.

Any continuous (bounded) linear operator  $T$  on  $H$  can be decomposed as

$$T = UP$$

where  $P$  is the positive operator  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $U$  is a partial isometry defined as  $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x$  in the closure of the range of  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This decomposition of  $T$  is called *polar decomposition*.

The Spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators states : if  $T$  is any self-adjoint compact operator on  $H$ , then there exists a sequence of nonzero real numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , either finitely many or  $\{\lambda_n\}$  tends to 0 and an orthonormal sequence  $\{e_n\}$  in the closure of the range of  $T$  such that

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n$$

for all  $x \in H$ . These  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $\{e_n\}$  are corresponding eigenvectors. If in addition  $T$  is positive then these  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are also positive for each  $n$ .

So in the case  $T$  is only compact but not necessarily self-adjoint we consider the positive operator  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then by the Spectral theorem we have the following decomposition of  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  :

$$(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n$$

where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are eigenvalues of  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\{e_n\}$  are corresponding eigenvectors. From the polar decomposition of  $T$  if we take  $Ue_n = \sigma_n$  for each  $n$  then  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is also an orthonormal

sequence in  $H$ . Now we have the following decomposition of  $T$ :

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle \sigma_n \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Indeed, any compact operator on a Hilbert space can be decomposed in this form. The non-negative real values  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are called  $n$ th singular values of  $T$ .

For  $0 < p < \infty$ , the *Schatten  $p$ -class*,  $S_p(H)$ , consists of compact operators  $T$  for which the sequence of singular values  $\{\lambda_n\}$  belongs to  $l^p$ . It is equivalent as saying  $T$  is in  $S_p(H)$  when the sequence of approximation numbers  $\{a_n(T)\}$  of  $T$  is in  $l^p$ , which implies  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p(T) < \infty$ . There are several characterizations of Schatten class operators. We mention a couple of these characterizations for future use.

**Theorem 8** ([53]). *Suppose  $T$  is a compact operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the following are true:*

1. *For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $T$  is in  $S_p(H)$  if and only if for all orthonormal sequences  $\{e_n\}$  in  $S$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle|^p < \infty.$$

2. *For  $p \geq 2$ ,  $T$  is in  $S_p(H)$  if and only if for all orthonormal sequences  $\{e_n\}$  in  $S$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^p < \infty.$$

## NEVANLINNA COUNTING FUNCTION

The Nevanlinna Counting Function is a heavily used tool in characterizing properties of composition operators. For an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $w \in \phi(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \{\phi(0)\}$ , the Nevanlinna Counting Function for  $\phi$  is defined as:

$$N_\phi(w) := \sum_{z \in \phi^{-1}(w)} \log \frac{1}{|z|},$$

counting multiplicities of the zeros of  $\phi(z) = w$ .

Also it should be noted that  $N_\phi(w) = 0$  whenever  $w \notin \phi(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \{\phi(0)\}$  to make sure it is defined on the whole disk  $\mathbb{D}$ .

## INDUCED MEASURE

Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the radial and nontangential limits of  $\phi$  exist almost everywhere  $[m]$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ . We denote the boundary limit function as  $\phi^*$ . Define the *induced measure* of  $\phi$  on the Borel subsets  $E$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  as

$$\mu_\phi(E) = m(\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \phi^*(\zeta) \in E\}).$$

C. Sundberg [47] provided some useful results involving induced measure and answered a more than a decade old question posed by W. Rudin.

## HARMONIC MEASURE

The concept of harmonic measure plays an important role in our work. Though harmonic measure is discussed in several books, this section is largely taken from [19]. Let  $\Delta$  be a domain in the extended complex plane in which the Dirichlet problem is solvable, i.e. given a continuous function  $f(\zeta)$  on the boundary  $\partial\Delta$ , we can find an unique function  $u(z)$ , harmonic in  $\Delta$  and continuous on  $\overline{\Delta}$  such that  $u(\zeta) = f(\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ . It is shown in [19] that we can associate a harmonic function  $Hf(z)$ , the solution to the Dirichlet problem in  $\Delta$  with the boundary function  $f(\zeta)$ . If  $z \in \Delta$  fixed, then there is a linear mapping

$$H_z : C(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where  $C(\partial\Delta)$  denotes the space of all continuous real valued functions on  $\partial\Delta$ , defined by

$$H_z(f) = Hf(z).$$

Additionally if we take  $f$  to be non-negative then by the Maximum Principle,  $H_z(f)$  is a positive, linear functional on  $C(\partial\Delta)$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem [42] there exists a unique (probability) measure  $\mu_z$  defined on  $\partial\Delta$  such that

$$Hf(z) = \int_{\partial\Delta} f(\zeta)d\mu_z(\zeta).$$

**Definition.** Suppose  $\Delta$  is any domain. Let  $E$  be a Borel set on the boundary  $\partial\Delta$  of  $\Delta$ . The harmonic measure of  $E$  with respect to  $\Delta$  is defined as:

$$\omega(z, E, \Delta) := \int_E d\mu_z(\zeta) = \mu_z(E).$$

An important feature of harmonic measure is conformal invariance: If  $\phi$  is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{D}$  to some domain  $\Delta$  with its boundary consists of finitely connected Jordan arcs and in addition,  $\phi$  is also continuous and injective on  $\mathbb{T}$  then, for any Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\omega(z, E, \mathbb{D}) = \omega(\phi(z), \phi(E), \Delta)$ ; see [19]. It is well-known that if  $\phi$  is a conformal map from the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a Jordan domain  $\Delta$  then  $\phi$  has a continuous extension to  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and the extension map is an one-to-one correspondence between  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\overline{\Delta}$ . See Theorem 3.1 in [20] or Theorem IX.2 in [50]. Using this fact it can be shown that :

**Proposition 9.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a univalent map from  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a Jordan domain  $\Delta$  which is bounded by a rectifiable curve. Let  $\phi(0) = \alpha$  and  $\omega(\alpha, \cdot, \Delta)$  be the harmonic measure on  $\partial\Delta$  at  $\alpha$ . Then

$$d\omega = |\psi'|d\xi$$

where  $\psi = \phi^{-1}$ ,  $d\xi$  denotes the arclength measure on  $\partial\Delta$  and  $\psi'$  is defined as



*non-tangential or angular limit.*

This is a known result in harmonic measure theory and for a proof of this proposition, please refer to [50, 20, 14, 22]

#### GENERAL HARDY SPACES $H^p(\mathbb{D})$

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the general Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ , are defined as follows:

$$H^p(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) < \infty \right\}.$$

These are all Banach spaces under the norm  $\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta)$ . The Banach space  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is called the space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and it is defined as:

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

#### WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$

For  $\alpha > -1$ , let  $\lambda_\alpha$  denote the finite measure defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  by

$$d\lambda_\alpha(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z).$$

where  $A$  denotes normalized Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For  $0 < p < \infty$  the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  are defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p d\lambda_\alpha < \infty \right\}.$$

For  $p \geq 1$ , the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  are Banach spaces under the norm

$\|f\|_{\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p d\lambda_\alpha$ . When  $p = 2$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^2$  are Hilbert spaces.

## WEIGHTED DIRICHLET SPACES $D_\alpha^2$

The weighted Dirichlet spaces  $D_\alpha^2$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , is the collection of analytic functions of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $f'$  is in  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^2$ .  $D_\alpha^2$  is a Hilbert space in the following norm:

$$\|f\|_{D_\alpha^2}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^2 d\lambda_\alpha$$

for  $f \in D_\alpha^2$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $D_0^2$  is called the classical Dirichlet space. Also for  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $D_1^2$  is the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

## CARLESON MEASURE

Carleson measure plays a crucial role in the composition operator theory. For  $e^{i\theta_0} \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $h > 0$ , a Carleson window or square is a square-shaped region near the boundary of unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  defined as:

$$S_h(e^{i\theta_0}) = \{re^{i\theta} : 1 - h \leq r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| \leq h\}.$$

A positive Borel measure  $\mu$  is called a Carleson measure if and only if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $\mu(S_h(e^{i\theta_0})) \leq Kh$  for all  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $h > 0$ .  $\mu$  is called a compact or vanishing Carleson measure if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S_h(e^{i\theta_0}))}{h} = 0$$

uniformly for  $e^{i\theta_0} \in \mathbb{T}$  [53].

For the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$ , a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure if and only if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $\mu(S_h(e^{i\theta_0})) \leq Kh^{\alpha+2}$  for all  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $h > 0$ . A compact or vanishing Carleson measure on these spaces is defined in the same way we defined it earlier. The definition of Carleson measure would not be complete without the famous theorem of L. Carleson:

**Theorem 10** ([53]). *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a Carleson measure if and only if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p d\mu \leq K \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p$$

for each  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  are the general Hardy spaces.

This characterization of Carleson measure can also be rephrased in the setting of weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$ . More information on Carleson measure can be found in [53].

## BLOCH SPACE

An analytic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  belongs to the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\sharp} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\sharp}$  makes  $\mathcal{B}$  a Banach space. One very important feature of  $\mathcal{B}$  is its Möbius invariance. In particular, if  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\sigma_a (= \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}, a \in \mathbb{D})$  is a Möbius transformation of  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f \circ \sigma_a \in \mathcal{B}$ . One can easily verify that

$$\|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{\mathcal{B}^\sharp} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\sharp}.$$

It is known that bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Associated to Bloch space there is little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , consists of analytic functions of  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| = 0.$$

$\mathcal{B}_0$  is also Möbius invariant and a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is the closure of polynomials in  $\mathcal{B}$ . For a detailed discussion on Bloch spaces and the above results please refer to [53]. It should also be noted that bounded analytic functions are not properly

contained in  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . So one may ask which bounded analytic functions are in  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Detailed information on the history of this question and answers can be found in the article [8] by C. J. Bishop.

## BESOV SPACE

For  $1 < p < \infty$ , the Besov space  $B_p$  is the space of analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{B_p^\sharp}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) < \infty.$$

The norm  $\|f\|_{B_p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \|f\|_{B_p^\sharp}^p$  makes  $B_p$  a Banach space. It can be easily shown that each  $B_p$  is a Möbius invariant Banach space. Note that for  $p = 2$ , the space  $B_2$  is the classical Dirichlet space  $D_0^2$  discussed earlier. Another interesting fact is, for  $1 < p < q < \infty$ ,  $B_p \subset B_q \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

For  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -1$ , the Besov type spaces  $B_{p,\alpha}$  are defined as:

$$B_{p,\alpha} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p d\lambda_\alpha < \infty \right\}$$

which are Banach spaces under the norm:  $\|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p d\lambda_\alpha$ . More information on Besov space and Besov type spaces can be found in [53, 48, 49].

## $S^2(\mathbb{D})$

The space  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$  is another Banach space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ , defined as follows:

$$S^2(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : f' \in H^2(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

The norm on this space is given by:  $\|f\|_{S^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(e^{it})|^2 dt$ .

## BMOA

An analytic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is in BMOA if

$$\|f\|_{BMOA^\sharp} = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a(z) - f(a)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} < \infty.$$

The norm on BMOA can be defined by  $\|f\|_{BMOA} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{BMOA^\sharp}$ . BMOA also a Möbius invariant Banach space. An interesting containment relation is:

$$B_p \subset BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Some good references on BMOA (including Bloch space and Besov space) are [53, 48, 10, 38].

## DETERMINING FUNCTIONS

Determining functions and Nevanlinna counting functions discussed earlier are similar in nature. Determining functions were introduced in [55]. For  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\phi$  an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , define

$$\tau_{\phi, \alpha+2}(w) = \frac{\sum_j (1 - |z_j(w)|)^{\alpha+2}}{(1 - |w|)^{\alpha+2}}$$

where  $w \in \phi(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\{z_j(w)\}$  is the set of all preimages of  $w$ , counting multiplicities, and  $\tau_{\phi, \alpha+2}(w) = 0$  when  $w \notin \phi(\mathbb{D})$ .  $\tau_{\phi, \alpha+2}(w)$  is called the determining functions for the composition operator  $C_\phi$  on  $D_{\alpha+2}$ . Note that the numerator in the above expression looks very similar to a generalized version of the Nevanlinna counting function we discussed earlier.

## ABSOLUTELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS

A function  $f(x)$  is *absolutely monotonic* in the interval  $a \leq x \leq b$  if it is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and all of its derivatives of all orders are non-negative on  $(a, b)$  (see [51]). For example,  $f(x) = c$ , where  $c$  is any non-negative constant, is an absolutely monotonic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Another class of examples are functions which can be represented as powers series of the form,  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $a_k \geq 0$ . Also sum, product and composition of absolutely monotonic functions are absolutely monotonic; see Theorem 2a in [51].

Absolutely monotonic functions are necessarily analytic. The following theorem points out the analyticity of absolutely monotonic functions.

**Theorem 11** ([51]). *If  $f(x)$  is absolutely monotonic in  $a \leq x < b$ , then it can be extended analytically into the complex plane, and the function  $f(z)$  will be analytic in the circle*

$$|z - a| < b - a.$$

To learn more about absolutely monotonic functions please see chapter 4 of [51].

## CLOSED-RANGE OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES

Closed-range operators are the ones whose range is a closed subspace of the image space. To characterize closed-range operators on any Banach space, first we need to introduce the concept of bounded below operators. This discussion here is taken largely from [1].

An operator  $T : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  between two Banach spaces is said to be *bounded below* if there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|Tx\| \geq \varepsilon\|x\|$$

for each  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

This following theorem completely characterizes bounded below operators on Banach spaces.

**Theorem 12.** *A continuous operator  $T : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  between Banach spaces is bounded below if and only if  $T$  is injective and has closed-range.*

The theorem above is a consequence of *open mapping theorem* and its proof can be found in [1]. The above characterization can also be interpreted as: for any bounded operator  $T : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  between two Banach spaces, there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $y \in \text{range}(T)$  there exists some  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  satisfying  $y = Tx$  and  $\|x\| \leq \varepsilon\|y\|$  if and only if  $T$  has closed range. For detailed discussion on closed-range operators including this section please refer to [16, 1].

### 3 History on the Compactness of Composition Operators

The study of Composition Operators is a delightful subject which has its origin in 1960s in the works of such mathematicians as E. Nordgren[37] and H. J. Schwartz[41]. They have been studied extensively on several Banach spaces of analytic functions on different types of simply connected domains in the complex plane. We already know, from the Littlewood's theorem, that every composition operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. So now it is natural to be curious about the compactness of composition operator. The following result is first of its kind and can be proven in a straightforward way. For a proof refer to [44].

**Theorem 13** ([44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $\|\phi\|_\infty < 1$  then  $C_\phi$  is a compact operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .*

So it tells us if the image of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  under the map  $\phi$  is merely relatively compact then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Shapiro and Taylor[45, 44] improved the first compactness theorem by showing that if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\phi^n\|^2 < \infty$  then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 14** (Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem for composition operators [44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1-|\phi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) < \infty$  then  $C_\phi$  is a compact operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .*

Composition operators which satisfy the above condition in Theorem 14 are called *Hilbert-Schmidt operators*. Shapiro and Taylor also gave an example of a new class of maps which induce Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators.

**Theorem 15** ([44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  is contained in a polygon inscribed in  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $C_\phi$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .*

The operator-theoretic definition of compactness for Hilbert space operators involves the concept of *weak convergence*: A sequence  $\{s_n\}$  in a Hilbert space  $S$  is said to converge *weakly* to  $s \in S$  if  $\langle s_n, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle s, u \rangle$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $u \in S$ . A compact operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $S$  takes a weakly convergent sequence  $\{s_n\}$  into a norm convergent sequence. Here is a version of this statement in the case of composition operators.



**Theorem 16** ([34]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C_\phi$  to be a compact operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is the following: for each sequence  $\{f_n\}$  bounded in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and uniformly convergent to 0 on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the sequence  $\{C_\phi(f_n)\}$  also converges to 0 in the  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  metric.*

With the help of the Theorem 16 it has been shown that the composition operator  $C_\phi$  can fail to be compact if  $\phi(e^{it})$  approaches boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  too quickly, even if it happens at only one point. For example, let  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\phi(z) = \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  [44]. So it seems reasonable that if a self-map of the unit disk induces a non-compact composition operator, then any map whose values approach the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  faster should also induce a non-compact operator. This intuition gives rise to another compactness theorem.

**Theorem 17** (Comparison Principle [44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are analytic self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$ , with  $\phi$  univalent and  $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \phi(\mathbb{D})$ . If  $C_\phi$  is a compact operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , then so is  $C_\psi$ .*

Theorem 17 gives birth to an important corollary which characterizes a class of non-compact composition operators.

**Corollary 18** ([44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an univalent analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and that the image of the unit disk under the map  $\phi$  contains a disk that is tangent to  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is not compact.*

A necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of  $C_\phi$  when  $\phi$  is univalent, was proved by B. MacCluer and J. Shapiro [34].

**Theorem 19** (Univalent Compactness Theorem[44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an univalent analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \infty.$$

Now with the help of the Julia-Carathéodory theorem the above theorem can be restated as follows:

**Corollary 20** ([44]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an univalent analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\phi$  has no angular derivative at any point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

Please note that the univalence criteria of  $\phi$  is necessary only for the reverse direction in Corollary 20. Now if the univalent analytic self-map  $\phi$  satisfies all the conditions in Warschawski's theorem on angular derivative then  $C_\phi$  is compact if and only if  $\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$  diverges.

So far we have a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of composition operator in the case when the inducing map  $\phi$  is univalent. But what happens in the case of arbitrary analytic self-map  $\phi$ ? The following result is due to B. D. MacCluer [32].

**Corollary 21** ([15]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_\phi(S_h(e^{i\theta}))}{h} = 0$$

where  $\mu_\phi$  is the induced measure of  $\phi$  and  $S_h(e^{i\theta_0}) = \{re^{i\theta} : 1-h \leq r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| \leq h\}$ .

It is shown in [53] that the above condition is satisfied only when

$$\lim_{|p| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |p|^2}{|1 - \bar{p}\xi|^2} d\mu_\phi(\xi) = 0$$

which is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\lim_{|p| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |p|^2}{|1 - \bar{p}\phi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) = 0. \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Shapiro [43] also gave a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of composition operators in the case when the inducing map  $\phi$  is any analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  by computing the *essential norm* of the composition operator, where essential norm of a

composition operator is defined to be its distance in the operator norm from the space of compact operators on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 22** ([43]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\|C_\phi\|_e$  denote the essential norm of  $C_\phi$ . Then*

$$\|C_\phi\|_e^2 = \limsup_{|w| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{N_\phi(w)}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}}.$$

*In particular,  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{N_\phi(w)}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}} = 0$ .*

J. A. Cima and A. L. Matheson [12] observed the connection between essential norm of a composition operator and condition ( $\spadesuit$ ), which can be stated as an identity as follows:

**Theorem 23.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\|C_\phi\|_e$  denote the essential norm of  $C_\phi$ . Then*

$$\|C_\phi\|_e^2 = \limsup_{|p| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |p|^2}{|1 - \bar{p}\phi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta).$$

J. R. Akeroyd [2] gave a direct function-theoretic proof of the above identity.

In 1988, D. Sarason asked, “do there exist compact composition operators which do not belong to any of the Schatten p-classes ? ” C. Cowen and T. Carroll [11] gave an affirmative answer to this question by constructing an explicit analytic self-map of the unit disk which induces a compact composition operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  but does not belong to any of the Schatten p-classes,  $S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ . They used the following Luecking Criterion [31] to verify the membership of the compact composition operator in the Schatten p-classes,  $S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 24** ([31]). *For  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $C_\phi \in S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  if and only if  $\frac{N_\phi(w)}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}} \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(d\lambda)$  where  $d\lambda = \frac{dA}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$  is a measure defined on  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

Several other examples were given, respectively, in [54, 23, 25] and all of these examples rely on Luecking Criterion as stated in Theorem 24.

By the Finite Rank Approximation property of compact operators,  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if the approximation numbers  $a_n(C_\phi)$  goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . D. Li, H. Queffélec and L. Rodríguez-Piazza [29] estimated the decay rates of approximation numbers of compact composition operators on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for different types of analytic self-maps of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . They were able to estimate the lower and upper bounds for the approximation numbers in the case where  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  is contained in a polygon and in the case where the image  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  is a cusp. Their main results are summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 25** ([29]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

1. *If the image  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  is contained in a polygon with vertices on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then, there exist positive constants  $\alpha, \beta$  (depending only on  $\phi$ ) such that*

$$a_n(C_\phi) \leq \alpha e^{-\beta\sqrt{n}}.$$

2. *If  $\phi$  is a cusp map, then there exist positive constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  such that*

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha_1 n}{\log n}} \lesssim a_n(C_\phi) \lesssim e^{-\frac{\alpha_2 n}{\log n}}.$$

One major limitation of the Theorem 25 is that it does not tell us much about the approximation numbers in case when  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  “smoothly” exactly at one point. Also it fails to provide a precise estimate on the approximation numbers in the case when  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  falls in between the two extreme cases, smooth tangency at exactly one point on  $\mathbb{T}$  and the cusp maps. Queffélec and Seip[40] gave precise estimates for both of the above mentioned cases. They showed that a composition operator with any slow rate of decay of approximation numbers can be constructed. For simplification a new class of functions are defined.

**Definition** ([40]). *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  of the form  $\phi = e^{u-i\bar{u}}$ , where  $u$  is real*

valued, belongs to  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , satisfies  $u(z) = u(\bar{z})$ , and is smooth everywhere but not necessarily at  $z = 1$  and  $\tilde{u}$  is the harmonic conjugate of  $u$ . An even function  $U(t) := u(e^{it})$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{U}$  if it is increasing on  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $U(0) = 0$  and the integral function

$$h_U(t) := \int_t^\pi \frac{U(x)}{x^2} dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when} \quad t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

First, Queffélec and Seip considered two extreme cases : one when the integral function  $h_U(t)$  grows very slowly, implying there is a smooth tangency at 1 and another one when  $U(t) \rightarrow 0$  very slowly at  $t = 0$ , implying there is a sharp cusp at 1. The following theorem covers both of these cases entirely.

**Theorem 26** ([40]). *Suppose that  $U$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

1. *If  $\frac{tU'(t)}{U(t)} \leq 1 + \frac{c}{|\log t|}$  and  $\frac{U(t)}{th_U(t)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log t| \log |\log t|}$  for  $c > 1$ ,  $C > 0$ , and sufficiently small  $t > 0$ , then*

$$a_n(C_\phi) = \frac{e^{O(1)}}{\sqrt{h_U(e^{-\sqrt{n}})}} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. *Suppose  $U(t) = e^{\eta_U(\log t)}$  whenever  $0 < t \leq 1$  and  $U(t) \leq \frac{1}{e}$ . Let  $\omega_U(x) = \eta_U(\frac{x}{\omega_U(x)})$  for  $x \geq 0$  such that  $\eta_U(x) \geq 1$ . If  $\frac{\eta_U'(x)}{\eta_U(x)} = o(\frac{1}{x})$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$a_n(C_\phi) = e^{-\frac{(\frac{x^2}{2} + o(1))n}{\omega_U(n)}} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Second, they considered maps that fall between the above mentioned two extreme cases including the maps that have a corner at a boundary point. These maps lie in the interface of two types of maps discussed earlier.

**Theorem 27** ([40]). *Let  $\phi(z)$  be the holomorphic self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$  of the form*

$$\phi(z) := \frac{1}{1+(1-z)^\alpha} \quad \text{where } 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$e^{-\pi(1-\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\alpha}}} \ll a_n(C_\phi) \ll e^{-\pi(1-\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}.$$

Here, by  $f(n) \ll g(n)$ , we mean  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n$ .

Recall that if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2$  converges then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . It is also evident from the Theorem 16 that if  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  decreases to 0, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . J.R. Akeroyd [2] showed a new way of constructing self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$ , univalent or otherwise, for which  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , such that  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  decreases to 0 at an arbitrarily slow rate, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 28** ([2]). *Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers in the interval  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ . Then there exists a holomorphic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , such that  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \geq s_n$  for all  $n$ . Furthermore,  $\phi$  can be univalent.*

Akeroyd's proof, for the non-univalent case, relies heavily on a famous result of C. J. Bishop [9], which can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 29** ([9]). *Suppose  $\phi$  is a holomorphic self-map of the unit disk such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\mu_\phi$  is the induced measure of  $\phi$ . Then  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi^n \bar{\phi}^m dt = 0$  whenever  $n \neq m$  if and only if  $\mu_\phi(E) = \mu_\phi(e^{it}E)$  for every measurable set  $E$ , supported in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , satisfying*

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d\mu_\phi(z) < \infty.$$

*Moreover, given any measure  $\mu$  satisfying above conditions there exists  $\phi$  with the above mentioned characteristics such that  $\mu = \mu_\phi$ .*

With the help of Theorem 29, Akeroyd showed that there exists a non-univalent analytic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  which induces a measure  $\mu_\phi$  with the above mentioned characteristics in terms of normalized Lebesgue measure on the union of circles of the form  $\{|z| = r_k : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k = 1\}$ .

For the univalent case, he used harmonic measure to construct a simply connected region  $\Delta$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  with multiple radial slits removed so that, if  $\phi$  is a conformal mapping from

$\mathbb{D}$  to  $\Delta$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ , then  $\phi$  has no angular derivative at any point of  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\omega(\{z : r < |z| < 1\})$  tends to 0 at an arbitrarily slow rate as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ . For detailed discussion on the proof of Theorem 28 see [2].

So far we have concentrated on compactness of composition operators on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . A curious mind would naturally ask what happens to compactness of composition operators in other spaces of analytic functions. B. D. MacCluer and J. Shapiro [34] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of composition operators on the (weighted) Bergman spaces.

**Theorem 30** ([34]). *Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -1$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  if and only if  $\phi$  has no angular derivative at any point in  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

Please note that the angular derivative criterion alone is not sufficient in the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where an additional condition of  $\phi$  being univalent (or boundedly valent) is necessary in order to guarantee compactness [refer to section 3]. B. D. MacCluer and J. Shapiro also gave another complete characterization of compact composition operators on  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  in terms of Carleson measure. Please see section 2 for a definition of Carleson measure.

**Theorem 31** ([34]). *Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -1$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  if and only if  $\lambda_\alpha \phi^{-1}$  is a compact  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure.*

As a corollary a similar necessary and sufficient condition was obtained in the case of the weighted Dirichlet spaces. For a discussion on Dirichlet spaces, see section 2.

**Corollary 32** ([34]). *Suppose  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\phi \in D_\alpha^2$ . Also define a measure  $\nu_\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  as*

$$d\nu_\alpha(z) = |\phi'(z)|^2 d\lambda_\alpha(z).$$

*Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $D_\alpha^2$  if and only if  $\nu_\alpha \phi^{-1}$  is a compact  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure.*

It should also be noted that the angular derivative criterion is not sufficient enough to guarantee compactness in the weighted Dirichlet space setting. An additional condition, as in the case of the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , is required to guarantee compactness of composition operators on  $D_\alpha^2$ . The following theorem is the “main” theorem in this context, as indicated by MacCluer and Shapiro [34].

**Theorem 33** ([34]). *Suppose  $\alpha > -1$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $D_\beta$  then  $\phi$  does not have any angular derivative at any point of  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . If  $\phi$  does not have any angular derivative at any point of  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  and if in addition  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $D_\gamma$  for some  $-1 < \gamma < \beta$ , then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $D_\beta$ .*

The additional condition that  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $D_\beta^2$  for some  $-1 < \beta < \alpha$  is only necessary for the converse direction of the above statement. The reason behind this, as argued by MacCluer and Shapiro, is that if  $C_\phi$  bounded on  $D_\beta^2$  then it is also bounded on  $D_\alpha^2$  for  $-1 < \beta < \alpha$ .

In 1995, K. Madigan and A. Matheson formulated the following necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of composition operators in the Bloch spaces.

**Theorem 34** ([35]). *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then,*

- $C_\phi$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0.$$

- $C_\phi$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , such that

$$\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|\phi(z)| > r$ .



The authors [35] remarked that if the angular derivative of  $\phi$  exists at any point of  $\mathbb{T}$  then  $C_\phi$  is not compact on Bloch spaces. They presented several example scenarios where  $C_\phi$  is non-compact or compact in the context of little Bloch Space  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . In particular, if  $\phi$  is an univalent self-analytic map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and the image of  $\phi$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one point, but is not a cusp at that point, then  $C_\phi$  is non-compact on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ; on the other hand, if the image of  $\phi$  is a nontangential cusp at that point then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Shortly after, in 1996, M. Tjani [48] proved several new and interesting results about compactness of composition operators in Besov spaces and Bloch space. One of these results is about a complete characterization of compact composition operators on these spaces.

**Theorem 35** ([48]). *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $X = B_p (1 < p < \infty)$ , BMOA, or  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $C_\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi \sigma_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$$

where  $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$  is the basic disk automorphism for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ .

In addition to the previous theorem, Tjani also gave Carleson measure type characterization of compact composition operators on the Besov spaces  $B_p (1 < p < \infty)$  and Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  and a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of  $C_\phi$  on  $B_p$  when  $C_\phi$  is bounded on smaller Besov space  $B_q, 1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . For detailed discussion and proofs of these results see [48].

Later in 1999, P. S. Bourdon, J. A. Cima, and A. L. Matheson [10] came up with a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of composition operators on BMOA in terms of Carleson measure, which can be stated as follows:  $C_\phi$  is compact on BMOA if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $r, 0 < r < 1$ , such that

$$\int_{S(I)} \chi_r(1 - |z|^2) |f'(\phi(z))|^2 |\phi'(z)|^2 dA(z) \leq \epsilon |I|$$

for each arc  $I \subset \mathbb{T}$  and each  $f \in BMOA$  with  $\|f\| \leq 1$ , where  $S(I)$  is the Carleson square at  $I$  and  $\chi_r$  is the characteristic function on  $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\phi(z)| > r\}$ .

W. Smith, in [46], provided an improved condition, as compared to the complicated nature of the previous condition, to characterize compact composition operators on BMOA. Smith's characterization of compact composition operators on BMOA uses the classical Nevanlinna counting function of  $\phi$ .

**Theorem 36** ([46]). *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on BMOA if and only if*

$$\lim_{|\phi(a)| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{0 < |w| < 1} |w|^2 N_{\sigma_{\phi(a)} \circ \phi \circ \sigma_a}(w) = 0$$

and for all  $0 < R < 1$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \sup_{\{a : |\phi(a)| \leq R\}} m(\sigma_a(E(\phi, t))) = 0$$

where  $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$  is the basic disk automorphism for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and

$$E(\phi, t) = \{e^{i\theta} : |\phi(e^{i\theta})| > t\}, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Another complete characterization of compactness in the Dirichlet spaces was given by N. Zorboska [55] in terms of *determining functions* for composition operators. Determining functions are discussed in section 2.

**Theorem 37** ([55]). *Suppose  $\alpha > -1$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $D_{\alpha+2}^2$  if and only if there exists  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \partial D} \frac{1}{A(D(a, \delta))} \int_{D(a, \delta)} \tau_{\phi, \alpha+2}(w) dA(w) = 0$$

where  $D(a, \delta) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : \left| \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z} \right| < \delta \right\}$  is called pseudohyperbolic disk and  $\tau_{\phi, \alpha+2}$  is the

*determining function for  $C_\phi$  on  $D_{\alpha+2}^2$ .*

A lot of important work have been done on compactness of composition operators on different spaces of analytic functions. For example, D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodriguez-Piazza [28] computed the decay rate of approximation numbers of compact composition operators acting on the weighted Bergman spaces discussed earlier; recently K. Seip and H. Queffélec [39] discussed the approximation numbers of composition operators on the  $H^2$  space of the Dirichlet series; shortly after that, P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodriguez-Piazza [27] studied the decay rate of approximation numbers of composition operators on the Dirichlet spaces. For more information on recent compactness results of composition operators acting on different types of Banach spaces of analytic functions please refer to [18, 36, 26, 17, 7, 52]. We would also recommend [15] for some interesting information on composition operators on Banach spaces of analytic functions.

#### 4 Estimates for the Decay Rate of $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$

We already know that if  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  decreases to 0, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

But the converse of the last statement doesn't necessarily hold since there exists  $\phi$  for which  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \rightarrow 0$ , yet  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . The following serves as a simple counter-example to the converse.

**Example:** Suppose  $\phi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  given by  $\phi(z) = \frac{z+1}{2}$ . Then

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi n}}.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1+\zeta}{2} \right|^{2n} dm(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1+\zeta|^{2n} dm(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1+\zeta)^n (1+\bar{\zeta})^n dm(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (2+\zeta+\bar{\zeta})^n dm(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (2+2\cos\theta)^n d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{2^n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (1+\cos\theta)^n d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{2^n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(2\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^n d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{2^{2n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^n d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^n d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{2^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is an well-known identity. Now by Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{2^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} \\
&\sim \frac{\sqrt{4\pi n} \left(\frac{2n}{e}\right)^{2n}}{2^{2n} (2\pi n) \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{2n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

H. J. Schwartz observed that the map  $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{2}$  induces a non-compact composition operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  [45]. Now let us consider the map  $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{2}$  which induces a compact composition operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  [44, 45]. A straightforward computation shows that  $\|\Psi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , which goes to 0 much faster compared to  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  where  $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{2}$ .

H. Wulan, D. Zheng, K. Zhu [52] gave a proof for the converse direction in the Bloch space and BMOA settings. They showed that convergence of Bloch or BMOA (semi-)norm of  $\{\phi^n\}$  to 0 is necessary and sufficient for  $C_\phi$  to be compact on these spaces.

**Theorem 38** ([52]). *Let  $X = BMOA$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $X$  if and only if  $\|\phi^n\|_{X^\#} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, M. Shabankhah, H. Youssfi [18] proved the same in the classical Dirichlet space  $D_0^2$  setting. Next we compute the decay rate of  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  for the Schatten class composition operators.

**Proposition 39.** *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $p \geq 2$ . If  $C_\phi$  belongs to any of the Schatten  $p$ -classes,  $S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ , then*

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}}\right).$$

*Proof.* First of all, since  $C_\phi$  is in  $S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  it is compact. So the weak convergence theorem implies  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorem 8 implies that for Schatten  $p$ -class composition operators  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^p$  converges. Now since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^p$  is a series of positive, monotonic decreasing terms,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^p = 0$  (see [24]). Thus for  $C_\phi \in S_p(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ , where  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{n}}\right).$$

■

J. R. Akeroyd showed that we can construct analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that the composition operator  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  yet  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  converges to 0 in an arbitrarily slow rate, see [2]. But the image of  $\phi$  ( may be univalent), in his construction, touches the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  at multiple points. We study the decay rate of  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  for the composition operator  $C_\phi$  on the Hardy space of unit disk  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $C_\phi$  is defined by  $C_\phi = f \circ \phi$  and  $\phi$  is an univalent analytic map of unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto itself. We want to identify as precisely as possible the rate of decay for the  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  when  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  touches the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  at just one point. For simplicity we consider  $\phi$  which maps the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  to a Jordan domain  $\Delta$  whose boundary  $\partial\Delta$  has an equation  $1 - r = h(t)$ , where  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous, increasing, convex function with  $h(0) = 0$  and  $0 \leq h(t) \leq M \cdot t$ , for some constant  $M > 0$ . The functions  $h$  that satisfy these conditions will be said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We begin our work with a few lemmas and observations concerning the behavior of functions in class  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 40.** *Suppose that  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$(1 - h(t))^k \geq 1 - kh(t)$$

on  $[0, 1]$  for any large  $k$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\rho(t) = (1 - h(t))^k - (1 - kh(t))$$

Notice that  $\rho(0) = 0$ , so it suffices to show that  $\rho'(t)$  is positive on  $(0, 1)$ .

$$\rho'(t) = k(1 - h(t))^{k-1}(-h'(t)) + kh'(t) = kh'(t)[1 - (1 - h(t))^{k-1}]$$

Since  $h$  is increasing and convex,  $h'(t) > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Also from the definition of  $h$ ,  $1 - (1 - h(t))^{k-1}$  is positive on  $(0, 1]$ . Thus  $\rho'(t)$  is positive on  $(0, 1)$ . ■

**Observation:** Choose  $t_k$ ,  $0 < t_k < 1$ , such that  $h(t_k) = \frac{1}{2k}$ .

Since  $h(t)$  is increasing on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $h(t) \leq \frac{1}{2k}$  on  $[0, t_k]$ . So then

$$1 - kh(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

and it is clear that  $(1 - h(t))^k \leq 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ . From which we have

$$\frac{1 - kh(t)}{(1 - h(t))^k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

on  $[0, t_k]$ , for any  $k$ . We call  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  the *cutoff sequence* for  $h(t)$ .

The following lemma is an important feature of the functions that belong to class  $\mathcal{H}$  and also a key tool that will help us prove our main results concerning composition operators.

**Lemma 41.** *Suppose that  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{\int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt}{\int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt} \geq \varepsilon$$

for large  $k$ , where  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is the cutoff sequence for  $h(t)$ .

*Proof.* First of all, for large  $k > 0$ , choose the same  $0 < t_k < 1$  such that  $h(t_k) = \frac{1}{2k}$  as in the discussion above. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt &:= \int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt + \int_{t_k}^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt & (1) \\ &:= I + II. & (2) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the first integral ( $I$ ) in the above expression is boundedly equivalent to  $t_k$ , that is,  $\int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt \asymp t_k$ . To see that,

$$\begin{aligned} t_k &\geq \int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt \\ &\geq (1 - h(t_k))^k \cdot t_k \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k}\right)^k \cdot t_k \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \cdot t_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose a subinterval of  $[t_k, 1]$ , with a partition  $t_k = t_k^{(1)} < t_k^{(2)} < \dots < t_k^{(j)}$ , where  $j \leq \lfloor 4 \log(k) \rfloor$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_k^{(1)}) &= \frac{1}{2k} \\ h(t_k^{(2)}) &= \frac{2}{2k} \\ &\vdots \\ h(t_k^{(j)}) &= \frac{j}{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$h(t_k^{(j)}) - h(t_k^{(j-1)}) = \frac{1}{2k} \quad (3)$$

for all  $j$ .



By Mean Value Theorem , there exists a point  $s_k^j$  between  $t_k^{(j-1)}$  and  $t_k^{(j)}$  such that

$$h(t_k^{(j)}) - h(t_k^{(j-1)}) = h'(s_k^j) \cdot (t_k^{(j)} - t_k^{(j-1)}). \quad (4)$$

Let  $s_k^{(j-1)} \in (t_k^{(j-2)}, t_k^{(j-1)})$ . Then combining (3) and (4) and by applying Mean Value Theorem again we have,

$$h'(s_k^{(j)}) \cdot (t_k^{(j)} - t_k^{(j-1)}) = h'(s_k^{(j-1)}) \cdot (t_k^{(j-1)} - t_k^{(j-2)}).$$

Now from the definition of  $h(t)$  we know that  $h'(t)$  is increasing and never zero on  $(0, 1)$ . So,

$$h'(s_k^{(j)}) \geq h'(s_k^{(j-1)})$$

which implies

$$t_k^{(j)} - t_k^{(j-1)} \leq t_k^{(j-1)} - t_k^{(j-2)} \quad (5)$$

for any  $j \leq \lfloor 4 \log(k) \rfloor$  for any large  $k$ .

Now if we integrate  $(1 - h(t))^k$  on the subinterval  $[t_k^{(1)}, t_k^{(4 \log(k))}]$  for any large  $k$  then by (5) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_k^{(1)}}^{t_k^{(4\log(k))}} (1 - h(t))^k dt &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{4\log(k)} (t_k^{(j+1)} - t_k^{(j)}) (1 - h(t_k^{(j)}))^k \\
&\leq t_k^{(1)} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{4\log(k)} (1 - h(t_k^{(j)}))^k \right] \\
&= t_k^{(1)} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{4\log(k)} \left(1 - \frac{j}{2k}\right)^k \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The sum on the right hand side of above inequality converges uniformly and equals to some constant  $L > 0$  because  $(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k \sim \frac{1}{e^{\frac{j}{2}}}$  uniformly for all  $j > 0$  growing upto  $[4\log(k)]$  for any large  $k$ . In other words,  $(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k$  nearly equals the value  $\frac{1}{e^{\frac{j}{2}}}$  for all  $j \leq [4\log(k)]$  no matter how large  $k$  gets. The following claim explains this in more detail.

**Claim:**  $e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Proof of claim: First of all note that the sequence  $e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k$  approaches to 1 uniformly for all  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if the sequence  $\log(e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k)$  approaches 0 uniformly on  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So it suffices to show that  $\log(e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

For  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$ , let  $m = \frac{2k}{j}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k) &= \frac{j}{2} + k \cdot \log(1 - \frac{j}{2k}) \\
&= \frac{j}{2} + m \cdot \frac{j}{2} \cdot \log(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \\
&= \frac{j}{2} \cdot (1 + m \log(1 - \frac{1}{m})).
\end{aligned}$$

Now since  $1 \leq j \leq [4\log(k)]$ ,  $0 \lesssim \frac{1}{m} < 1$  from which by the logarithmic

inequalities/identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m} &< -\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \\
&= \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{m}}\right) \\
&= \log\left(\frac{m}{m-1}\right) \\
&= \log\left(\frac{(m-1)+1}{m-1}\right) \\
&= \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1}\right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{m-1} \quad \text{since } \log(1+x) \sim x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows,

$$0 < -\log\left(e^{\frac{j}{2}}\left(1 - \frac{j}{2k}\right)^k\right) \lesssim \frac{j}{2(m-1)}.$$

Now if  $k$  is very large, then  $m$  also is very large. So as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{j}{2(m-1)} &= \frac{j}{2} \cdot \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \\
&= \frac{j}{2} \cdot \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{j}{2k} \\
&= \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{j^2}{4k} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{m}} \cdot \frac{4(\log(k))^2}{k}
\end{aligned}$$

approaches 0.

$$\text{Now } |\log(e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k) - 0| < \epsilon \iff \frac{4(\log(k))^2}{k} < \epsilon \iff \frac{4}{k} < \epsilon \iff k > \frac{4}{\epsilon}.$$

Choose  $K(\epsilon) = \frac{4}{\epsilon}$ . Thus for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K(\epsilon)$ , independent of  $j$ , such that  $k \geq K(\epsilon)$  implies

$$|\log(e^{\frac{j}{2}}(1 - \frac{j}{2k})^k) - 0| < \epsilon$$

on  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor 4 \log(k) \rfloor$ .

From the above discussion we have,

$$\int_{t_k^{(1)}}^{t_k^{(4\log(k))}} (1 - h(t))^k dt \leq t_k^{(1)} \cdot L \quad (6)$$

$$\approx L^* \cdot \int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt \quad (7)$$

where  $L^* > 0$  is some constant.

(7) implies that the integral of  $(1 - h(t))^k$  on  $[t_k^{(1)}, t_k^{(4\log(k))}]$  is a constant multiple of the integral of  $(1 - h(t))^k$  on  $[0, t_k]$ . Now since  $k$  is very large and  $(1 - h(t))^k$  is a decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  then by the above claim ,

$$\int_{t_k^{(4\log k)}}^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt \leq \left(1 - \frac{4\log(k)}{2k}\right)^k \sim \frac{1}{k^2} \quad \text{on} \quad [t_k^{(4\log k)}, 1]$$

which is very negligible compared to the integral  $\int_0^{t_k^{(4\log(k))}} (1 - h(t))^k dt$  due to the hypothesis  $h(t) \leq M \cdot t$  on  $[0, 1]$  which implies  $t_k \geq \frac{M}{2k}$  and  $\frac{1}{k^2}$  converges to 0 faster than  $\frac{1}{k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So we can conclude that

$$\int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt \sim \int_0^{t_k^{(4\log(k))}} (1 - h(t))^k dt$$

Set  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1+L^*}$ . Thus by (2),

$$\frac{\int_0^{t_k} (1 - h(t))^k dt}{\int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^k dt} \geq \varepsilon.$$

■

We discussed in section 3 for a compact composition operator  $C_\phi$  induced by a self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the  $H^2$ -norm of  $\{\phi^n\}$  decreases to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The following proposition tells us that for a compact composition operator, induced by a univalent self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  whose

image touches the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one point, the  $H^2$ -norm of  $\{\phi^n\}$  decreases to zero faster than the sequence  $\{\sqrt{t_n}\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 42.** *Suppose  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{D}$  bounded by a smooth boundary curve  $C$  which has an equation  $1 - r = h(t)$ , where  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a univalent map of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Delta$ , which fixes 1. If  $C_\phi$  is compact then*

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\sqrt{t_n})$$

where  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is the cutoff sequence for  $h(t)$ .

*Proof.* It is given that boundary curve  $C$  is smooth; hence rectifiable. Now suppose  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and let  $\omega(\alpha, \cdot, \Delta)$  be harmonic measure on  $\partial\Delta$  at  $\alpha$ . It is clear from Proposition 9 that  $d\omega = |\psi'|d\xi$ ; where  $\psi = \phi^{-1}$  and  $\psi'$  exists in terms of non-tangential limit and  $d\xi$  is the arc-length.

Now Choose an  $r$  where  $0 < r < 1$ . Then from the above discussion,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(\zeta)|^{2n} |d\zeta| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| \quad \left( = \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} d\omega(\xi) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_{\partial\Delta \cap |\xi| \leq r} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| + \int_{\partial\Delta \cap |\xi| > r} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h(t) \leq M \cdot t$  for some positive  $M$  and by the Lemma 41 above the first term in the above inequality, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as we choose  $r$  close enough to 1, tends to 0 faster than  $t_n$ . That is, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N$  such that  $\int_{\partial\Delta \cap |\xi| \leq r} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| \leq \pi\epsilon \cdot t_n$  whenever  $n \geq N$ .

Also since  $C_\phi$  is compact, as  $r$  is close enough to 1,  $|\psi'(\xi)|$  gets smaller. That is for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N'$  such that  $\int_{\partial\Delta \cap |\xi| > r} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| \leq \frac{\pi\epsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{\partial\Delta \cap |\xi| > r} |\xi|^{2n} |d\xi|$  whenever  $n \geq N'$ .

From the above discussion and by lemma 41,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_{\partial\Delta \cap \{|\xi|>r\}} |\xi|^{2n} |d\xi| \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} |d\xi| \\
&\sim \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_0^1 (1-h(t))^{2n} dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_0^{t_n} (1-h(t))^{2n} dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^{t_n} (1-2nh(t)) dt \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (t_n - 2n \int_0^{t_n} h(t) dt) \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (t_n - 2n \cdot h(0) \cdot t_n) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot t_n \\
&= \epsilon \cdot t_n.
\end{aligned}$$

From which it follows that

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\sqrt{t_n}).$$

■

**Remarks:**

- It was noted in [35] and [10] that the map  $\phi(z) = 1 - \sqrt{1-z}$  which maps  $\mathbb{D}$  to a tear-drop shaped region in  $\mathbb{D}$  induces a non-compact composition operator on the little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and BMOA. But  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . K. Madigan and A. Matheson [35] also proved : if  $\phi$  is univalent and the image of  $\phi$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one point and doest not have a cusp at that point then  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . But we know from Theorem 15 that  $C_\phi$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is Hilbert-Schmidt in the case when the image of  $\phi$  has a cusp at the touching point. Now by Proposition 39 the decay rate of

$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  in the Hilbert-Schmidt operator case (when  $p = 2$ ) is much faster than  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

- In [18] El-Fallah et.al. noticed that if  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$  then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . In light of proposition 42 above taking  $h(t) = \frac{t}{\log(\frac{1}{t})}$  gives us  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n}})$  and we know that  $C_\phi$  is compact in this case.
- Also if we assume  $C_\phi$  is compact and  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$  with the same hypothesis as in Proposition 42 then  $C_\phi$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . To see that notice  $C_\phi$  is compact in this case. So by Proposition 42, since  $t_n$  is unique up to a constant multiple,  $t_n = \frac{1}{n}$ . Now since  $h(t_n) = \frac{1}{2n}$  and  $h(t)$  is an increasing, injective function,  $h(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ . So the image of  $\phi$  is contained in a polygon which implies  $C_\phi$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.

It should also be noted that this result is not true in general for any analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ . For example, if we choose  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log n}}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log n}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} = 0$ , but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log n}$  diverges. Thus  $C_\phi$  is not Hilbert-Schmidt in this case. Theorem 28 guarantees the existence of such an analytic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  which may not be univalent and  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at multiple points.

The above estimate for the decay rate of  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  in the case of compact composition operator induced by a univalent analytic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\phi(1) = 1$  gets better as we choose  $\phi$  whose image approaches the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  smoothly or “faster” as opposed to sharply or “slower”, yet induces a compact composition operator. Our next proposition gives us a precise estimate on the decay rate of  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$  in the case when the inducing map  $\phi$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a domain  $\Delta$  whose boundary touches  $\mathbb{T}$  very smoothly and as a consequence induces a non-compact composition operator.

**Proposition 43.** *Suppose  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{D}$  bounded by a smooth boundary curve  $C$ , represented by the equation  $1 - r = h(t)$ , where  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a univalent map of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Delta$ , which fixes 1. Then  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \asymp t_n$$

where  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is the cutoff sequence for  $h(t)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \asymp t_n$  then  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \neq o(\sqrt{t_n})$ . Thus by Lemma 42,  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) As in the proof of previous proposition, it is given that boundary curve  $C$  is rectifiable. Now let  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $\omega(\alpha, \cdot, \Delta)$  be the harmonic measure on  $\partial\Delta$  at  $\alpha$ . It is clear from Proposition 9 that  $d\omega = |\psi'|d\xi$ ; where  $\psi = \phi^{-1}$  and  $\psi'$  exists in terms of non-tangential limit and  $d\xi$  is the arc-length.

Since  $C_\phi$  is not compact, by univalent compactness theorem in Section 3,  $\phi$  does have finite angular derivative at some point on  $\mathbb{T}$ , which implies  $\psi' \neq 0$ . Also since the boundary curve  $C$  is smooth,  $\phi'$  has a continuous extension on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Thus on  $\partial\Delta$ ,  $C_1 < |\psi'| < C_2$  for some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , which is equivalent as saying  $d\omega \asymp d\xi$  on  $\partial\Delta$ . So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(\zeta)|^{2n} |d\zeta| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} |\psi'(\xi)| |d\xi| \quad \left( = \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} d\omega(\xi) \right) \\ &\asymp \int_{\partial\Delta} |\xi|^{2n} |d\xi| \\ &\sim \int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^{2n} dt \\ &\leq \text{const.} \int_0^{t_n} (1 - h(t))^{2n} dt \\ &\asymp t_n. \end{aligned}$$



■

*Remark 1.* If we Let  $\Delta$  be a Jordan domain on the  $w$ -plane, bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve  $C$  represented by  $w = w(\xi)$  ( $0 \leq \xi \leq l$ ), where  $l$  is the length of  $C$  and  $\xi$  the arc length of  $C$ . Also that  $C$  has a tangent at every point, which varies continuously and  $w'(\xi)$  satisfies the following Hölder's condition:

$$|w'(\xi_1) - w'(\xi_2)| \leq K|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^\lambda \quad (0 < \lambda < 1)$$

where  $K$  is some constant, then by Kellogg's theorem [50],  $d\omega \asymp d\xi$ . So this particular scenario resembles the “smooth” criterion mentioned in Proposition 43 and the result holds.

The following theorem is our main result. It gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the compactness of the composition operator  $C_\phi$  in the case when the the image of the inducing map  $\phi$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one point.

**Theorem 44.** *Suppose  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{D}$  bounded by a smooth boundary curve  $C$ , represented by the equation  $1 - r = h(t)$ , where  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a univalent map of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Delta$ , which fixes 1. Then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\|\phi^{n+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} - \frac{1}{\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} \right]$$

*diverges.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\|\phi^{n+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} - \frac{1}{\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} \right]$  diverges. Also for the sake of contradiction assume that  $C_\phi$  is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $C_\phi$  is not compact, by Warschawski's Theorem  $\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$  converges. Now we know that  $h(t)$  is a continuous, increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  and  $h(t_n) = \frac{1}{2n}$  and  $t_{n+1} < t_n$ .

So  $h(t) \leq \frac{1}{2n}$  on  $[0, t_n]$  and  $h(t_{n+1}) < h(t_n)$ , which implies

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt \asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} \frac{dt}{t^2} \text{ converges.}$$

Now from Proposition 43 above  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \asymp t_n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} \frac{dt}{t^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \left[ \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{t_n} \right] < \infty \\ &\asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\|\phi^{n+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} - \frac{1}{\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} \right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumption.

( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $C_\phi$  is compact. Let  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = s_n$  for all  $n$ . Since  $C_\phi$  is compact  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , which implies  $s_{n+1} < s_n$  for all  $n$ . Now by proposition 42,  $\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = s_n = o(t_n)$ . Define a piecewise linear function  $g(s)$  such that  $g(s_n) = \frac{1}{2n}$  for all  $n$ . Since  $h(t)$  is convex,  $g(s) \geq h(t)$  for all  $s, t$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Now since  $g(s) \geq h(t)$  for all  $s, t$  in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\int_0^1 \frac{g(s)}{s^2} ds \geq \int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$ .

Since  $C_\phi$  is compact, by Warschawski's theorem,  $\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt$  diverges, which implies  $\int_0^1 \frac{g(s)}{s^2} ds$  diverges. From which and with the same argument as in the previous case, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{g(s)}{s^2} ds &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_{n+1}}^{s_n} \frac{g(s)}{s^2} ds \\ &\asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \int_{s_{n+1}}^{s_n} \frac{ds}{s^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \left[ \frac{1}{s_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{s_n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

diverges.

Thus  $C_\phi$  is compact if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\|\phi^{n+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} - \frac{1}{\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} \right]$  diverges. ■

An easy and simple example of Theorem 44 can be given by considering the analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , discussed earlier, given by  $\phi(z) = \frac{z+1}{2}$  whose image touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at exactly one

point and does so smoothly. Notice that, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\|\phi^{n+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} - \frac{1}{\|\phi^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2} \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{n} \left[ \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \right]$$

converges by the Comparison Test. Thus the composition operator  $C_\phi$ , in this case, is not compact on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

## 5 Closed-Range Composition Operators

We know, from section 2, what it means for an operator on any Banach space to be closed-range. In the context of composition operators, we have the following characterization which is just the Banach-space version of Proposition 3.30 in [15].

**Theorem 45.** *A bounded (and one-to-one) composition operator  $C_\phi$  on any Banach space  $\mathfrak{B}$  of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  has closed-range if and only if there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that*

$$\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \geq \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$$

for all  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

In 1974, J. A. Cima, J. Thomson and W. Wogen [13] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for closed-rangeness of composition operators on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Their condition focuses on the boundary behavior of the analytic self-map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 46** ([13]). *Let  $\phi$  be a nonconstant analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  has closed-range if and only if  $\frac{d\mu_\phi}{dm}$  is essentially bounded away from zero, where  $\mu_\phi$  is the induced measure on  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  as defined in section 2.*

Cima, Thomson and Wogen also posed the problem of obtaining a necessary and sufficient condition for closed-rangeness of composition operators in terms of the range of the inducing analytic self-map  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  rather than  $\mathbb{T}$ . Approximately twenty years later, N. Zorboska [56] gave a complete characterization of closed-range composition operators on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  in terms of the properties of the range of the inducing analytic self-map  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  instead of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Theorem 47** ([56]). *Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  has closed-range if and*

only if there exists a  $c > 0$  such that the set

$$G_c^\phi = \left\{ z : \tau_\phi(z) = \frac{N_\phi(z)}{\log \frac{1}{|z|}} > c \right\}$$

satisfies the following condition:

There exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(\star) \quad A(G_c^\phi \cap D(\xi, r)) > \delta \cdot A(\mathbb{D} \cap D(\xi, r))$$

for all  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $D(\xi, r)$  is the disk with centered at  $\xi$  with radius  $r$ .

Here  $N_\phi(z)$  is the Nevanlinna counting function of  $\phi$  as defined in section 2.

The condition  $(\star)$  is called *reverse Carleson condition*, and was invented by D.

Luecking [30] in order to answer questions related to the closed-rangeness of Toeplitz operators. It tells us about the behavior of the set  $G_c^\phi$  at the boundary. In particular, Luecking was able to show the following interesting connection:

**Luecking's Theorem** ([30]). *Let  $G$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $p > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathbb{A}_0^p$ , the Bergman spaces,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p dA \leq K \int_G |f|^p dA$$

*if and only if there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$A(G \cap D(\xi, r)) > \delta \cdot A(\mathbb{D} \cap D(\xi, r))$$

*for all  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $D(\xi, r)$  is the disk with centered at  $\xi$  with radius  $r$ .*

Zorboska also proved similar results in the context of weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^2$ , for  $\alpha > -1$ . But Zorboska's results make use of Nevanlinna counting function which is a

complex tool to deal with. J. R. Akeroyd and P. G. Ghatage [4] provided an improved necessary and sufficient condition for closed-rangeness of  $C_\phi$  for the classical Bergman space  $\mathbb{A}_0^2$ , which does not involve Nevanlinna counting function. They considered images of sets of the form  $\Omega_\varepsilon(\phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|\phi(z)|^2} \geq \varepsilon > 0\}$ , denoted  $G_\varepsilon(\phi) = \phi(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  and applied Luecking's reverse Carleson condition on these sets. The following is a restatement of their result:

**Theorem 48** ([4]). *Let  $\phi$  be a nontrivial analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\phi$  closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_0^2$  if and only if there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta > 0$ , and  $0 < s < 1$  such that  $G_\varepsilon$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$A(G_\varepsilon \cap D_s(z)) \geq \delta \cdot A(D_s(z))$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , where  $D_s(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : |\frac{z-w}{1-\bar{w}z}| < s\}$ , is called the pseudo-hyperbolic disk of radius  $r$  and centered at  $z$ .

With the help of Theorem 48 Akeroyd and Ghatage were able to show that if  $\phi$  is an univalent analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_0^2$  if and only if  $\phi$  is a conformal automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Other characterizations of closed-range composition operators on  $\mathbb{A}_0^2$  was given by Akeroyd, Ghatage and Tjani [6].

Similar results like Theorem 48, in the context of weighted Bergman spaces, are provided in [3]. P. Ghatage, D. Zhang, and N. Zorboska [21] worked on closed-range composition operators on the Bloch space. Later more results in the context of Bloch space were provided in [5]. Recently, M. Tjani [49] has studied the closed-range composition operators on Besov type spaces.

Akeroyd, Ghatage and Tjani [5, 6] also noticed an interesting implication: if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_0^2$  then it is also closed-range on  $\mathcal{B}$ , the Bloch space. A counterexample disproving the converse of this statement can also be found in [5]. Another implication like this was also noticed by N. Zorboska: if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on the Bergman space  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^2$  then

it is also closed-range on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ ; see Corollary 4.2 in [56]. Tjani [49] also showed that for  $p > 2$ , if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on Besov spaces  $B_{p,p-1}$  then it is also closed-range on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . However, all of these implications are results of complete characterization of closed-rangeness of  $C_\phi$  on these spaces. In the next section we study this pattern from a different perspective.

## 6 Inheritance of Closed-Rangeness Property

So from the discussion in the preceding section one may naturally ask, does closed-rangeness of a composition operator on a larger Banach space always imply closed-rangeness on a smaller Banach subspace. In other words, if  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are two Banach spaces of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$  and if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then does it follow that  $C_\phi$  is also closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ ? To answer this question we need a tool called absolutely monotonic radial weight functions.

### ABSOLUTELY MONOTONIC RADIAL WEIGHT

A Borel measurable function  $w : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *radial weight* on  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $w(z) = w(|z|)$ ,  $\forall z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In section 2, we discussed what it means for any real-valued function to be *absolutely monotonic* on an interval. If  $w(z)$  is some radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $w(z) = g(|z|)$  on  $[0, 1)$ , where  $g(x)$  is an absolutely monotonic function on  $[0, 1)$  then we say  $w(z)$  is an *absolutely monotonic radial weight* on  $\mathbb{D}$ . In particular, by Theorem 11,  $w(z)$  is the analytic extension of  $g(x)$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Some common examples of absolutely monotonic radial weights are: for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\log(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2})$ ,  $\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$  etc. Following are some important observations regarding absolutely monotonic radial weights.

**Observation 1:** Let  $w(z) := g(|z|)$  be an absolutely monotonic radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  where  $g$  is defined on  $[0, 1)$  as  $g(x) = \log(\frac{1}{1-x})$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if we define  $w_p(z)$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $w_p(z) := g(|z|^p)$ , then  $w$  and  $w_p$  are boundedly equivalent on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Notice that, for  $0 \leq x < 1$ ,  $g(x^p) \leq g(x)$  for all  $p$ . Also,  $g(x) = g(x^p) + \log(\frac{1-x^p}{1-x})$ . Now we know that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1-x^p}{1-x} = p$ ; from which we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \log(\frac{1-x^p}{1-x}) = \log(p)$ .

**Observation 2:** As in the previous observation, if we consider weight  $w(z) := g(|z|)$  of the form where  $g(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (\frac{1-x^p}{1-x})^\alpha = p^\alpha$ ,  $w$  and  $w_p$  are boundedly equivalent on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Observation 3:** If we consider rapidly increasing weights of the form  $w(z) := g(|z|)$ , where



$g(x) = e^{\frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha}}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then we can guarantee that there exists an absolutely monotonic radial weight which is boundedly equivalent to  $w$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . To verify this claim, consider the linear function  $v_p(x) = \frac{1}{p}x + (1 - \frac{1}{p})$  which is clearly an absolutely monotonic function from  $[0, 1)$  into itself. So the composition  $l(x) = g \circ v_p(x)$  is also absolutely monotonic on  $[0, 1)$ . Now, for  $0 \leq x < 1$ ,  $x^{\frac{1}{p}} < v_p(x)$ ; from which we have  $l(x^p) \geq g(x)$  for  $x \in [0, 1)$ . Also,

$$\frac{l(x^p)}{g(x)} = e^{\frac{p^\alpha}{(1-x^p)^\alpha}} - e^{\frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha}} = e^{\frac{p^\alpha - (\frac{1-x^p}{1-x})^\alpha}{(1-x^p)^\alpha}}$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $c \in (x, 1)$ , depending only on  $p$ , such that,  $pc^{p-1} = \frac{1-x^p}{1-x}$ ; which implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{l(x^p)}{g(x)} &= e^{\frac{p^\alpha - (pc^{p-1})^\alpha}{(1-x^p)^\alpha}} \\ &= e^{\frac{p^\alpha(1-c^\alpha(p-1))}{(1-x^p)^\alpha}} \\ &\leq e^{p^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Before we discuss our main results and their proofs, we would like to state our assumption throughout the rest of this section that  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is bounded on both spaces  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\sigma_a(z) := \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ , for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , are the disk automorphisms.

**Theorem 49.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  be two Banach spaces of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ , defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B} &= \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p d\mu < \infty\} \\ \mathfrak{S} &= \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p w_p d\mu < \infty\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , where  $w_p(z) := w(|z|^p)$  is an absolutely monotonic radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mu$  is some positive Borel measure defined on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $C_\phi$

maps  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  into  $\mathfrak{S}$ . If  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathfrak{S}$  and closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$  then  $C_\phi$  is also closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

*Proof.* By our earlier assumption,  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is bounded on both spaces  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where, for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\sigma_a(z) := \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ . An important consequence of this assumption is that  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is now closed-range on both  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  since the inverse of  $\sigma_a$  is itself under function composition. So we only consider the case when  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Since  $w_p(z)$  is absolutely monotonic radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  it can be written as  $w_p(z) := g(|z|^p)$ , where  $g$  is a real analytic function on  $[0, 1)$  whose power series representation contains non-negative coefficients. In particular,  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , where  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .

It is given that  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . So, by definition, there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \geq \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$$

whenever  $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

Now, by the Schwarz's lemma, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p &= \|(f \circ \phi)(z)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)(z)|^p w_p(z) d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)(z)|^p |\phi(z)|^{np} d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \|(f \circ \phi)(z) \cdot \phi(z)^n\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \|C_\phi(f(z) \cdot z^n)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \|f(z) \cdot z^n\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \\
&= \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p w_p(z) d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p
\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $C_\phi$  is bounded below on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Thus, by Theorem 45,  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . ■

It should be noted that Theorem 49 can be applied to any pair of Banach spaces of analytic functions which possess integral norms as mentioned above. Also the measure  $\mu$  here is not restrictive at all except it is just a positive, Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The importance of the weight  $w(z)$  being radial shall be discussed later. Indeed, a large number of well-known Banach spaces of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  discussed in various literatures do possess integral norms similar to the one defined above and are endowed with some kind of radial weights. For example, consider the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ );  $C_\phi$  is always bounded on these spaces (see [34]). Now if we consider the absolutely monotonic weight  $w_p(z) := \frac{1}{(1-|z|^p)^{\beta-\alpha}}$ , then by Theorem 49, for  $-1 < \alpha < \beta$ , if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_\beta^p$  then it is also closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$ . But there are Banach spaces of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  which have integral norms defined in terms of the derivative of the functions in the spaces instead of the function itself; for example, weighted Dirichlet spaces  $D_\alpha$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ) or Besov type spaces. The proof above doesn't work in this case. We would need a modified approach to resolve this issue.

**Theorem 50.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  be two Banach spaces of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ , where*

$\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ , defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B} &= \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^p d\mu < \infty\} \\ \mathfrak{S} &= \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^p w_p d\mu < \infty\}\end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , where  $w_p(z) := w(|z|^p)$  is an absolutely monotonic radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mu$  is some positive Borel measure defined on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\phi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $C_\phi$  maps  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  into  $\mathfrak{S}$ . If  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathfrak{S}$  and closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$  then  $C_\phi$  is also closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

*Proof.* By our assumption,  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is bounded on both spaces  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where, for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\sigma_a(z) := \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ . An important consequence of this assumption is that  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is now closed-range on both  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  since the inverse of  $\sigma_a$  is itself under function composition. So we only consider the case when  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Since  $w_p(z)$  is absolutely monotonic radial weight on  $\mathbb{D}$  it can be written as  $w_p(z) := g(|z|^p)$ , where  $g$  is a real analytic function on  $[0, 1)$  whose power series representation contains non-negative coefficients. In particular,  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , where  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .

Now let  $f_0 = f - f(0)$ . Since  $C_\phi$  is linear and one-to-one,  $C_\phi(f_0) = C_\phi(f) - f(0)$ , from which we have :  $\|C_\phi(f_0)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p = \|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p + |f(0)|^p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : f(0) = 0\}$ . Now if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  then by Theorem 45, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_0} \geq \delta \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}_0}$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0$ . It is now implied that if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  then it is also closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$  and the same  $\delta > 0$  does work in this case. So it suffices to show that  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ .

It is given that  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . So, by Theorem 45, there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \geq \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$$

whenever  $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Suppose  $n$  is some positive integer and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Let the sequence  $f_n(z) = \int_0^1 f'(tz)(tz)^n z dt$  be the analytic primitive of  $f'(z)z^n$  and  $f_n(0) = 0$ . Now, by the Schwarz's lemma, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p &= \|(f \circ \phi)(z)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)'(z)|^p w_p(z) d\mu(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)'(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\phi(z))\phi'(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\phi(z))\phi'(z)|^p |\phi(z)|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f_n \circ \phi)'(z)|^p d\mu(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \|C_\phi(f_n)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \\
&\geq \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \|f_n\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \\
&= \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p w_p(z) d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p
\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $C_\phi$  is bounded below on  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ . Thus, by Theorem 45,  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ . ■

As an example, consider the Besov type spaces discussed in section 2. Suppose  $C_\phi$  is bounded on Besov type spaces  $B_{p,\alpha}$  and  $B_{p,\beta}$ , where  $-1 < \alpha < \beta$ . If we consider similar weights  $w_2(z) := \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\beta-\alpha}}$ , as before, then if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $B_{p,\beta}$ , then  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $B_{p,\alpha}$ .

**Remarks:**

- It should be noted that in Theorem 49, we can also consider a sequence of absolutely monotonic radial weights such as  $w_{p,k}(z) = g_k(|z|^p)$ , where  $\{g_k\}$  is a sequence of absolutely monotonic functions on  $[0, 1)$ . In that case,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is defined as:  
 $\mathfrak{S} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p w_{p,k} d\mu < \infty\}$ . The result still holds in this setting. To see this, let  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ ; then following the same proof as in Theorem 49 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)(z)|^p w_{p,k}(z) d\mu(z) \\
&\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \phi)(z)|^p |\phi(z)|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \|C_\phi(f(z) \cdot z^n)\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p \\
&\geq \varepsilon \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \|f(z) \cdot z^n\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p \\
&= \varepsilon \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p |z|^{np} d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p w_{p,k}(z) d\mu(z) \\
&= \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p
\end{aligned}$$

- Here's an example for the sequence case: for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathbb{A}_0^p$ , then it is also closed-range on  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ . To see this, note that the sequence  $d\nu_k := (pk + 1)r^{pk} r dr$  is weak-\* convergent on  $[0,1]$  to  $d\delta_{\{1\}}$ , the unit point mass at 1. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta (pk + 1)r^{pk} r dr \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p w_{p,k}(z) dA(z)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $w_{p,k}(z) := \frac{pk+1}{2}|z|^{pk}$ . Now since  $w_{p,k}$  is an absolutely monotonic radial weight for each  $k$  and  $C_\phi$  is always bounded on  $\mathbb{A}_0^p$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ , by the above remark closed-rangeness on  $\mathbb{A}_0^p$  implies closed-rangeness on  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ .

- A similar argument, directly following the proof of Theorem 50, can also be provided to show that the results in Theorem 50 also hold in the case when  $\mathfrak{S}$  is defined as:  $\mathfrak{S} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}}^p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^p w_{p,k} d\mu < \infty\}$ , where  $w_{p,k}$  is again a sequence of absolutely monotonic radial weights as defined before. Using the similar argument, as in the previous remark, it can be shown: if  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $D_0^2$  and  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$  and closed-range on  $D_0^2$ , then  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ . For boundedness criterion for  $C_\phi$  on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ , see [33].

The following example shows that our two assumptions:  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is bounded on both spaces  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ , for all the disk automorphisms  $\sigma_a := \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ , and the weight  $w(z)$  is radial play a crucial role in the theorems above and cannot be dropped.

**Example:** Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  by  $d\mu(z) = w(z)dA(z)$ , where  $w(z)$  is defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  as follows:

$$w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} & z \in W := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D} : x, y > 0\} \\ 1 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $w$  is not radial. Let  $\mathfrak{B} := \mathbb{A}_0^1$  and  $\mathfrak{S} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f| w dA < \infty\}$ .

For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , consider the region  $\Gamma_z := \{\zeta : |z - \zeta| < 1 - |z|\}$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1-|z|)^2} \int_{\Gamma_z} |f| dA \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1-|z|)^2} \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \end{aligned}$$

So point evaluations are continuous linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{S}$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a Banach space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Also it is clear that  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ . Now let  $\phi$  be the

following Möbius transformation from  $\mathbb{D}$  to itself:

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\frac{i}{2} + z}{1 - \frac{i}{2}z}$$

Note that  $\phi(W) \subseteq W$  and  $W \subseteq \phi^{-1}(W)$ . Let  $\psi = \phi^{-1}$ . Now,  $\phi(0) = \frac{i}{2}$  and  $\frac{1-|\phi(0)|}{1+|\phi(0)|} = \frac{1}{3}$ ; from which we have:  $\frac{1}{3} \leq |\psi'| \leq 3$ . By the Schwarz-Pick lemma,

$$|\psi'(\zeta)| = \frac{1 - |\psi(\zeta)|^2}{1 - |\zeta|^2}$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ . From the definition of  $w(z)$ , we get

$$\frac{w(\psi(\zeta))}{w(\zeta)} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{1 - |\psi(\zeta)|^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\psi'(\zeta)|}} \leq \sqrt{3} < 2$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ .

**Claim:**  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ . It is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , but not on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

*Proof.* It is well-established that  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Indeed, it is bounded on any weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^p$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -1$ ; see Proposition 3.4 in [34].

From the discussion above, for  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{S}} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)| w(\psi(\zeta)) |\psi'(\zeta)|^2 dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq 18 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f| w dA \\ &= 18 \|f\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \end{aligned}$$

which establishes that  $C_\phi$  is bounded above on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . It is also well-known fact that  $C_\phi$  is closed-range on  $\mathfrak{B}$ ; see [4] in this context. To see that  $C_\phi$  is not closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ ,



consider the following sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{S}$ ,

$$f_k(z) := \frac{c_k}{[(1 + s_k) - z]^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

where  $s_k > 0$  for all  $k$ , decreases to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c_k = \frac{1}{\|[(1+s_k)-z]^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ . Now, by our definition of  $\mu$ ,  $f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$  does not belong to  $L^1(d\mu)$ ; from which,  $c_k \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $f_k$  converges to 0 uniformly on  $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : |1 - z| \geq \delta\}$ , where  $\delta > 0$ ; whence,  $\{f_k \circ \phi\}_k$  converges to 0 uniformly on  $W$ . Also, since  $f(z) \in L^1(dA)$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_k| dA$  converges to 0, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi(f_k)\|_{\mathfrak{S}} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_k(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_W |f_k(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus W} |f_k(\phi(z))| dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_W |f_k(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_k(\phi(z))| dA(z) \\ &= \int_W |f_k(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_k(\zeta)| |\psi'(\zeta)|^2 dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq \int_W |f_k(\phi(z))| w(z) dA(z) + 9 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_k| dA \end{aligned}$$

converges to 0, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . But, by construction,  $\|f_k\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = 1$ , for all  $k$ . Thus  $C_\phi$  is not bounded below on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . So it is not closed-range on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Furthermore, due to this,  $C_\psi$  is not bounded(above) on  $\mathfrak{S}$  which violates our first assumption that  $C_{\sigma_a}$  is bounded on  $\mathfrak{S}$  for any disk automorphism  $\sigma_a$ . ■

## References

- [1] Abramovich, Y.A., Aliprantis, C.D.: An Invitation to Operator Theory. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2002).
- [2] Akeroyd, J.R.: On Shapiro's Compactness Criterion for Composition Operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 379, 1–7 (2011).
- [3] Akeroyd, J.R., Fulmer, S.: Erratum to: Closed-Range Composition Operators on Weighted Bergman Spaces. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, Vol. 76, No. 1, pp 145-149 (2013).
- [4] Akeroyd, J.R., Ghatage, P.G.: Closed-Range Composition Operators on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . *Illinois J. Math.*, Vol. 52, No. 2, 533-549 (2008).
- [5] Akeroyd, J.R., Ghatage, P.G., Tjani, M.: Closed-Range Composition Operators on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and the Bloch Space. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, Vol. 68, No. 4, pp 503-517 (2010).
- [6] Akeroyd, J.R., Ghatage, P.G., Tjani, M.: Erratum to: Closed-Range Composition Operators on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and the Bloch Space. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, Vol. 76, No. 1, pp 131-143 (2013).
- [7] Benazzouz, H., El-Fallah, O., Kellay, K., Mahzouli, H.: Contact Points and Schatten Composition Operators. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Volume 279, Issue 1, pp 407-422 (2015).
- [8] Bishop, C.J.: Bounded Functions in the Little Bloch Space. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 142, No. 2, 209-225 (1990).
- [9] Bishop, C.J.: Orthogonal Functions in  $H^\infty$ . *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 220, No.1 (2005).
- [10] Bourdon, P.S., Cima, J.A., Matheson, A.L.: Compact Composition Operators on BMOA. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 351, Number 6, Pages 2183-2196 (1999).
- [11] Carroll, T., Cowen, C.C.: Compact Composition Operators not in the Schatten Classes. *J. Operator Theory*, 26(1), 109-120 (1991).
- [12] Cima, J.A., Matheson, A.L.: Essential Norms of Composition Operators and Alexandrov Measures. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 179, No. 1 (1997).
- [13] Cima, J.A., Thomson, J., Wogen, W.: On Some Properties of Composition Operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, Vol. 24, pp 215-220 (1974).

- [14] Conway, J.B.: Functions of One Complex variable II. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (1995).
- [15] Cowen, C.C., MacCluer, B.D.: Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1995).
- [16] Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: Linear Operators Part I : General Theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Series of Texts and Monographs, Volume VII, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1958).
- [17] El-Fallah, O., El Ibbaoui, M., Naqos, H.: Composition Operators with Univalent Symbol in Schatten Classes. J. Functional Analysis, Volume 266, Issue 3, Pages 1547-1564 (2014).
- [18] El-Fallah, O., Kellay, K., Shabankhah, M., Youssfi, H.: Level Sets and Composition Operators on the Dirichlet Space. J. Funct. Anal. 260, 1721–1733 (2011).
- [19] Fuchs, W.H.J.: Topics in the Theory of Functions of One Complex Variable. D. Van Nostrand, New Jersey (1967).
- [20] Garnett, J.B., Marshall, D.E.: Harmonic Measure. Cambridge University Press, New York (2005).
- [21] Ghatage, P., Zhang, D., Zorboska, N.: Sampling Sets and Closed-Range Composition Operators on the Bloch Space. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 133, No. 5, Pages 1371-1377 (2005).
- [22] Goluzin, G.M.: Geometric Theory of Functions of A Complex Variable. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 26, American Mathematical Society (1969).
- [23] Jones, M.: Compact Composition Operators not in the Schatten Classes. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 134, Number 7, Pages 1947-1953 (2005).
- [24] Knopp, K.: Theory and Application of Infinite Series. Dover Publications, New York (1990).
- [25] Lefèvre, P., Li, D., Queffélec, H., Rodríguez-Piazza, L.: Some Examples of Compact Composition Operators on  $H^2$ . J. Functional Analysis, Volume 255 (11), Pages 3098-3124 (2008).
- [26] Lefèvre, P., Li, D., Queffélec, H., Rodríguez-Piazza, L.: Compact Composition Operators on the Dirichlet Space and Capacity of Sets of Contact Points. J. Functional Analysis, Vol. 264, Issue 4, Pages 895-919 (2013).
- [27] Lefèvre, P., Li, D., Queffélec, H., Rodríguez-Piazza, L.: Approximation Numbers of Composition Operators on the Dirichlet Space. Ark. Mat. , Volume 53, Issue 1, pp 155-175 (2015).

- [28] Li, D., Queffélec, H., Rodríguez-Piazza, L.: On Approximation Numbers of Composition Operators. *J. Approximation Theory*, Volume 164, Issue 4, Pages 431-459 (2012).
- [29] Li, D., Queffélec, H., Rodríguez-Piazza, L.: Estimates for approximation numbers of some classes of composition operators on the Hardy space. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 38, no.2, 547-564 (2013).
- [30] Luecking, D.H.: Inequalities on Bergman Spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1-11 (1981).
- [31] Luecking, D.H., Zhu, K.: Composition Operators Belonging to the Schatten Ideals. *American Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 114, No. 5, pp. 1127-1145 (1992).
- [32] MacCluer, B.D.: Compact composition operators on  $H^p(B_N)$ . *Michigan Mathematics Journal*, 32, No.2, 237-248 (1985).
- [33] MacCluer, B.D.: Composition operators on  $S^p$ . *Houston J. Math.*, 13, No.2, 245-254 (1987).
- [34] MacCluer, B.D., Shapiro, J.H: Angular Derivatives and Compact Composition Operators On The Hardy and Bergman Spaces. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, Vol.XXXVIII, No.4, pp. 878-906 (1986).
- [35] Madigan, K., Matheson, A.: Compact Composition Operators on the Bloch Space. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 347, Number 7 (1995).
- [36] Montes-Rodríguez, A.: The Essential Norm of A Composition Operator on Bloch Spaces. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 188, No. 2 (1999).
- [37] Nordgren, E.: Composition Operators. *Can. J. Math.* 20, 442-449 (1968).
- [38] Pommerenke, Ch.: *Boundary Behavior of Conformal Maps*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1992).
- [39] Queffélec, H., Seip, K.: Approximation Numbers of Composition Operators on the  $H^2$  Space of Dirichlet Series. *J. Functional Analysis*, Volume 268, Issue 6, Pages 1612-1648 (2015).
- [40] Queffélec, H., Seip, K.: Decay Rates for Approximation Numbers of Composition Operators. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, Volume 125, Issue 1 , pp 371-399 (2015).
- [41] Schwartz, H.J.: *Composition Operators on  $H^p$* . Thesis, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio, (1969).
- [42] Rudin, W.: *Real And Complex Analysis*. Second Edition, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics (1974).

- [43] Shapiro, J.H.: The Essential Norm of a Composition Operator. *Annals of Mathematics*, 125, 375-404 (1987)
- [44] Shapiro, J. H.: *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1993).
- [45] Shapiro, J.H., Taylor, P.D.: Compact, Nuclear, and Hilbert-Schmidt Composition Operators on  $H^2$ . *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, Vol. 23, No.6 (1973).
- [46] Smith, W.: Compactness of Composition Operators on BMOA. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 127, Number 9, Pages 2715-2725 (1999).
- [47] Sundberg, C.: Measures Induced by Analytic Functions and A Problem of Walter Rudin. *Journal of The American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 69-90 (2002).
- [48] Tjani, M.: *Compact Composition Operators on Some Möbius Invariant Banach Spaces*. Thesis, Michigan State University (1996).
- [49] Tjani, M.: Closed Range Composition Operators on Besov Type Spaces. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp 189-212 (2014).
- [50] Tsuji, M.: *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*. Maruzen, Tokyo (1975).
- [51] Widder, D.V.: *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1941).
- [52] Wulan, H., Zheng, D., Zhu, K.: Compact Composition Operators on BMOA and the Bloch Space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 137, No. 11, Pages 3861-3868 (2009).
- [53] Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Volume I38, American Mathematical Society (2007).
- [54] Zhu, Y.: Geometric Properties of Composition Operators Belonging to Schatten Classes. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 239-248 (2001).
- [55] Zorboska, N.: Composition Operators On Weighted Dirichlet Spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 126, Number 7, Pages 2013-2023 (1998).
- [56] Zorboska, N.: Composition Operators with Closed Range. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 344, No. 2 (1994).