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ABSTRACT

A floating load buck DC-DC switching converter was analyzed, simulated, designed and prototyped. The floating load buck converter is first compared to the conventional buck converter. It was found that both the floating load buck converter and conventional buck converter exhibit similar conversion characteristics despite the differences in the placement of their output inductors. A floating load buck converter was designed to be used as a high-voltage off-line light-emitting diodes (LEDs) driver using a Texas Instruments’ TPS92001 controller. Finally, the characteristics of this floating load buck converter LED driver were experimentally examined.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Switching converters are widely employed in the power electronics industry. They have been commonly used for DC-DC power conversion as shown in Figure 1.1. High switching frequencies result in high switching losses for these switching converters. As such, much research is needed to improve efficiency, decrease system dimension, and lower system costs.

The conventional buck converter is one of the basic topologies in DC-DC switching converters. It involves the basic electronic components, such as MOSFET, resistors, inductors, capacitors and several diodes, and it does not require a transformer; so it is relatively simple to design. Normally, a large output RC filter will connects to the output load to achieve small ripple output current.

The floating load buck converter is called floating load due to the fact that it has both terminals of the output load floating. These terminals are not referenced to either the power or ground. It should be noted that the conventional buck converter drives a grounded load. The inductor in the floating load buck converter is in different position, and the output load is floating.

The reason that we would like to study the floating load buck converter is because it has some significant advantages. First of all, considering the cost for the LED drivers, this is one of the cheapest choices. Second, it is ideal for high voltage application since the drive voltage does not depend on the supply voltage. Third, the load from the input
requires no isolation; as such the design does not need a transformer. Fourth, the output capacitance is small, enabling the use of compact, high temperature components. Last, it can be used as an ideal high brightness LED driver when a DC supply voltage greater than the maximum voltage of the HB LED string is available [1].

1.2 Organization of this thesis

This thesis is organized into four chapters. Chapter 2 provides the background for this work. It discusses the basic theory of the floating load buck converter topology. Chapter 3 discusses the two different simulation tools used in this thesis, PSpice and Simulink. Chapter 3 presents and discusses the simulation results between the floating load buck converter and the conventional buck converter. Chapter 4 provides the analysis of the TPS92001, bench testing of a floating load buck converter, using the TPS92001 controller, and compares the captured waveform results to the simulation results. Chapter 5 concludes the thesis.

![Figure 1.1. DC-DC switching power supply system [12].](image)
CHAPTER 2

Floating Load Buck Converter

2.1 Floating load buck converter

The floating load buck converter using a power MOSFET is shown in Figure 2.1. In a floating load buck converter, the average output voltage $V_o(t)$ is lower than its input voltage $V_s$. Similar to the conventional buck converter, the operation of the floating load buck converter can be divided into two modes, depending on the switching actions. According to the continuity of the current flowing through the output inductor, the floating load buck converter can be operating either in the continuous mode or the discontinuous mode similar to the conventional buck converter [2].

Continuous Mode:

$\text{Model 1 (} 0 < t \leq t_{on})$, $Q_s$ switches on

![Circuit schematic of a floating load buck converter.](image)
During mode 1, at the beginning of the switching cycle (at \( t=0 \)), the switching transistor \( Q_s \) is switched on and the free-wheeling diode \( D_{fw} \) is switched off. The equivalent circuit during mode 1 is shown in Figure 2.2. Since the input voltage \( V_s \) is greater than the average output voltage \( V_a \), the inductor current increases due to the applied input voltage. As such the inductor is being charged and the voltage across the inductor \( L \) is:

\[
V_L(t) = L \frac{di}{dt}
\]  
(2-1)

For a typical large inductance value, the inductor current \( i_L(t) \) increases linearly because of the inductance value. The increase in inductor current is given by

\[
\Delta I_{o\alpha} = \frac{V_s}{L} \times t_{o\alpha}
\]  
(2-2)

The voltage across the inductor is \( V_L = V_s - V_a \),

\[
\Delta I_{o\alpha} = \frac{V_s - V_a}{L} \times t_{o\alpha}
\]  
(2-3)
Mode 2 equivalent circuit for the floating load buck converter ($t_{on} < t \leq T$).

The duration for mode 1 is

$$t_{on} = \frac{L_n \Delta I_{on}}{(V_s - V_a)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-5)

**Mode 2 ($t_{on} < t \leq T$), $Q_s$ switches off**

At $t = t_{on}$, the transistor $Q_s$ switches off, the free-wheeling diode $D_{fw}$ is switched on, and Mode 2 begins. The equivalent circuit for mode 2 is shown in Figure 2.3.

As the current flowing through the inductor cannot be interrupted, its voltage polarity across the inductor immediately reverses to maintain the same current which had been flowing through just prior to switching off of the switching transistor $Q_s$. Once the inductor voltage changes its polarity, the freewheeling diode $D_{fw}$ conducts. The inductor is discharging, and the inductor current falls. The energy stored in the inductor is transferred to the capacitor and consumed by the load. For a large inductance value,
typically found in switching converters, the inductor current $i_L(t)$ will also falls linearly.

The decrease in inductor current during Mode 2 for the duration, $t_{off}$ is given by

$$\Delta I_{off} = \frac{V_a}{L} * t_{off} \tag{2-6}$$

or

$$V_a = L * \frac{\Delta I_{off}}{t_{off}} \tag{2-7}$$

The duration for mode 1 is

$$t_{off} = \frac{L * \Delta I_{off}}{V_a} \tag{2-8}$$

For steady-state operation, the peak to peak current ripple in the inductor during the

Mode 1 ($0 < t \leq t_{on}$) and during the Mode 2 ($t_{on} < t \leq T$) are the same, which is $\Delta I_{on} = \Delta I_{off}$.

From equations (2-3) and (2-6), we obtain

$$\frac{V_s - V_a}{L} * t_{on} = \frac{V_a}{L} * t_{off} \tag{2-9}$$

Define $D$ as the duty cycle. Substituting $t_{on} = DT$ and $t_{off} = (1 - D)T$ into

equation (2-9) gives

$$\frac{V_s - V_a}{L} * D = \frac{V_a}{L} * (1 - D) \tag{2-10}$$

Or

$$V_a = V_s * D \tag{2-11}$$

From equation (2-11), the average output voltage $V_a$ of the floating load buck

counter is the product of the duty cycle $D$ and the input voltage $V_s$, since

$$T = \frac{1}{f_s} = t_{on} + t_{off} \tag{2-12}$$
\[
\frac{1}{f_s} = \frac{L \Delta I_{ON}}{(V_s - V_{\alpha})} + \frac{L \Delta I_{OFF}}{V_{\alpha}} \tag{2-13}
\]

Therefore, the current ripple in the inductor can be expressed as

\[
\Delta I = \frac{D V_s (1 - D)}{f_s L} \tag{2-14}
\]

**Discontinuous Mode:**

In certain cases, the energy stored in the inductor is completely expended just prior to the beginning of the next switching cycle as shown in Figure 2.4. At the point when \(i_L = 0\), the value of \(L\) is defined as the critical inductance \(L_c\). The peak inductor current increasing about twice this value compared to continuous mode operation. Thus [1],

\[
I_{LP} = 2I_L = \frac{(V_s - V_{\alpha})D}{f_s L_c} \tag{2-15}
\]

![Figure 2.4. Discontinuous mode inductor current waveform [1].](image)
Figure 2.5. Discontinuous mode 2 equivalent circuit for the floating load buck converter (\(t_{on} < t \leq t_2\)).

Figure 2.6. Discontinuous mode 2 equivalent circuit for the floating load buck converter (\(t_2 < t \leq T\)).

The equivalent circuits for the floating load buck converter operating under discontinuous mode are shown in Figures 2.5 and 2.6.

2.2 State-Space Averaged Model for an Ideal Floating Load Buck Converter

In a control system, the state space model can be used to represents a physical system by a set of first-order differential or difference equations. It consist all the possible internal states of the dynamic linear system [3]. In our work, state-space
averaging approximation technique is chosen to approximate the floating load buck switching converter as a continuous linear system.

State variables for this floating load buck converter are chosen as the inductor current, $x_1$, and the capacitor voltage, $x_2$ as shown in Figure 2.7. With the assumption of ideal switching devices, two switched models are shown in Figures 2.8 and 2.9.

![Figure 2.7. State-space average model circuit schematic of an ideal floating load buck converter.](image1)

![Figure 2.8. Floating load buck converter switched model for $dT$ interval.](image2)
During the interval when the switching transistor is on, using Kirchoff’s voltage law in Figure 2.8, the state equation can be defined as

\[ u_1 = L \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \]  
(2-16)

Applying Kirchoff’s current law shown in Figure 2.8, the state equation is

\[ x_1 = C \dot{x}_2 + \frac{x_2}{R} \]  
(2-17)

Similarly, apply Kirchoff’s voltage law in Figure 2.9 for the interval when the switch is off, the state equation is

\[ 0 = L \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \]  
(2-18)

Then, using Kirchoff’s current law in Figure 2.9, the state equation is

\[ x_1 = C \dot{x}_2 + \frac{x_2}{R} \]  
(2-19)

State equations for interval \(dT\) written in matrix form is,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -(1/L) \\
1/C & -(1/RC)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
1/L \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(2-20)
State equations for interval \((1-d)/T\) written in matrix form is,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{L} \\
\frac{1}{C} & -\frac{1}{RC}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} u_1
\]  \quad (2-21)

The state-space averaged state coefficient matrix is

\[
\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{L} \\
\frac{1}{C} & -\frac{1}{RC}
\end{bmatrix} d + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} (1 - d)
\]  \quad (2-22)

With the state-space averaged source coefficient matrix is

\[
\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{L} \\
0
\end{bmatrix} d + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} (1 - d) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{L} \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \quad (2-23)

The state-space averaged equations for the floating load buck converter in matrix form are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{L} \\
\frac{1}{C} & -\frac{1}{RC}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{L} \\
0
\end{bmatrix} u_1
\]  \quad (2-24)

These state-space average equations are identical to those for the conventional buck converters [2].

### 2.3 Control Schemes

There are several control schemes that has been used for controlling switching mode converters. Current mode Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) control will be used in this thesis project as shown in Figure 2.10. The PWM signal modulates the switch on and off durations, so it controls the inductor energized time period within each switching cycle to maintain the desired voltage or current level at the output. Fixed-frequency
PWM control will be chosen instead of the variable frequency to avoid the unwanted electromagnetic interferences. In order to compare the output voltage error signal to the inductor current sensing signal, the inductor current sensing signal should be converted to a sense voltage signal based on the current mode control design [4]. In a current mode PWM controller, if the duty cycle exceeds 50%, slope compensation is usually required to avoid sub-harmonic oscillation.

![PWM controller](image)

**Figure 2.10. Fixed frequency PWM controller.**

### 2.4 Theoretical Calculation

The objective of this section is to obtain component values for the simulation of the floating load buck converter.

For an average output current,

$$I_{oa} = \frac{200mA + 400mA}{2} = 300mA$$

(2-25)
The average load resistance is,

\[ R_{ave} = \frac{1.8V}{300\text{mA}} = 5\Omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-26)

And the minimum load resistance is:

\[ R_{L_{\text{min}}} = \frac{V_o}{I_o} = \frac{1.5V}{400\text{mA}} = 2.14\Omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-27)

A load resistance value 2.8 \( \Omega \) will be chosen for simulation.

The duty cycle of the floating load buck converter can be determined as:

\[ D = \frac{V_i}{V_o} = \frac{1.3V}{15V} = 0.1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-28)

If the output inductor is chosen to be smaller than the critical inductance at the highest load current, the converter would operate in the discontinuous mode:

\[ L \leq \frac{R_{L_{\text{min}}}(1-D)}{2f_o} = \frac{2.14 \times 0.9}{2 \times 10000} = 96.3\mu\text{H} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-29)

An smaller inductance value of 87\( \mu \text{H} \) is chosen. With this inductor values, the ideal peak-to-peak ideal inductor ripple current is:

\[ \Delta I = \frac{V_iD(1-D)}{f_oL} = \frac{15 \times 0.1 \times 0.9}{10000 \times 87\mu} = 1.552\text{A} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-30)

The output capacitance should be calculated to satisfy the output voltage ripple requirement at full load:

\[ \frac{\Delta V_o}{V_o} \leq 5\% \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-31)
Then,

\[
C \geq \frac{V_s \cdot D \cdot (1 - D)}{8 \cdot f_s^2 \cdot L \cdot V_o \cdot 0.05} = \frac{15 \cdot 0.1 \cdot (1 - 0.1)}{8 \cdot 10000^2 \cdot 87 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 1.5 \cdot 0.05} = 258.62 \mu F
\]

(2-33)

In practice, a value of 470\(\mu F\) is chosen. The chosen output capacitance is usually much larger than the calculated value in order to reduce the equivalent series resistance (ESR) on the capacitors. It is a normal practice to further reduce the ripple voltage by paralleling several capacitors to reduce their ESRs.
CHAPTER 3

Simulation of the Float Load Buck Converter

3.1 Introduction to PSpice

PSpice is a version of the standard circuit simulator Spice. It is widely used as a simulation tool to analyze analog circuit performance in both steady-state and transient operations. PSpice is licensed from the MicroSim Corporation, which is one of the many commercial derivatives of the University of California at Berkeley’s SPICE (Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis) simulation tool[5].

To obtain a circuit file for a PSpice simulation, the first step is to draw the circuit diagram and then number all the nodes using the schematic capture feature in PSpice. An electrical circuit node consists of at least two connections. Components models can be found in the PSpice libraries. Basically, the simulation can be performed using various levels of device and component modeling. Once the circuit is drawn, and checked for connections, user can create a simulation profile for the simulation. The user can place the probes to a specific point in the circuit to visualize the current and voltage waveforms.
3.2 Open-loop Simulation

3.2.1 Floating Load Buck Converter

The open-loop floating load buck converter was designed using PSpice with the values calculated from Chapter 2. Figure 3.1 shows the PSpice circuit schematic for the simulation of the open-loop floating load buck converter. Ideal switch $S_1$ is used to represent the switching element. The voltage pulse generator $V_1$ functions as a PWM signal generator, it generates the duty cycle, the rise, and fall time of the pulse for the converter. As shown, the switching frequency is 10 kHz.

Figure 3.2 shows that the output voltage of the open-loop floating load buck converter to be at 1.5V. This is as predicted from the duty cycle.

Figure 3.3 shows the current across the inductor and capacitor of simulated floating load buck converter. The discontinuity in the inductor current waveform
indicates that the open-loop floating load buck converter is operating in discontinuous mode.

**Figure 3.2.** Output voltage of the open-loop floating load buck converter.

**Figure 3.3.** Inductor current and capacitor current waveforms.

### 3.2.2 Comparison with Conventional Buck Converter
For comparison purpose, the same ideal switches, diodes, inductors, and capacitors for both floating load buck converter and buck converter were used for the simulation.

Figure 3.4. Open-loop simulation circuit schematic for floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.5. Open-loop simulation circuit schematic for conventional buck converter.
Figures 3.4 and 3.5 show the circuit schematic for the floating load buck converter and the conventional buck converter, respectively.

Figure 3.6 (a) shows the current transient response while Figure 3.6 (b) shows the voltage transient response from the floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.6. Transient response due to a load change at time=20msec for floating load buck.
Figure 3.7. Transient response due to a load change at time=20msec for conventional buck.

Figure 3.7 (a) shows the current transient response while Figure 3.7 (b) shows the voltage transient response for the conventional buck converter. Comparing the transient response from Figures 3.6 (a) and 3.6 (b) and Figures 3.7 (a) and 3.7 (b), we can see that they exhibit similar behaviors.
Figure 3.8. (a) The voltage across the ideal switch (b) The voltage across diode.

Figure 3.9. (a) The voltage across the ideal switch (b) The voltage across diode.

Figure 3.8 (a) shows the voltage across the ideal switch while Figure 3.8 (b) shows the voltage across the diodes from the floating load buck converter. Figure 3.9 (a) shows the voltage across the ideal switch while Figure 3.9 (b) shows the voltage across the diodes from the conventional buck converter.
Comparing the voltage across the ideal switch and diodes from Figures 3.8 (a) and 3.8 (b) and Figures 3.9 (a) and 3.9 (b), we can observe that they have exactly the same amount of voltage drop on the ideal switches as well as on the diodes.

### 3.3 Closed loop Simulation

#### 3.3.1 Floating Load Buck Converter

![Circuit Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.10. Closed loop simulation circuit for a floating load buck converter.**

Figure 3.10 shows the circuit schematic of the closed loop simulation of the floating load buck converter operating as a LED driver for seven white LEDs using a Texas Instrument’s TPS92001 controller. The TPS92001 is modeled as a UCCX809_1 controller as shown in Appendix A. The details of this closed loop floating load buck converter are discussed in Chapter 4.
Equations (3-1), (3-2) and (3-3) were used to determine the PSpice diode model parameters values [6]. As shown in Fig 3.11, the LED diode model was built by changing an existing diode model. Table 3.1 describes all the PSpice parameters individually.

\[ I_{\text{fwd}} = I_S \times (e^{V_d/N^*V_T} - 1) \]  

(3-1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pspice Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is</td>
<td>Saturation current</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Emission coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>Ohmic resistance</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VJ</td>
<td>Built-in potential</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJO</td>
<td>Zero-bias depletion (junction) capacitance</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Grading coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Transit time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV</td>
<td>Breakdown voltage</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBV</td>
<td>Reverse current at Breakdown voltage</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1. Parameters of the PSpice Diode Model [7].

![Figure 3.11. The PSpice diode model [6].](image-url)
\[ V_d = N \times V_t \times \ln \left( \frac{I_{fwd}}{I_z} + 1 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-2)

\[ V_{fwd} = I_{fwd} \times R_s + N \times V_t \times \ln \left( \frac{I_{fwd}}{I_z} + 1 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-3)

Figure 3.12 shows the output voltage of the simulated closed loop LED driver. For seven LED diodes, the output voltage is expected to be 19.8V.

The current across the inductor is shown in Figure 3.13. As can be seen, the continuity of the current waveform indicates that the floating load buck converter is operating in its continuous mode.

Figure 3.12. Output voltage of the simulated closed loop floating load buck converter.
Figure 3.13. Inductor current from the simulated closed loop floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.14. Simulation testing points shows on the floating load buck converter.
Figure 3.14 shows the circuit nodes for the captured waveforms shown in Figure 3.15.

As shown in Fig 3.14, seven diode models are used to modify LED load. A DC voltage of 85V was used as the input power supply. The Texas Instruments’ UCCX809_1 PSpice model was chosen as the controller and driver for the isolated DC-to-DC fixed frequency floating load buck converter. A 12V DC power supply connected to pin6 of the controller is to provide the power to the chip. There is an internal oscillator inside the chip, which creates a sawtooth waveform for the PWM comparator by charging and discharging a timing capacitor. The $R_{13}$, $R_{15}$ and $C_{12}$ set the switching frequency of the converter according to the equations (3-4) and (3-5) [8].

$$f_{osc} = (0.74*(C_{12}+27pF)*(R_{13}+R_{15}))^{-1} \quad (3-4)$$

$$D_{max}=0.74*R_{13}*(C_{12}+27pF)*f_{osc} \quad (3-5)$$

In this simulated closed loop floating load buck converter, the switching frequency is set to be about 92.53 kHz. As such, the switching time period will be around 10.8µs. As shown in Figure 3.15, the switching time period is shown to be about 10.5µs, which is close to the design value. The duty cycle is about 23.81%, which satisfies the converting ratio between the input and output voltages, which is $\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = 23.81\%$ for the converter.

Figure 3.15(a) shows the gate drive signal output from the PWM controller. This signal is used to drive the MOSFET switch, turning it on and off. Figure 3.15(b) indicates the sensing signal across the current sensing resistor at the source circuitry of the power MOSFET using a resistor sensing technique. Figure 3.15(c) shows the sensing feedback.
signal at the FB or CS pin of the TPS92001 controller. The signal is input to the controller through the FB or CS pin. Figure 3.15(d) shows the sawtooth signal of the TPS92001 PWM controller, which indicates the switching frequency for the switching converter. Figure 3.15(e) shows the voltage waveform from the free-wheeling diode. As can be seen, diode conducts when the switch turns off. These waveforms will be compared to experimentally obtained waveforms in Chapter 4.
Figure 3.15. Waveforms under the testing circuit for a floating load buck converter.
3.4 Introduction to MATLAB/SIMULINK

Simulink, developed by the MathWorks, Inc., is a program that runs as a companion to MATLAB. It is a software package for modeling, simulating, and analyzing dynamical systems [9]. For modeling, Simulink provides a graphical user interface for building models as block diagrams. After defining a model, the simulation can be performed using a choice of many integration methods, either from the Simulink menus or by running commands in the MATLAB’s command window. Using scope and other display blocks, Simulink shows the simulation results while the simulation is running [10].
3.5 Open loop Simulation

3.5.1 Floating Load Buck Converter

Figure 3.16. Open loop simulation circuit for a floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.16 shows the SIMULINK simulation circuit schematic for an open loop floating load buck converter.

The sawtooth waveform block time value is set to be [0 0.001e-4 1e-4] as shown in Figure 3.17, which indicates that the switching frequency is 10 kHz. Figure 3.18 shows the Pulse-width modulator (PWM) signal model for the floating load buck converter.
Figure 3.17. Sawtooth waveform block settings.

Figure 3.18. Inside the PWM signal model for the floating load buck converter.
Figure 3.19. Subsystem model for open loop floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.19 shows the subsystem model for the simulated open loop floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.19 shows the output voltage waveform and the inductor current waveform. The average output voltage is around 1.5V, which is correct according to the 10% duty cycle. The current flowing through the inductor shows the continuity of its waveform, which indicates that the floating load buck converter is operating in continuous mode.

The waveforms captured in Figure 3.20 shows the PWM signals and the reference voltage signal compared with sawtooth signal waveforms. As can be seen, the 10% duty cycle can be clearly observed.
Figure 3.20. Output voltage waveform and inductor current waveform.

Figure 3.21. PWM signal and sawtooth waveforms.
3.5.2 Comparison with Conventional Buck Converter

Figure 3.22 shows the SIMULINK simulation circuit schematic for an open-loop conventional buck converter. The simulation blocks are indicated in Figure 3.22.

Figure 3.23 shows the Pulse-width modulator (PWM) signal model, which is exactly same as the floating load buck converter as shown in Figure 3.18.

Figure 3.24 indicates what is inside the Subsystem model for the conventional buck converter. Although the conventional buck converter and floating load buck converter have the inductor placed in different position, their subsystem models are exactly the same.
Figure 3.23. Inside the PWM signal model for the conventional buck converter.

Figure 3.24. Subsystem model for the conventional buck converter.
Figure 3.25. Output voltage waveform and inductor current waveform.

Figure 3.25 shows the captured waveforms which shows the average output voltage to be about 1.5V. The inductor current shows that the conventional buck converter is operating under continuous mode.

By using SIMULINK, the function blocks for both the floating load buck converter and the conventional buck converter such as PWM signal model and the subsystem model are exactly the same; as such their simulation results are identical.
3.6 Closed loop Simulation

3.6.1 Floating Load Buck Converter

Figure 3.26 shows the system model for the digital controlled buck converter. The digital controller includes the A/D converter, the Discrete-time integral compensator, and the Digital PWM.

Figure 3.26. Top level system model for digital controlled floating load buck converter.
Figure 3.27 shows the output voltage and the inductor current waveforms for the closed-loop floating load buck converter.

Figure 3.28 shows more details on the error signals with error delays, and the duty cycle command DC.

Figure 3.27. Output voltage waveform and inductor current waveform.
Figure 3.28. Waveform details in the digital controller.
Experimental Results

4.1 Circuit Block Analysis of the TPS92001 Controller

Figure 4.1 shows the circuit schematic for the LED driver using a floating load buck converter with a Texas Instruments TPS92001 controller. The input is a 120VAC directly from the AC mains. The inductor $L_1$ and capacitor $C_5$ form a filter network with a RC time constant. To control the current flowing through the LEDs, a current sensing resistor is placed in the source circuitry of $Q_2$.

A bridge circuit consisting of four INHD04 diodes rectifies the Alternating Current (AC) voltage to Direct Current (DC) voltage. By using four diodes, the bridge
rectifier achieves a full-wave rectification. The rectifier circuit converts the 120VAC power source to a DC voltage for the operation of the device. The TPS92001 controller derives its DC power supply from the circuit connected to D₂. C₆ is the decoupling capacitor. In case there will be AC signal superimposed on the DC power line, C₆ can remove these unwanted signals. R₃, D₅ and Q formed a voltage regulator sub-circuit. The R₃ in series with D₅ helps to limit the current, and also improve the voltage regulation. Also, the D₇ 12V zener diode connected to the collector circuitry of Q regulates the voltage to maintain a regulated 12V for the TPS92001.

R₅, D₅, R₄ and Q form a voltage regulator for the TPS92001 chip as shown in Figure 4.2. The reason for using a voltage regulator instead of a simple resistor to supply the chip is to maintain a constant supply voltage despite a large change in the AC main voltage.

![Figure 4.2. Voltage regulator formed by R₅, D₅, R₄ and Q.](image)
For an input AC of 63V, the rectified DC supply is \(63V \times \sqrt{2} = 89.10V\), so the input DC is about 89V. The FZT757TA PNP transistor has a \(\beta (h_{FE})\) value about 50 [11]. The voltage dropped at the zener diode is around 12V. So, the voltage dropped on R3 is

\[ V_{R3} = 89V - 12V - I_{BQ}R_5 \quad (4-1) \]

The base-emitter loop yields,

\[ 12V = I_{EQ}R_4 + 0.7V \quad (4-2) \]

\[ I_{EQ} = \frac{12 - 0.7}{8.1k} = 2.2114mA \quad (4-3) \]

So,

\[ I_{BQ} = \frac{I_{EQ}}{\beta} = 0.0442mA \quad (4-4) \]

\[ V_{R3} = 89V - 12V - 0.0442mA \times R_5 = 63.70V \approx 64V \quad (4-5) \]

\[ V_C = 89V - 64V - I_{EQ} \times R_4 - 0.7V = 12.99V \approx 13V \quad (4-6) \]

As the calculations shown above, the voltage regulation supply a voltage about 13V for the TPS92001 chip without consideration of any power losses.
4.2 Functional Blocks of TPS92001 Controller [8]

For a switching mode power converter, the PWM controller contains control and drive circuitries. The PWM controller TPS92001 inside the circuit shown in Figure 4.1 not only generates the PWM signals on the gate pin, but also performs current regulation through the current sensing. This general purpose LED lighting PWM controller supports both isolated and non-isolated topologies. The functional block diagram for the TPS92001 controller is shown in Figure 4.3.

![Functional block diagram of TPS92001](image)

*Figure 4.3. Function blocks inside the TPS92001.*

Pin “CS” also called pin “FB” is the summing node for the sensing feedback signals and slope compensation. As shown in Figure 4.1, the voltage at the capacitor \( C_7 \) is
discharged by the internal NMOS transistor during the PWM off time. Pin “SS” is pin for the soft start. A capacitor $C_{13}$ is connected to this node. This capacitor is being charged by the internal 6µA current source as shown in Fig 4.3. Pin “VDD” and pin “GND” are the supply input voltage and ground, respectively for the chip. Pin “GD” is the high current driver output. Pin “RTC” and pin “RTD” generate a sawtooth waveform through an oscillator network. By changing several resistor and capacitor components values, the duty cycle for the output driver pin GD can be changed. As shown in Figure 4.1, $R_{13}$, $R_{15}$, and $C_{12}$ connected to these pins determine the switching frequency and the duty cycle for the floating load buck converter. Depending on the input voltage $V_{ss}$, there are three cases [8]:

**Case 1. When $V_{ss} < 0.5V$,**

As shown in Figure 4.4, for the initial start point, the $V_{ss}$ at pin “SS” is less than 0.5V. This voltage is compared with a 0.5V in Part A, and the output of the comparator yields a logic “High” to the input of the NAND gate. Consequently, the output for the NAND gate gives a logic “Low” as an input signal to the 5V reference voltage source, so the pin ”REF” has an output signal “Low”. As shown in Part B, the $V_{ss}$ is also compared with a 1V voltage, so it gives a logic “High” to the OR gate, which yields a logic “High” to reset input of the PWM Latch.

“VDD” pin provide an under voltage lockout function for this chip. If the supply voltage VDD is less than 15/8V or 10/8V, the Schmit trigger will output logic “High”. This goes to Part C, through the NAND gate, the pin “GD” will stay low, so the chip will
not function. Also, the output signal “Low” from the Schmit trigger will disable the NMOS in PartD.

However, if the “VDD” pin receives the correct power supply voltage, it will trigger the Schmit trigger to output a logic “Low”. When this signal goes to Part C, as mentioned before, the reset pin has a logic “High” so the output Q is logic “Low”, then the output to pin “GD” will be low.

Figure 4.4. Initial start point inside the TPS92001.

In this case, although the oscillator will still function, but the output signal from the “GD” pin remains low.
Case 2. When $1V < V_{ss} < 0.5V$,

![Diagram of TPS92001](image)

**Figure 4.5. When $1V < V_{ss} < 0.5V$, inside the TPS92001.**

The $C_{13}$ is charging up by the internal $6\mu A$ current source at the “SS” pin, so the $V_{ss}$ is increasing. When $V_{ss}$ increases to above $0.5V$ but less than $1V$, this is the second time period.

At first, the signal is compared with the $0.5V$ in Figure 4.5 Part A, it gives an output logic “Low” for the input to the NAND gate. Then, the output for the NAND gate gives a logic “High” as an input signal to the $5V$ reference voltage source, so the “REF”
pin yield a 5V reference signal. As shown in Part B, the $V_{ss}$ is also compared with the 1V, so it gives a logic “low” to the OR gate, which yields a logic “low” to the reset pin of the PWM Latch, enabling the PWM signals.

**Case 3. When $V_{ss} > 1V$,**

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4.6. When $V_{ss} > 1V$, inside the TPS92001.**

When $V_{ss}$ is greater than 1V, this signal is first compared in Part B, the output from the comparator gives a logic “Low”, it passes through the OR gate, giving a logic “Low” to the reset pin of the PWM Latch. If the voltage at pin “CS” exceeds the 1V threshold voltage, it will reset the PWM latch and modulates the “GD” pin on-time to zero.
4.3 Characterization of the floating load buck LED driver

The TPS92001-based floating load buck LED driver was prototyped and characterized. For safety reasons, an isolated AC main input of 63V was used. An isolation transformer was connected to the AC mains, the input of the inverting buck LED driver was connected to a variable transformer. The load consists of seven 1-W white LEDs with a rated current of 350mA.

A. Gate Drive Signal

Figure 4.7 shows the test circuit for the testing of the gate drive signal.

Figure 4.7. Test circuit at R6 for gate drive signal.
Figure 4.8. Gate signal output captured on R6.

Figure 4.8 shows the switching waveform for the gate signal. As can be seen, the switching frequency is around 88kHz with a duty cycle D of about 27.3% when the AC main is 63V with a load current of 117mA. The amplitude of the gate signal is about 15V. The experimental waveforms obtained are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15 (a).

B. Current-sensed Signal

The node voltage between the source of the switching transistor Q2 and the current-sensed resistor (R8 and R10) shown in Figure 4.9 represents the current-sensed voltage. The current flowing through the LEDs is approximately $V_{\text{sense}}/(R_8 + R_{10})$. 
Figure 4.9. Test circuit for the current-sensed signal.

From Figure 4.10, a peak value current of $4.40V/(1.6\,\Omega+1.8\,\Omega) = 623.53mA$ was measured. The current-sensed signal increases approximately from 300mV to 600mV. This indicates that the LEDs current varies from 88 mA to 176mA as the power switching transistor is switched on. The duty cycle is about 27.3%. The spikes are due to the inductive kicks during switching. The experimental waveforms obtained are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15 (b).
Figure 4.10. Waveforms for the current-sensed signal.

C. Feedback pin FB signal

As can be seen in Figure 4.11, the feedback pin of the TPS92001 controller is connected to the current sense resistors (R₈ and R₁₀) and the source of the switching transistor Q₂. R₁₁ and C₈ couple the sawtooth signal to the feedback “FB” pin. The current-sensed signal adds to this signal at the “FB” pin. So that a 1V-threshold is obtained. Above 1V, the TPS92001 controller triggers and resets the PWM latch. Capacitor C₇ serves as a filtering capacitor to remove the current spike shown in Figure 4.10.
Figure 4.11. Test circuit for the Feedback pin signal.

Figure 4.12. Waveforms for the Feedback pin signal.

Figure 4.12 shows the feedback signal on “FB” pin. The experimental waveforms obtained are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15 (c).
D. Oscillator Signal of TPS92001.

Figure 4.13. Test circuit for the oscillator signal.
Figure 4.14. Waveforms for the oscillator signal.

![Graph showing waveforms](image)

Figure 4.15. Sawtooth signal from R_{13}.

Figure 4.13 shows the measurement circuit for the oscillator signal of the TPS92001.

The waveforms shown in Figure 4.14 are the signals captured from the oscillator from Pin “RT2” on TPS92001 chip. As can be seen in Figure 4.14, the oscillator signal is a sawtooth with a peak-to-peak value of 1.76V and an oscillator frequency of 89kHz, which is very close to the calculated switching frequency of 93kHz. The discrepancy in switching frequency is due mainly to the differences of the component values used in the simulation and actual circuit implementation. The experiemtal waveforms obtained in Figure 4.14 are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15 (d).

E. Free-wheeling Diode

Figure 4.16 shows the test circuit for the free-wheeling diode. Figure 4.17 shows the signals from the switching diode D3 on the main circuit. The free-wheeling diode
switches on when $Q_3$ is off. It shows the average input DC voltage is around 84V after it passed through the bridge rectifier and the filter network. The maximum DC voltage can go up to 90V. The calculation shows that with an input AC of around 63V, the rectified output DC supply is to be around 89V without considering all the losses.

Figure 4.16. Test circuit for free-wheeling diode.
Figure 4.17. Waveforms for free-wheeling diode.

F. Signal from the drain of switch transistor \( Q_2 \)

Figure 4.18. Test circuit for the drain of FQT4N25.
Fig 4.18 shows the test circuit for the drain voltage of the switching transistor Q2.

Fig 4.19 shows the voltage waveform at the drain of Q2 with the switching frequency is about 89kHz. When the switch is on, the drain voltage is near zero. However, when the switch is off, the drain voltage is above 78V. The experimetal waveforms obtained are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15(e). A duty cycle about 73% is indicated. Similarly, the experimental waveforms obtained in Figure 4.19 are very similar to the simulated waveforms shown in Figure 3.15(e).

![Waveform Image](image)

Figure 4.19. Waveforms for the drain signal of FQT4N25.

G. Reference voltage on TPS92001D

Figure 4.20 shows the test circuit for the pin “REF” on TPS92001D. The reference signal shown in Figure 4.21 is a constant DC voltage of 5V which indicates that the chip is function correctly.
Figure 4.20. Test circuit for the Reference pin signal.

Figure 4.21. Reference pin signal from TPS92001D.
CHAPTER 5

Conclusion

A floating load buck LED driver was analyzed, design and prototyped. It was found that the characteristics of the floating load buck converter are similar to those of the conventional buck converter despite the difference in the placement of output inductor. The floating load buck converter was successfully prototyped to drive seven white LED diodes. The advantages of the floating load buck converter make it a very attractive off-line high voltage LED driver.
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APPENDIX A
Micro Model for UCC2809

* UCCx809-1
* (C) Copyright 2009 Texas Instruments Incorporated. All rights reserved.

** This model is designed as an aid for customers of Texas Instruments.
** TI and its licensors and suppliers make no warranties, either expressed or implied, with respect to this model, including the warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. The model is provided solely on an "as is" basis. The entire risk as to its quality and performance is with the customer.

** This model was developed for Texas Instruments Incorporated by:
* AEi Systems, LLC
* 5777 W. Century Blvd., Suite 876
* Los Angeles, California 90045

** This model is subject to change without notice. Neither Texas Instruments Incorporated nor AEi Systems is responsible for updating this model.
** For more information regarding modeling services, model libraries and simulation products, please call AEi Systems at (310) 216-1144, or contact AEi Systems by email:
* info@AENG.com. Or visit AEi Systems on the web at http://www.AENG.com.

** Released by: Analog eLab Design Center, Texas Instruments Inc.
* Part: UCC1809-1, UCC2809-1, and UCC3809-1,
* Date: 08/28/2009
* Model Type: Transient
* Simulator: PSpice
* Simulator Version: 16.0.0.p001
* Reference Design: Based on PMP665
* Datasheet: SLUS166B - NOVEMBER 1999 - REVISED NOVEMBER 2004

** Updates:
* Final 1.00
* Release to Web.